The FAA is proposing a calendar compliance time instead of hours time-in-service (TIS) because the service history on the U.S.-registered Alexander Schleicher Model ASK–21 sailplanes does not warrant a need for immediate compliance. Also, the average monthly usage of the affected sailplanes varies throughout the fleet. For example, one owner may operate the sailplane 25 hours TIS in one week, while another operator may operate the sailplane 25 hours TIS in one year. In order to ensure that all of the affected sailplanes have been inspected for displacement of the plastic 5-shaped rudder tube and any displacement has been corrected within a reasonable amount of time, the FAA is proposing a compliance time of 6 calendar months.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 30 sailplanes in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 workhours per sailplane to accomplish the proposed action, and that the average labor rate is approximately $60 an hour. Parts cost approximately $5 (for glue) per sailplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $3,750 or $125 per sailplane.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

Alexander Schleicher: Docket No. 97–CE–104–AD.
Applicability: Model ASK–21 sailplanes (serial numbers 21001 through 21345), certified in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each sailplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For sailplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 6 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent rudder control jamming, which, if not corrected, could result in loss of directional control of the sailplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect the plastic 5-shaped rudder tube for displacement in accordance with the Actions sections 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 of Alexander Schleicher Technical Note No. 20, dated October 16, 1987.

(b) If there is any displacement of the plastic 5-shaped rudder tube, prior to further flight, correct the placement in accordance with the Actions sections 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 of Alexander Schleicher Technical Note No. 20, dated October 16, 1987.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the sailplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Mike Kiesov, Project Officer, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be submitted in response to this notice as addressed above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket No. 97–CE–103–AD.” The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–103–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be examined at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from Alexander Schleicher, Wasserkuppe, Federal Republic of Germany; telephone 49.6658.8920; facsimile: 49.6658.8923 or 49.6658.8940. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. J. Mike Kiesov, Project Officer, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 426–6932; facsimile (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA and has found that the proposed AD would not have a significant impact under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket.
Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:


Applicability: Model ASK–21 sailplanes, all serial numbers, certificated in any category, that are equipped with the modifications in Alexander Schleicher Technical Note (TN) 3 or TN 7.

Note 1: This AD applies to each sailplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For sailplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 3 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

39.13 (c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with Sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the sailplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(e) Questions or technical information related to Alexander Schleicher Technical Note No. 13a, dated June 4, 1984, should be directed to Alexander Schleicher Segelflugzeugbau, 6146 Poppenhausen, Wasserkuppe, Federal Republic of Germany; telephone 49.6685.890 or 49.6685.8920; facsimile, 49.6685.8923 or 49.6685.8940. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in German AD No. 84–32/2 Schleicher, dated June 12, 1984.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 4, 1998.

John R. Colomy,
Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–3518 Filed 2–11–98; 8:45 am]
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AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); reopening of the comment period.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to revise an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD) that would have required installing external fuel ramp assemblies on The New Piper Aircraft, Inc. (Piper) Models PA–23 (PA–23–150), PA–23–160, PA–23–235, and PA–23–250 airplanes, and incorporating pilots’ operating handbook (POH) revisions for Piper Models PA–23 (PA–23–150), and PA–23–160 airplanes. That proposed AD would have superseded AD 92–13–04, which currently requires preflight draining procedures on Piper Models PA–23 (PA–23–150) and PA–23–160 airplanes. The proposed AD was the result of reports of water-in-the-fuel on the affected airplanes, even on those where the airplane owners/operators had accomplished preflight draining procedures. The actions specified in the proposed AD are intended to assist in eliminating water in the fuel tanks, which could result in rough engine operation or complete loss of engine power. Comments received on the proposal specify an alternative alternative to the proposed AD, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has determined that this alternative should be added to the proposal. Based upon these comments on the original proposal and the amount of time that has elapsed since issuance of this proposal, the FAA has determined that the comment period for the proposal should be reopened and the public should have additional time to comment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 13, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–CE–35–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

FCC Engineering Specification 2810–002, Revision A, dated March 21, 1995, may be obtained from Floats & Fuel Cells, 4010 Pilot Drive, suite 3, Memphis, Tennessee 38118. Piper Service Bulletin (SB) No. 827A, dated November 4, 1988, may be obtained from The New Piper Aircraft, Inc., Customer Services, 2926 Piper Drive, Vero Beach, Florida 32960. The instructions included with Transamerican Enterprises, Inc. TAE102688 Piper PA 23 Fuel Cell Drain Installation, dated September 30, 1996, may be obtained from Transamerican Enterprises, Inc., 6778 Skyline Drive, Delray Beach, Florida 33446. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.
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