

statements in response to this notice to Dr. Peter Infante, U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA, Directorate of Health Standards Programs, Metalworking Fluids Standards Advisory Committee, Room N-3718, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bonnie Friedman, Director, Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, OSHA, (202) 219-8151.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** All interested persons are invited to attend the April 8th public meeting of the Metalworking Fluids Standards Advisory Committee at the time and place indicated above. Individuals with disabilities wishing to attend should contact Theresa Berry at (202) 219-8615 ext. 106 (Fax: 202-219-5986) no later than March 31, 1998, to obtain appropriate accommodations.

#### Meeting Agenda

This meeting will focus on the need for reducing exposure to metalworking fluids in small businesses, and the economic and technological feasibility of reducing such exposures in these industries. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health will introduce their small business study. Investigative strategies for obtaining health effects data and exposure data for a wide range of industries will be discussed. Concerns unique to small businesses will be explored.

#### Public Participation

Written data, views or comments for consideration by the MWFSAC on the various agenda items listed above may be submitted, preferably with 20 copies, to Dr. Peter Infante at the address provided above. Submissions received by March 31, 1998 may be provided to the members of the committee and will be included in the record of the meeting. At this meeting it is unlikely that there will be any time for oral presentations by members of the public. However, anyone wishing to make a presentation to the committee should notify Dr. Peter Infante of this fact at the address listed above. The request should state the amount of time desired, the capacity in which the person will appear and a brief outline of the content of the presentation. Requests to make oral presentations to the Committee may be granted if time permits.

#### Authority

This notice is issued under the authority of sections 6(b)(1) and 7(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 655, 656), the Federal

Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2), and 29 CFR part 1912.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 16th day of March 1998.

**Charles N. Jeffress,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 98-7173 Filed 3-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

### NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

#### Electronic Records Work Group; Request for Comment on Options to Replace GRS 20

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of materials for public review and comment; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Electronic Records Work Group has prepared a paper outlining possible short-term approaches for scheduling electronic records currently covered by General Records Schedule 20. Public comment is sought on the options and issues raised in the paper, and suggestions for other alternatives not identified in the paper. The Electronic Records Work Group is charged with identifying workable alternatives to the disposition practices currently authorized under NARA's General Records Schedule 20 for Electronic Records.

The options paper is posted on NARA's GRS 20 Internet Web page at <<http://www.nara.gov/records/grs20/opt312.html>>. Individuals who do not have Internet access may call the person indicated in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to request paper copies of these materials.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by March 31, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent electronically to the e-mail address <[grs20@arch2.nara.gov](mailto:grs20@arch2.nara.gov)>. If you do not have access to e-mail, comments may be mailed to Electronic Records Work Group (NWM), Room 2100, 8601 Adelphi Rd., College Park, MD 20740-6001, or faxed to 301-713-6850.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request paper copies of the options paper or other materials posted on the GRS 20 Internet Web page, contact Lisa Haralampus at 301-713-7110, extension 266.

Dated: March 13, 1998.

**Lewis J. Bellardo,**

*Deputy Archivist of the United States.*

[FR Doc. 98-7050 Filed 3-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 474, "Simulation Facility Certification."

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0138.

3. *How often the collection is required:* One-time requirement for initial certification and quadrennial thereafter.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* All power reactor licensees and applicants for an operating license.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 20.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 2,400 hours.

7. *Abstract:* Licensed power facilities that propose the use of a simulation facility consisting solely of a plant-referenced simulator for the conduct of NRC licensing operating tests are required to submit NRC Form 474.

The information on the form consists of the results of performance testing completed on the subject simulation facility and a schedule for the conduct of performance tests for the subsequent four-year period. NRC uses this information to ascertain the acceptability of simulation facilities for use in the conduct of operating tests for nuclear power plant operator and senior operator candidates and to determine whether to initiate a simulation facility inspection at a specific site due to concerns about their suitability for use in operating tests.

Submit by May 18, 1998, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?