

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission

[Docket No. SA98-9-000]

Merleyn A. Calvin; Notice of Petition  
for Adjustment

March 5, 1998.

Take notice that on March 2, 1998, Merleyn A. Calvin (Calvin), filed a petition for adjustment under Section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA),<sup>1</sup> requesting to be relieved of her obligation to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), with respect to her working interest certain wells operated by CLX Energy, Inc. (CLX),<sup>2</sup> otherwise required by the Commission's September 10, 1997, order in Docket Nos. RP97-369-000, GP97-3-000, GP97-4-000, and GP97-5-000.<sup>3</sup> Calvin's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals<sup>4</sup> directed first sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988.

Calvin states that her husband purchased the subject gas well working interests for her, and that he now has an advanced case of Parkinson's disease, which has forced him to retire early. Calvin further indicates that she has limited means from which to pay the Kansas ad valorem tax refunds. Calvin also states that: (1) She and her husband filed for bankruptcy in 1989; (2) the bankruptcy court issued an order in 1990, discharging their debts; (3) the Colorado National Bank received all of their oil and gas assets; and (4) neither she nor her husband own an interest in the wells involved in CLS's refund claim.

Calvin also believes that her obligation to make the subject refunds may have been discharged by the bankruptcy. Therefore, Calvin requests to be relieved of her obligation to refund

her share of the Kansas ad valorem tax refunds owed by CLX, on the grounds that making the subject refunds would cause her to endure a special hardship. In the alternative, if the Commission does not grant the adjustment relief requested, Calvin requests that the Commission authorize her to amortize her refund obligation over a 5-year period.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 384.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

**David P. Boergers,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-6240 Filed 3-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission

[Docket No. RP97-139-008]

Caprock Pipeline Company; Notice of  
Tariff Filing

March 5, 1998.

Take notice that on March 2, 1998, Caprock Pipeline Company (Caprock), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following actual tariff sheets, to be effective November 1, 1997:

First Revised Sheet No. 6A  
Second Revised Sheet No. 10

Caprock states that the above referenced actual tariff sheets are being filed in compliance with the Commission's June 6, 1997 Order, to be effective November 1, 1997. The June 6 order approved the ProForma sheets Caprock filed on May 1, 1997, and directed Caprock to file actual tariff sheets. On October 1, 1997, Caprock filed actual tariff sheet Third Revised Sheet No. 29A in compliance with the Commission's order and which was

subsequently approved. However, due to an administrative oversight, Sheet Nos. 6A and 10 were not included in the October 1 filing as required. Therefore, Caprock is hereby submitting for filing and acceptance, to be effective November 1, 1997, First Revised Sheet No. 6A and Second Revised Sheet No. 10.

Caprock states that copies of the filing were served upon Caprock's jurisdictional customers, interested public bodies and all parties to the proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**David P. Boergers,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-6245 Filed 3-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory  
Commission

[Docket No. SA98-7-000]

Dorchester Hugoton, Ltd.; Notice of  
Petition for Adjustment

March 5, 1998.

Take notice that on March 2, 1998, Dorchester Hugoton, Ltd. (Dorchester), filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA),<sup>1</sup> requesting that the refund procedures in the Commission's September 10, 1997 Order in Docket Nos. RP97-369-000, GP97-3-000, GP97-4-000, and GP97-5-000,<sup>2</sup> be altered with respect to Dorchester's Kansas and valorem tax refund liability.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals<sup>3</sup> directed first sellers

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 3142(c) (1982).<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 3142(c) (1982).<sup>2</sup> CLX previously filed its own petition for adjustment in Docket No. SA98-2-000, in which it seeks to be relieved of any obligation to pay Kansas ad valorem tax refunds owed by its royalty interest, overriding royalty interest, and other working interest owners.<sup>3</sup> See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).<sup>4</sup> *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC* 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).<sup>2</sup> See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).<sup>3</sup> *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997).