

[FR Doc. 98-4052 Filed 2-17-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

February 12, 1998.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Thursday, February 19, 1998.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission will hear oral argument on the following:

1. *Secretary of Labor v. Consolidation Coal Co.*, Docket Nos. WEVA 93-146A and 93-81-R (Issues include whether substantial evidence supports the judge's determination that Consol did not violate section 103(j) of the Mine Act, which requires operators to take appropriate measures to prevent the destruction of evidence which would assist in investigating the cause of an accident).

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m. Thursday, February 19, 1998.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** Closed [Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(10)].

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** It was determined by a unanimous vote of a quorum of the Commission that the Commission consider and act upon the following in a closed session:

1. *Secretary of Labor v. Consolidation Coal Co.*, Docket Nos. WEVA 93-146A and 93-81-R (See oral argument listing, *supra*, for issues).

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Thursday, March 5, 1998.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission shall consider and act upon the following:

1. *Secretary of Labor v. Wayne R. Steen*, employed by Ambrosia Coal & Construction Co., Docket No. PENN 94-15 (Issues include whether on second remand the judge properly assessed a \$2,000 penalty against Wayne R. Steen under sections 110(c) and 110(i) of the Mine Act for violating 30 C.F.R. § 77.404(a)).

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Thursday, March 19, 1998.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission will hear oral argument on the following:

1. *United Mine Workers of America o.b.o. Burgess v. Secretary of Labor*, Docket Nos. SE 96-367-D and SE 97-18-D (Issues include whether the judge properly dismissed discrimination complaints filed against the Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") and named MSHA officials).

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m. Thursday, March 19, 1998.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** Closed [Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(10)].

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** It was determined by a unanimous vote of the Commission that the Commission consider and act upon the following in closed session:

1. *United Mine Workers of America o.b.o. Burgess v. Secretary of Labor*, Docket Nos. SE 96-367-D and SE 97-18-D (See oral argument listing, *supra*, for issues).

Any person attending oral argument or an open meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 C.F.R. § 2706.150(a)(3) and § 2706.160(d).

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jean Ellen, (202) 653-5629 / (202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay / 1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

**Jean H. Ellen,**

*Chief Docket Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 98-4262 Filed 2-13-98; 3:57 pm]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 98-02]

### NASA Advisory Council, Aeronautics and Space Transportation Technology Advisory Committee, Rotorcraft Subcommittee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a NASA Advisory Council, Aeronautics and Space Transportation Technology Advisory Committee, Rotorcraft Subcommittee meeting.

**DATES:** Tuesday, March 17, 1998, 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Wednesday, March

18, 1998, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; and Thursday, March 19, 1998, 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Building 241, Room B2, Moffett Field, CA 94035.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Kathy Hartle-Giffin, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, 650/604-2752.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. Agenda topics for the meeting are as follows:

- Review of Rotorcraft Subcommittee Recommendations
  - Review of Rotorcraft Base Program
  - Short Haul & Civil Tiltrotor Planning
  - Briefing on Rotorcraft Safety Study
- It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: February 10, 1998.

**Matthew M. Crouch,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer,  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-4047 Filed 2-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review and approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Current Licensing Basis," Regulatory Guides RG-1.174 through RG-1.178

2. Current OMB approval number: 3150-0011

3. How often the collection is required: Use of the new risk-informed

methodology for making changes in the licensing basis of operating plants in the areas of inservice inspection (ISI), inservice testing (IST), graded quality assurance (GQA), and technical specifications (TS), is available to all licensees but is not required. Licensees may make voluntary submittals when, and if, in their judgment, it is to their advantage to do so (for example, to improve plant safety, reduce costs, gain operating flexibility).

4. Who is required or asked to report: Licensees of nuclear power plants may report when, and if, in their judgment, it is to their advantage to do so.

5. The number of annual respondents: ISI: 6, IST: 3, QA: 1, TS: 20

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request (per respondent): ISI: 6,200, IST: 5,200, QA: 4,000, TS: 1,060

7. Abstract: In the specific areas of ISI, IST, GQA, and TS, a new series of Regulatory Guides provides a risk-informed method for licensees to use in requesting changes to their current licensing bases (CLB). No changes or additions have been made to any rules or regulations in conjunction with the issuance of this series of guides. The new method will be a voluntary alternative to the deterministically-based CLB change method previously used (which will remain acceptable as an alternative to the new risk-informed method).

The new risk-informed alternative method will allow licensees to concentrate on plant equipment and operations that are most critically important to plant safety so as to achieve a savings in total effort and greater operating flexibility with an insignificant change in overall safety. The guides specify the records, analyses, and documents that licensees are expected to prepare in support of risk-informed changes to their CLB in the specified areas.

Submit, by April 20, 1998, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level),

Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>) under the FedWorld collection link on the home page tool bar. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 F33, Washington, DC, 20555-0001, or by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at [BJS1@NRC.GOV](mailto:BJS1@NRC.GOV).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of February, 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda Jo. Shelton,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 98-3978 Filed 2-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002 ]

### Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant; Portsmouth, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) There is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards,

and security and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice.

A petition for review must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

For further details with respect to the action see: (1) The application for