

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Docket Nos. EC96-19-016 and ER96-1663-017]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Filing

February 27, 1998.

Take notice that on February 25, 1998, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO), filed for Commission acceptance in this docket, pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act, an application to amend the ISO Tariff, including the ISO Protocols, and a motion for waiver of the 60-day notice requirement. The ISO requests that the proposed amendments be made effective as of the ISO Operations Date.

The ISO states that the proposed amendments, which would preserve, after the ISO Operations Date, the priority that certain Eligible Regulatory Must-Take Generation and Eligible Regulatory Must-Run Generation currently enjoy in access to Available Transfer Capacity on Congested Inter-Zonal Interfaces, are necessary for the initial operations of the ISO.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 12, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-5782 Filed 3-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Project No. 2149-070]

Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington; Notice of Application for Approval of Canadian Entitlement Allocation Extension Agreement Beyond the Term of the License

March 2, 1998.

On February 17, 1997, pursuant to Section 22 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 815, Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington (Douglas), filed an application requesting Commission approval of the Canadian Entitlement Allocation Extension Agreement (CEAA) for the Wells Project No. 2149, for a period extending approximately 12 years beyond the 2012 expiration date of the license. The project is located on the Columbia River in Chelan, Douglas, and Okanogan Counties, Washington.

Section 22 provides that contracts for the sale and delivery of power for periods extending beyond the termination date of a license may be entered into upon the Joint approval of the Commission and the appropriate state public service commission or other similar authority in the state in which the sale or delivery of power is made. Douglas states in its application that approval of the CEAA is in the public interest because it implements provisions of a 1961 Treaty between the United States and Canada, 15 U.S.T. 1555.

The CEAA was executed on April 29, 1997, between Douglas and the United States of America, acting by and through the Bonneville Power Administration and provides for delivery of power from the Wells Project for transfer to Canada in exchange for Douglas' use of the improved streamflow provided by Canadian water storage projects pursuant to the 1961 Treaty. Douglas will retain one-half of the power generation benefits of the improved streamflow.

Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211 and 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests and other comments, but only those who file a motion to intervene may become a party to the proceeding. Comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be filed on or before April 6 1998; must bear in all

capital letters the title "COMMENTS," "PROTESTS," or "MOTION TO INTERVENE," as applicable, and "Project No. 2149." Send the filings (original and 8 copies) to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any filing must also be served upon each representative of the license specified in its application.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-5777 Filed 3-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Docket No. CP98-248-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 2, 1998.

Take notice that on February 23, 1998, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), Post Office Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, filed in Docket No. CP98-248-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for permission and approval to abandon, by removal, the Madison (Locust Creek) delivery meter station located on Texas Gas' mainline system in Carroll County, Kentucky. Texas Gas makes such request under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas states that the Locust Creek delivery meter station was constructed in 1950 under Docket No. G-859, to provide Indiana Gas Company, Inc. (Indiana Gas), a local distribution company, with service for Indiana Gas' Madison, Indiana market area. It is stated that Indiana Gas has requested that the Locust Creek delivery meter station be removed as unnecessary since the shipper receives deliveries from Texas Gas at the newly constructed Moorefield delivery point in Switzerland County, Indiana. The Moorefield delivery point now provides service to the same market area that the Locust Creek delivery meter station has traditionally served.

It is therefore averred that service to Indiana Gas will not be affected by the