Commission and are available for public inspection.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–2305 Filed 1–29–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG98–9–000]

Puget Sound Energy, Inc., Notice of Filing

January 26, 1998.

Take notice that on January 15, 1998, Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (PSE), submitted for filing a request to withdraw its Amendment No. 1, to Power Exchange Agreement with British Columbia Power Exchange Corporation filed October 2, 1997, and to terminate this docket.

PSE has provided copies of the filing to all parties appearing on the official service list for the above proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 5, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–2309 Filed 1–29–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97–4082–000]

The Washington Water Power Company; Notice of Filing

January 26, 1998.

Take notice that on December 10, 1997, the Washington Water Power Company (WWP), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 6, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–2307 Filed 1–29–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–5957–3]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; NESHAP for Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: NESHAP for Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources), 2060–0068, expiration date March 31, 1998. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before March 2, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR, call Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, email at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download off the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/icr/icr.htm and refer to EPA ICR No.1153.06.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title: NESHAP for Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources), 2060–0068, ICR 1153.06,expiring March 31, 1998. This ICR is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources) were proposed on January 5, 1981 and promulgated on June 6, 1984. These standards apply to fugitive emissions from equipment sources operating in volatile hazardous air pollutant (VHAP) service (containing or contacting fluids with at least 10% VHAP by weight): Affected facilities are those which own and/or operates pumps, compressors, pressure relief devices, sampling connection systems, open-ended valves or lines, valves, flanges and other connectors, product accumulator vessels, and control devices or systems in VHAP service. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 61, subpart V.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities described must make one-time-only notifications including: notification of any physical or operational change to an existing facility which may increase the regulated pollutant emission rate, notification of the initial performance test, including information necessary to determine the conditions of the performance test, and performance test measurements and results. Owners or operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports and records are required, in general, of all sources subject to the NESHAPs.

Monitoring requirements specific to the Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources) NESHAP provides information on leak detection. Owners or operators are also required to submit semiannual reports of the number of valves, pumps, and compressors for which leaks were detected, and explanations for any leak repair delays.

Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these reports and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such records.

Approximately 75 sources are currently subject to the standard, and no new sources are expected in the next three years. However, approximately two modified, reconstructed, or new process units are expected per year. According to OAQPS' Emission Standard Division, the number of affected sources is expected to decline

during the next three years. Therefore, the number of affected sources by this standard will remain unchanged from the previous submittal.

All reports are sent to the delegated State or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA Regional Office.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on August 20, 1997, and no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 337 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Facilities using pumps, compressors and various devices/systems in volatile hazardous air pollutant service.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 77.

Frequency of Response: 2.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 57,495 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: $19,327.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for reducing a respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1153.06 and OMB Control No. 2060–0068 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: January 26, 1998.

Richard T. Westlund,

Acting Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 98–2360 Filed 1–29–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–5488–5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 12, 1998 Through January 16, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the OFFICE OF FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–BLM–K65275–CA Rating EO2, Fourmile Hill Geothermal Development Project, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, 49.9 megawatt (MW) Geothermal Power Plant, Federal Geothermal Leases CA–21924 and CA–21926, Glass Mountain Known Geothermal Resource Area, Klamath and Modoc National Forests, Siskiyou and Modoc Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA has expressed objections to the significance of potential environmental impacts to the freshwater system and indicated that insufficient data was provided regarding the applied conceptual hydrogeologic model. EPA also expressed objection because the proposed action could establish a precedent for future action with significant effects. EPA also recommended that a second proposed project be included in the same EIS because it is a similiar action. ERP No. D–USA–B11022–MA Rating EU3, Massachusetts Military Reservation Facilities Upgrade, Implementation, 10 Projects, Towns of Bourne, Sandwich, Falmouth and Mashpee, Barnstable County, MA.

Summaey: EPA deemed the draft EIS inadequate because it lacked essential information relating to the environmental impacts of past, current and future training activities at the training range and impact area at the military reservation. EPA commented that the most critical deficiency of the DEIS was its failure to address adequately the contamination of Cape Cod's sole source aquifer as the result of past military operations and the potential for future contamination from current and proposed training activities. EPA called for the substantial revision and reissuance of the DEIS as a SEIS in order to provide a basis for understanding the baseline environmental conditions and potential impacts of the expansion of the training ranges.

ERP No. DS–FHW–B40071–CT Rating EC2, I–95 at New Haven Harbor Crossing (Quinnipac River Bridge) Updated Information for Seven Alternatives on (Q-Bridge) Study, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit, U.S. Coast Guard Bridge Permit, New Haven, East Haven, Branford, Madison and Clinton, CT.

Summary: EPA commented that additional information with regard to wetland and air quality impacts should be provided to fully evaluate the environmental acceptability of various alternatives. EPA also indicated that it is crucial for the final EIS to demonstrate that funding can and will be secured for the transit features of the project. Additionally, EPA asked CTDOT/FHWA to demonstrate the affirmative and effective steps to assure that TSM and transit incentive components will be implementated for the project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–L65267–AK Helicopter Landings within Wilderness, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Chatham, Stikine and Ketchikan Area, AK.

Summary: Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FA–DOE–A22076–NM Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase, Updated Information, Disposal of Transuranic Waste, Carlsbad, NM.

Summary: EPA has no further comment to offer on the NEPA process. Final approval for operation of the WIPP facility will be based upon EPA's completion of the certification process conducted by EPA's Office of Radiation and Indoor Air and the RCRA permit