

effect of the transaction on the adequacy of transportation to the public; (2) the total fixed charges that result; and (3) the interest of affected carrier employees.

On the basis of the application, we find that the proposed acquisition of control is consistent with the public interest and should be authorized. If any opposing comments are timely filed, this finding will be deemed vacated and a procedural schedule will be adopted to reconsider the application. If no opposing comments are filed by the expiration of the comment period, this decision will take effect automatically and will be the final Board action.

This decision will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

It is ordered:

1. The proposed acquisition of control is approved and authorized, subject to the filing of opposing comments.

2. If timely opposing comments are filed, the findings made in this decision will be deemed vacated.

3. This decision will be effective on April 27, 1998, unless timely opposing comments are filed.

4. A copy of this notice will be served on the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 10th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20530.

Decided: March 9, 1998.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Owen.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-6558 Filed 3-12-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33407]

Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Corporation—Construction and Operation—in Campbell, Converse, Niobrara, and Weston Counties, WY, Custer, Fall River, Jackson, and Pennington Counties, SD and Blue Earth, Nicollet, and Steele Counties, MN

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice of construction and operation application and request for comments on procedural schedule.

SUMMARY: The Board is publishing notice of an application filed by the Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Corporation (DM&E) requesting

authority to construct and operate 280.09 miles of new railroad line, which would provide for an extension of DM&E's existing rail lines into the Powder River Basin coal fields in northeastern Wyoming. Specifically, the railroad seeks authority to build: (1) a 262.03-mile rail line between DM&E's existing main line in western South Dakota and the coal producing region of the Powder River Basin (PRB) south of Gillette, WY; (2) a 13.31-mile rail bypass around a portion of the line currently used by DM&E in and near Mankato, MN; and (3) a new 2.94-mile rail connection in Owatonna, MN, between DM&E's line and the line of I&M Rail Link, LLC.¹ This notice also requests comments on a procedural schedule based on a schedule that DM&E has asked the Board to establish for this proceeding.

DATES: Written comments must be filed by April 2, 1998 and concurrently served on applicant's representatives. Each comment must be accompanied by a certificate of service. Applicant's reply must be filed by April 7, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send an original and 10 copies of all pleadings referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33407 to: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423. A copy of each comment shall concurrently be served upon DM&E's representative: Paul A. Cunningham, Harkins Cunningham, 1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20036-1609 [Fax (202) 973-7610]. Comments should contain the name and address of the commenting party, any recommendations for changes to the attached proposed procedural schedule and support for any such changes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph H. Dettmar, (202) 565-1600. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 565-1695.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Along with its application, DM&E has submitted a petition to establish a procedural schedule for this proceeding. DM&E's proposed schedule would establish various due dates for

¹ DM&E also plans several related projects, which it states are not subject to the Board's jurisdiction. These include the comprehensive rebuilding of approximately 597.8 miles of its existing rail lines consisting of: (1) a 467.55-mile segment of DM&E main line between Wasta, SD, and Mankato; (2) a 117.4-mile segment of DM&E main line between Mankato and Winona, MN; and (3) a 12.85-mile segment of DM&E branch line north of Oral, SD, to a point south of Smithwick, SD. DM&E plans to perform a substantial upgrading of an additional 239.3 miles of its existing rail lines, including the relocation and upgrading of an existing connection with Canadian Pacific Railroad near Winona/Minnesota City.

submissions and due dates for Board action, both in considering the merits of the application and in carrying out the environmental review process.

We believe it would be premature at this point to establish any sort of environmental review schedule for the Board to meet its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and related environmental laws. We lack substantive input from other Federal and state agencies (for example, the U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Wyoming State Historic Preservation Division) that may have an interest in this proceeding. Without information from these agencies, we cannot anticipate the range of potential environmental impacts that may be involved with DM&E's proposal and how long the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process is likely to take.² We have, however, directed our Section of Environmental Analysis to begin preparation of a notice of intent to prepare an EIS and to initiate the public scoping process. This will enable us to begin to determine key environmental issues to be addressed in our NEPA analysis as expeditiously as possible.

With regard to the merits of the application, DM&E has proposed alternative schedules of 90 and 180 days in which to develop the record and issue a decision on the merits, conditioned upon completion of the environmental review process and consideration of the results of that process in a final decision.³ The proposal by DM&E that we issue a decision in 90 days does not warrant further consideration, and we will not request comments on it. That proposal simply does not provide adequate opportunity for public participation. Nor does it provide adequate time for the necessary evaluation of the record in light of the statutory considerations we must undertake in this case. The proposed 180-day alternative, however, does appear to provide adequate opportunity for public participation and for development of a sufficient record on which to base a conditional grant of the application and make the findings required by the statute. Therefore, we are seeking comments on the proposal by DM&E that we issue a decision in 180 days approving the applicant's construction proposal under section

² Of course, if DM&E could work with these agencies to secure appropriate permits, identify potential environmental impacts, and minimize or avoid such effects, the time required for us to meet our NEPA obligations might be reduced.

³ No actual construction could begin prior to issuance of that decision.

10901 of the ICC Termination Act, conditioned upon consideration of the environmental impacts of the proposed construction. Under that proposal, we would issue a subsequent decision after the completion of the EIS process, allowing construction to begin, if appropriate, based on a consideration of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed transaction.

We understand that the DM&E has caused notices to be published stating that comments on the application are due March 27, 1998. While interested parties may file comments by March 27, 1998, the Board will establish a new due date for comments on the merits of the proposed transaction in any procedural schedule it ultimately adopts. Accordingly, we will require DM&E to cause notices to be published in the same places as the prior notices advising that comments will not be due until the Board establishes a procedural schedule. And after the Board publishes such a schedule, DM&E must cause to be published new notices setting forth the schedule adopted by the Board, including the due date for comments on the merits of the proposed transaction.

The purpose of this notice is to solicit input as to the establishment of a procedural schedule that provides adequate time for the submission and consideration of comments while still enabling the proceeding to move forward as expeditiously as possible.⁴ After reviewing the comments, the Board will establish an appropriate procedural schedule for consideration of the merits of the construction application.

The Board's review of construction applications is governed by both 49 U.S.C. section 10901 and the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. sections 4321-4370d. The Board intends to prepare an EIS to assess the environmental impacts of DM&E's proposal. The Board's Section of Environmental Analysis will separately publish a notice of intent to prepare an EIS and request comments on its scope.

Copies of the application are available for public inspection at the offices of the Board and the offices of the applicant, 337 22nd Avenue South, Brookings, SD 57006.

Decided: March 9, 1998.

⁴DM&E's proposed 180-day schedule is set forth in the attached Appendix, but is modified to reflect the removal of the portion of the schedule pertaining to environmental review and to reflect the current status of this matter.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Owen.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

Appendix—Procedural Schedule on the Merits

In the following schedule, the term "P" refers to the date that the Board issues a procedural schedule based on the comments received from this notice and "P + n" means "n" days following that date.

- P Procedural schedule established by the Board.
- P + 7 Due date for publication by DM&E of newspaper notice announcing the procedural schedule.
- P + 35 Due date for written comments on Application.
- P + 40 Due date for DM&E's replies to written comments on Application.
- P + 70 Board decision ordering hearing under modified procedures.
- P + 115 Due date for evidence and argument in opposition to the Application.
- P + 135 Due date for DM&E's reply evidence and argument in support of the Application.
- P + 180 (or earlier) Service of decision (a) conditionally approving Application, contingent on completion of environmental review process, or (b) disapproving Application.

[FR Doc. 98-6557 Filed 3-12-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 561X)]

CSX Transportation, Inc.— Abandonment Exemption—in Clarke County, GA

On February 23, 1998, CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 to abandon a portion of its railroad line known as the Atlanta Service Lane, Abbeville Subdivision, between milepost YYA-37.44 at East Athens and milepost YYA-39.34 at Athens, a distance of 1.9 miles in Clarke County, GA. The line traverses U.S. Postal Service Zip Codes 30605 and 30601. The line includes the station of East Athens.

The line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in the railroad's possession will be made available promptly to those requesting it. The

interest of railroad employees will be protected by the conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

By issuance of this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by June 12, 1998.

Any offer of financial assistance under 49 CFR 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each offer of financial assistance must be accompanied by a \$1,000 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).¹

All interested persons should be aware that, following abandonment of rail service and salvage of the line, the line may be suitable for other public use, including interim trail use. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.28 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than April 2, 1998. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a \$150 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 561X) and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street N.W., Washington, DC 20423-0001, and (2) Charles M. Rosenberger, 500 Water Street-J150, Jacksonville, FL 32202. Replies to the CSXT petition are due on or before April 12, 1998.

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Services at (202) 565-1592 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR part 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) at (202) 565-1545. [TDD for the hearing impaired is available at (202) 565-1695.]

An environmental assessment (EA) (or environmental impact statement (EIS), if necessary) prepared by SEA will be served upon all parties of record and upon any agencies or other persons who commented during its preparation. Other interested persons may contact SEA to obtain a copy of the EA (or EIS). EAs in these abandonment proceedings normally will be available within 60 days of the filing of the petition. The deadline for submission of comments on the EA will generally be within 30 days of its service.

¹ The filing fee increases from \$900 to \$1,000, effective March 20, 1998.