

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration claims that the workers are facing a plant closing and job loss as the result of Hayes Wheels International, Inc. moving work and awarding new work to their Europe, Mexico, Venezuela plants.

In order for the Department to issue a worker group certification, all of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act must be met. Review of the investigation findings show that criterion (3) was not met.

Sales and production at the subject firm increased from 1995 to 1996 and from January through September 1997 over the corresponding 1996 period. The company is in the process of shifting work performed at the subject firm to two other domestic corporate facilities. The decision to close the facility down is attributed to corporate excess capacity and an outmoded manufacturing facility. The investigation further revealed that although the company imported wheels, the quantity was not significant and was not the contributing factor in the terminations at the subject firm.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of November 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31059 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,513; TA-W-33,513X]

#### Levi Strauss and Company; Goodyear Cutting Facility and El Paso Field Headquarters 1440 Goodyear, El Paso, Texas; San Benito, Texas, Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on August 7, 1997, applicable to workers of Levi Strauss and Company, located in El Paso, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 17, 1997 (62 FR 48888). The certification was subsequently amended to include the subject firm workers at the El Paso Field Headquarters in El Paso, Texas. The amendment was issued on September 14, 1997 and published in the **Federal Register** on September 30, 1997 (62 FR 51155).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information received by the State shows that worker separations have occurred at the San Benito, Texas plant of Levi Strauss and Company. The workers in San Benito are engaged in employment related to the production of men's, women's and youth's denim jeans and jackets. Based on this new information, the Department is amending the certification to cover the subject firms' workers at the San Benito, Texas plant.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Levi Strauss and Company who were adversely affected by increased imports of men's, women's and youth's denim jeans and jackets.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-22,513 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Levi Strauss and Company, Goodyear Cutting Facility and El Paso Field Headquarters, El Paso, Texas (TA-W-33,513) and San Benito, Texas (TA-W-33,513X) who were engaged in employment related to the production of men's, women's and youth's denim jeans and jackets who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 13, 1996 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington D.C. this 10th day of November 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31050 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-31,704 and TA-W-31,704A]

#### Parker & Parsley Petroleum USA, Incorporated, A/K/A Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc., Midland, Texas and Various Locations in Texas (except Midland); Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 2, 1996, applicable to all workers of Parker & Parsley Petroleum USA, Incorporated located in Midland, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 21, 1996 (61 FR 6660). The certification was amended on February 12, 1996, to include workers at the Parker & Parsley operations at various locations in Texas. The notice of amendment was published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1996 (61 FR 7023).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers of Parker & Parsley Petroleum are engaged in employment related to the production of crude oil and natural gas. New information provided by the company shows that some of the workers of Parker & Parsley have had their wages reported to the Unemployment Insurance tax account of Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by increased imports. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-31,704 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Parker & Parsley Petroleum USA, Incorporated, also know as Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc., Midland, Texas (TA-W-31,704), operating at various

locations in Texas except Midland (TA-W-31,704A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 30, 1994 through February 12, 1998, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of October 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31053 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-32,431]

#### **Shaneco Manufacturing Company a/k/a Amex Manufacturing Incorporated, El Paso, Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 11, 1996, applicable to workers of Shaneco Manufacturing Company located in El Paso, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1996 (61 FR 34875).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers at the subject firm produce miscellaneous sewn articles. Findings on review show that some of the workers have had their wages reported to the Unemployment Insurance tax account of Amex Manufacturing Incorporated. The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Shaneco Manufacturing Company who were affected by increased imports. Accordingly, the Department is amending the worker certification to include the workers of Amex Manufacturing Incorporated.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-32,431 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Shaneco Manufacturing Company, also known as Amex Manufacturing Incorporated, El Paso, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 23, 1995 through June 11, 1998, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 10th day of November 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31062 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,725]

#### **Stanwood Mills, Incorporated, Slatington, Pennsylvania; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening**

In response to a letter of October 28, 1997, from a company official requesting administrative reconsideration of the Department's denial of TAA for workers of the subject firm, the Department reopened its investigation for the former workers of Stanwood Mills, Incorporated.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on October 14, 1997, because the "contributed importantly" test of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act was not met for workers at the subject firm. The workers produce greige goods. The denial notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Department has new information showing that during the time period relevant to the investigation, a customer of Stanwood Mills, Incorporated increased import purchases of greige goods, while reducing purchases from the subject firm.

#### **Conclusion**

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with greige goods produced by the subject firm contributed importantly to the decline in sales and to the total or partial separation of workers of the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

"All workers of Stanwood Mills, Incorporated, Slatington, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 30, 1996, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 17th day of November 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31061 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,353]

#### **Technotrim, Incorporated Greencastle, Indiana; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated June 6, 1997, the peer counselor for TechnoTrim's dislocated worker group, hereafter referred to as the petitioners, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice applicable to workers of the subject firm located in Greencastle, Indiana, was signed on May 20, 1997, and will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Findings of the investigation showed that workers of TechnoTrim, Incorporated were engaged in employment related to the Production of automobile set covers. The petitioners assert that workers of the subject firm produced seat covers for pick-up trucks, not automobiles. The Department's reference to automobile seat covers in the final determination is intended to include light trucks.

The petitioners assert that production at the subject firm was shifted to Hyperion for 90 days so that the sewing machines could be shipped from Greencastle, Indiana to Mexico. The petitioners add that Hyperion is not a TechnoTrim plant but another domestic facility located in Lewisburg, Tennessee. The petitioners assert that the office equipment at Greencastle was