changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and intent to revoke this order in part (62 FR 60470). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review. We received a comment from domestic producers and a rebuttal comment from Sudo.

Comment: Domestic producers claim that the Department's description of the merchandise to be excluded from the order is overly broad. They state that the Department, in its preliminary results, described the product to be excluded from the order only by width and thickness, ignoring the chemical composition and thickness of the coating. Domestic producers claim that their statement of no interest was based on a description of the product using all of the above criteria. Sudo agrees that the product to be excluded should be defined by all criteria.

Department's Position: We agree with domestic producers and with Sudo, and have added the chemical composition of each of the three coating layers and the thickness of the total coating to the characteristics of the product to be excluded from the antidumping order.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review is certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan. This changed circumstances administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products meeting the following specifications: widths ranging from 10 millimeters (0.394 inches) through 100 millimeters (3.94 inches); thicknesses, including coatings, ranging from 0.11 millimeters (0.004 inches) through 0.60 millimeters (0.024 inches); and a coating that is from 0.003 millimeters (0.00012 inches) through 0.005 millimeters (0.000196 inches) in thickness and that is comprised of three evenly applied layers, the first layer consisting of 99% zinc, 0.5% cobalt, and 0.5% molybdenum, followed by a layer consisting of chromate, and finally a layer consisting of silicate.

Final Results of Review; Partial Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

The affirmative statement of no interest by petitioners in certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products, as described above, produced in Japan, constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant partial revocation of this order. Therefore, the Department is partially revoking the order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan with regard to products which meet the specifications detailed above, in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(d)(1). This partial revocation applies to all entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after October 27, 1995.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to proceed with liquidation, without regard to antidumping duties, of all unliquidated entries of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products with the dimensions and coatings described above from Japan, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after October 27, 1995. The Department will further instruct Customs to refund with interest any estimated duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of this product from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after October 27, 1995, in accordance with section 778 of the Act.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1997). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances administrative review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order and notice are in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and sections 351.216 and 351.222(g) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 16, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–33351 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–428–824, A–475–820, A–588–843, A–580–829, A–469–807, A–401–806, and A–583–828]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, Sweden, and Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 22, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Darzenta, James Maeder, or Erik Warga, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–6320, (202) 482–3330, or (202) 482–0922, respectively.

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

On August 19, 1997, the Department initiated antidumping duty investigations of imports of stainless steel wire rod from Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, Sweden, and Taiwan. The notice of initiation stated that we would issue our preliminary determinations on or before January 6, 1998 (62 FR 45224, August 26, 1997).

On December 11, 1997, petitioners made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e) of the Department's regulations for 50-day postponements of the preliminary determinations, until February 25, 1998, pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Petitioners requested postponements because the Department is either (a) in the process of conducting below-cost investigations (in the Spain and Taiwan cases) or (b) evaluating petitioners' requests to initiate below-cost investigations (in the Italy, Japan, Korea and Sweden investigations), and it is the petitioners' intention that, by requesting postponements, the additional time will allow the Department to include data from any cost investigations in its preliminary determinations.

In the investigation of stainless steel wire rod from Germany, the respondents have informed the Department that they are not cooperating in the investigation; as a result, the Department will have no choice but to use the most adverse facts available in its determination. Although no additional time is likely to be needed for the Department to prepare its preliminary determination in the Germany investigation, petitioners are

requesting postponement in this case as well so that all of the antidumping cases will remain on the same schedule and, thus, permit the International Trade Commission to cumulate the cases if it deems appropriate to do so. For these same reasons, petitioners also ask the Department to postpone all of the above-referenced cases by the same number of days in order to ensure that they remain on identical time schedules.

For the reasons identified by petitioners, we are postponing the preliminary determinations under Section 733(c)(1) of the Act. We will make our preliminary determinations no later than February 25, 1998.

This notice is published pursuant section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: December 16, 1997.

Holly Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary.AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II.

[FR Doc. 97–33350 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

AGENCY: Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps, Operations Section.

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps, Operations Section, announces the proposed reinstatement of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by February 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to AFROTC/DOJ, 551 East Maxwell Blvd, Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112–6106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the above address or call AFROTC/DOJO at (334) 953–5116.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: Application for Establishment of Air Force Junior ROTC Unit, AFROTC Form 59, OMB Number 0701–0114.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain information about schools which would like to host an Air Force Junior ROTC unit.

Affected Public: Schools which desire to establish an Air Force Junior ROTC unit.

Annual Burden Hours: 20.

Number of Respondents: 40.

Average Burden Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Frequency: One time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

Respondents are high school officials who provide information about their school which is interested in hosting an Air Force JROTC unit. The completed form is used to determine the eligibility of the school to host an Air Force JROTC unit. If the form is not included in the file, a school cannot be offered the opportunity to host an AFJROTC unit.

Barbara A. Carmichael,

Alternate Air Force, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–33347 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Public Hearing for the Draft Environmental Impact; Statement/Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/EIR) for the Disposal of the Long Beach Naval Station (NAVSTA) and the Long Beach Naval Shipyard (NSY)

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Announcement of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy and the City of Long Beach have prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/EIR) for the disposal of NAVSTA and NSY and the subsequent reuse of those properties and other adjacent properties. A public hearing will be held for the purpose to receive oral and written comments on the DEIS/EIR. Federal, state and local agencies, and interested individuals are invited to be present or represented at the hearing.

DATES: Hearing date is as follows:

1. January 14, 1998, 7:00 p.m., Long Beach, CA.

ADDRESSES: Hearing location is:

1. Long Beach—the City of Long Beach Council Chambers, 333 West Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Melanie Ault, (619) 532–4744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508) implementing the procedural provisions of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), California Public Resources Code 21000 *et seq.*, and 14 California Code of Regulation 15000 *et seq.*, implementing the procedural provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Department of the Navy and the City of Long Beach have prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency a DEIS/EIR for the disposal of NAVSTA and NSY and the subsequent reuse of those properties and other adjacent properties. The DEIS/EIR has also been prepared in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (DBCRA) (10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission approved by the President and accepted by Congress in 1991 and 1995.

The purpose of the proposed federal action is to dispose of NAVSTA and NSY for reuse in accordance with DBCRA established procedures for closing and realigning military installations. The portion of NAVSTA addressed in this action includes the main station, the Navy Mole, and Site 6A–LB. The Navy Fuel Depot, located on the Navy Mole, was not declared to be surplus to the needs of the federal government and will remain the property of the Navy. Of the 250 acres NSY site, 84 acres are subject to reversion under the terms of the final judgment in United States of America v. 1039 Acres of Land etc. *et. al.* The Navy has no discretion regarding the reversion of this property. There are also 602 acres of submerged land that will revert to the City under the terms of that judgment. The remaining land at NSY will be disposed of by the Navy under