until July 1, 1998. We plan to issue the final results of these administrative reviews within 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

B. New Shipper Review

On August 15, 1997, the Department initiated a new shipper review relating to the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, covering the period July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997 (62 FR 44643, August 22, 1997). The current deadline for the preliminary results is February 11, 1998. Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. However, if the Department concludes that the case is extraordinarily complicated, it may extend the 180-day period to 300 days.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated given the complex nature of the issues similar to those in the first administrative reviews, including an allegation of sales below the cost of production. In order to analyze the issues specific to this case and to benefit from the analyses of similar issues in the administrative reviews, we are extending the deadline for issuing the preliminary results to no later than June 11, 1998. We plan to issue the final results within 90 days after the date the preliminary results are issued.

These extensions are in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: January 22, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–2058 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

City College of New York; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 am and 5:00 pm in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Decision: Denied. Applicant has failed to establish that domestic instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the intended purposes are not available.

Reasons: Section 301.5(e)(4) of the regulations requires the denial of applications that have been denied without prejudice to resubmission if they are not resubmitted within the specified time period. This is the case for the following docket.

Docket Number: 97–054. *Applicant:* City College of New York, CUNY, 140th Street and Convent Avenue, Room 165, New York, NY 11235. *Instrument:* Rapid Kinetics Device, Model SFA–20. *Manufacturer:* Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. *Date of Denial Without Prejudice to Resubmission:* September 9, 1997.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 98–2059 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

National Institutes of Health; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 97–093. *Applicant:* National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892. *Instrument:* Micromanipulator Microscope, Model MSM. *Manufacturer:* Singer Instrument Co., Ltd., United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 62 FR 62288, November 21, 1997.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides an optical microscope mounted over a micromanipulator with a videoscreen and camera and a unique optic-fiber dissection needle. A university research laboratory and a manufacturer of similar equipment advised December 23, 1997 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 98–2060 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Pennsylvania State University at Erie, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 97–079. *Applicant:* Pennsylvania State University at Erie, Erie, PA 16563–1702. *Instrument:* Thermodynamic Measuring Equipment, Model pvT 100. *Manufacturer:* SWO Polymertechnik GmbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 62 FR 52685, October 9, 1997. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides the capability to perform thermal conductivity and pvT measurements. *Advice received from:* National Institute of Standards and Technology, December 12, 1997.

Docket Number: 97–081. *Applicant:* University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599–3255. *Instrument:* X-Ray Diffractometer with Accessory, Model DIP–2020 V. *Manufacturer:* Nonius-Enraf, The Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 62 FR 52685, October 9, 1997. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides a large-area, on-line imaging plate detector for x-ray diffraction using 2 sheets at 200 mm. *Advice received from:* Domestic manufacturer of similar equipment, December 12, 1997.

The National Institute of Standards of Technology and a domestic manufacturer of similar equipment