

consistent with longstanding Commission policy which favors the structural approach to remedies, rather than the behavioral approach which seeks to govern conduct through the use of rules.⁷

The fuel supply contracts between PWCC and Navajo and Mohave give the Navajo owners a right of first refusal to buy the Kayenta mine and Mohave owners a right of first refusal to buy the Black Mesa mine. Because these rights of first refusal could delay the divestiture process, the proposed Consent Order affords PacifiCorp a period of nine months following the Acquisition to complete the required divestiture, and under certain circumstances, extends the time for divestiture to as late as March 1, 2000. Under the circumstances of this case, the Commission believes that the unusually long time afforded Respondents to complete the divestiture and possible extension of that time under the terms of the proposed Consent Order are likely to lead to substantial economic harm. PacifiCorp's incentive to increase the fuel price at Navajo and Mohave depends on PacifiCorp's sales of electricity at the market price. In the near-term, most of PacifiCorp's electricity sales are at regulated rates or at prices specified by long-term contracts. Thus, in the near-term, PacifiCorp will not have a strong incentive to increase fuel prices at Navajo and Mohave because PacifiCorp has limited net sales of electricity at the market price. However, as PacifiCorp's wholesale contracts are renegotiated and as PacifiCorp's retail sales are deregulated, PacifiCorp gains an ever greater incentive to increase electricity prices by raising the fuel price at Navajo and Mohave.

To remedy the alleged threat to competition from abuse of confidential customer information, the proposed consent order forbids Peabody from transferring PacifiCorp non-public information regarding Peabody customers who object to such disclosure and who either purchase coal from Peabody under contracts with a term of one-year or longer or who purchased in excess of one million tons of coal from Peabody during the preceding year. By preventing the transfer of this information, the Proposed Consent Order prevents PacifiCorp from trading on proprietary information in a way that is likely to retard development of a fully

competitive market in the wholesaling of electric power.

VI. Opportunity for Public Comment

The proposed Consent Order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for receipt of comments by interested person. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Order and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Agreement Containing Consent Order, make final the Consent Order, or take such other action as the Commission may determine to be in the public interest.

The Commission anticipated that the proposed Consent Order will cure the anticompetitive effects of the Acquisition as alleged in the proposed complaint. The purpose of this analysis is to invite public comment on the proposed Consent Order, including the proposed divestitures, to aid the Commission in its determination of whether to make final the proposed Consent Order. This analysis is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Order, nor is it intended to modify the term of the proposed Consent Order in any way.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-4755 Filed 2-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Interagency Committee for Medical Records (ICMR); Revision of Medical Standard Form

AGENCY: General Services Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The following Standard Form is revised to add standard information fields and change the stocking to local reproduction: SF 515, Medical Record—Tissue Examination.

You can obtain the updated camera copy in three ways: From the "U.S. Government Management Policy CD-ROM; On the internet. Address: <http://www.gsa.gov/forms>, or ; From CARM, Attn.: Barbara Williams, (202) 501-0581.

DATES: Effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** (February 25, 1998).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Barbara Williams, General Services Administration, (202) 501-0581.

Dated: February 18, 1998.

Barbara M. Williams,

Deputy Standard and Optional Forms Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 98-4796 Filed 2-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration; Delegation of Authority

Notice is hereby given that I have delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs the authorities vested in the Secretary of Health and Human Services under Title III, Section 354 of the Public Health Service Act (43 U.S.C. 262 *et seq*), as amended hereafter.

This delegation supersedes the delegation memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Health to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs dated, June 1, 1993, titled "Delegation of Authority for Section 354 of the Public Health Service Act, as amended by Public Law 102-539, the Mammography Quality Standards Act of 1992."

This delegation shall be exercised under the Department's existing delegation of authority and policy on regulations. In addition, I have affirmed and ratified any actions taken by you or your subordinates which involved the exercise of the authorities delegated herein prior to the effective date of this delegation. This delegation is effective upon signature.

Dated: February 11, 1998.

Donna E. Shalala,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-4723 Filed 2-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

HHS Management and Budget Office; Office of Facilities Services; Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority

Part A, Office of the Secretary, Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health and Human Services is being amended at Chapter AM, HHS Management and Budget Office, Chapter AMR, Office of Facilities Services, as last amended at 61 FR 55988-90, October 30, 1996. The functional statement for the Office of Facilities Services is being amended to reflect its current responsibility for

⁷ See William J. Baer, *FTC Perspectives on Competition Policy and enforcement Initiatives in Electric Power*, before the Conference on the New Rules of the Game for Electric Power: Antitrust & Anticompetitive Behavior (Washington D.C., Dec. 4, 1997) at 12-13