§ 422.107 Evidence requirements.

(a) Evidence of alien status. * * * If the applicant requests the number for a nonwork purpose and provides evidence documenting that the number is needed for a valid nonwork purpose, the number may be assigned and the card issued will be annotated with a nonwork legend. * * * * *

(b) Evidence of social security number (SSN) assignment. * * * If the individual is outside the U.S., to provide evidence that the number has been assigned will be issued to the person making the request.

(c) Evidence of alien status. * * * If the applicant requests the number for a nonwork purpose and provides evidence documenting that the number is needed for a valid nonwork purpose, the number may be assigned and the card issued will be annotated with a nonwork legend. * * * * *

§ 422.106 Filing applications with other government agencies.

(a) Assistance in enumeration. SSA may enter into an agreement with officials of the Immigration and Naturalization Service to assist SSA by collecting as part of the immigration process information to change the name or other personal identifying information previously submitted in connection with an application for a social security number card.

(b) Evidence of alien status. * * * If the applicant requests the number for a nonwork purpose and provides evidence documenting that the number is needed for a valid nonwork purpose, the number may be assigned and the card issued will be annotated with a nonwork legend. * * * * *
Ohio program. Background information on the Ohio program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the August 10, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 34688). Subsequent actions concerning conditions of approval and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 935.11, 935.12, 935.15, and 935.16.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated June 24, 1997 (Administrative Record No. OH–2173–00), Ohio submitted a proposed amendment to its program pursuant to SMCRA and in response to a required amendment at 30 CFR 935.16. Ohio submitted letters of clarification on August 19, 1997 (Administrative Record No. OH–2173–07), and October 14, 1997 (Administrative Record No. OH–2173–08). The proposed amendment was announced in the July 7, 1997, Federal Register (62 FR 36248). The revision to Ohio Revised Code 1513.13(E)(2) was inadvertently omitted from the notice. Ohio proposes to require that if a final order relating to Chapter 1513 is issued under section 1513.13 and becomes the subject of judicial review, at the request of any party, a sum equal to the aggregate amount of all costs and expenses, including attorney fees, as determined by the court to have been necessary and reasonably incurred by the party for or in connection with their participation in the judicial proceedings, may be awarded to either party, in accordance with (E)(1) of section 1513.13 as the court, on the basis of judicial review, considers proper.

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. Specifically, OSM is seeking comments on the revision to the State’s regulations that was submitted on June 24, 1997 (Administrative Record No. OH–2173–00), with the addition noted above. Comments should address whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Ohio program.

Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter’s recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under “DATES” or at locations other than the Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determination

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsection (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 935

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: November 19, 1997.

John A. Holbrook, II,
Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 97–31578 Filed 12–1–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 926
[SPATS No. MT–018–FOR]

Montana Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening and extension of public comment period and opportunity for public hearing on proposed amendment.

SUMMARY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of additional explanatory information pertaining to a previously proposed amendment to the Montana regulatory program (hereinafter, the “Montana program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The additional explanatory information for Montana’s proposed rules pertain to permit requirements and a notice of intent to prospect. The amendment is intended to revise the Montana program to provide additional safeguards, clarify ambiguities, and improve operational efficiency.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.s.t. December 17, 1997.