

The Complaint proposes a penalty of Thirty-five Thousand Nine Hundred Five Dollars (\$35,905) for the discharge of crude oil into or upon the navigable waters of the United States or adjoining shorelines in violation of Section 311(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act.

**DATES:** In order to provide opportunity for public comment, EPA will issue no final order assessing a penalty in this proceeding prior to December 24, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Persons wishing to receive a copy of EPA's Consolidated Rules, review the Complaint or other documents filed in this proceeding, comment upon the proposed penalty assessment, or otherwise participate in the proceeding should contact Venessa Cobbs, Regional Hearing Clerk at (913) 551-7630.

The administrative record for the proceeding is located in the EPA Regional Office at the address stated above, and the file will be open for public inspection during normal business hours. All information submitted by Glacier Petroleum, Inc. is available as part of the administrative record, subject to provisions of law restricting public disclosure of confidential information.

Dated: November 13, 1997.

**Dennis Grams,**

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-30815 Filed 11-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5926-8]

### Clean Water Act Class II: Proposed Administrative Penalty Assessment and Opportunity to Comment Regarding OXY USA, Inc., Tulsa, OK

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA").

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed administrative penalty assessment and opportunity to comment regarding OXY USA, Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is providing notice of opportunity to comment on the proposed assessment.

Under 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6), EPA is authorized to issue orders assessing civil penalties for various violations of the Act. EPA may issue such orders after filing a Complaint commencing either a Class I or Class II penalty proceeding. EPA provides public notice of the proposed assessment pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(C).

Class II proceedings are conducted under EPA's Consolidated Rules of

Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR part 22. The procedures by which the public may submit written comment on a proposed Class II order or participate in a Class II proceeding, and the procedures by which a respondent may request a hearing, are set forth in the Consolidated Rules. The deadline for submitting public comment on a proposed Class II order is thirty (30) days after issuance of public notice.

On September 25, 1997, EPA commenced the following Class II proceeding for the assessment of penalties by filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551-7630, the following Complaint:

In the Matter of, OXY USA, Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma; EPCRA Docket No. VII-97-W-0036.

The Complaint proposes a penalty of Twelve Thousand Dollars (\$12,000) for the discharges of hazardous substances in violation of Section 311(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act.

**DATES:** In order to provide opportunity for public comment, EPA will issue no final order assessing a penalty in this proceeding prior to December 24, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Persons wishing to receive a copy of EPA's Consolidated Rules, review the Complaint or other documents filed in this proceeding, comment upon the proposed penalty assessment, or otherwise participate in the proceeding should contact the Regional Hearing Clerk identified above.

The administrative record for the proceeding is located in the EPA Regional Office at the address stated above, and the file will be open for public inspection during normal business hours. All information submitted by OXY USA, Inc. is available as part of the administrative record, subject to provisions of law restricting public disclosure of confidential information.

Dated: November 14, 1997.

**Dennis Grams,**

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-30817 Filed 11-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

November 18, 1997.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Shoko B. Hair, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-1379.

### Federal Communications Commission

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0802.

*Expiration Date:* 05/31/1998.

*Title:* Administration of the North American Numbering Plan, Order on Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 92-237 (Message Intercept Requirement).

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 1400 respondents; 9 hours per response (avg.); 12,600 total annual burden hours.

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden:* \$0.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Description:* In response to concern expressed in the reconsideration record that LECs should develop intercept messages to inform dial-around customers that they need to dial additional digits, the *Order on Reconsideration* in CC Docket No. 92-237, titled, "Administration of the North American Numbering Plan," requires that LECs offer a standard intercept message beginning on or before June 30, 1998, explaining that a dialing pattern change has occurred and instructing the caller to contact its IXC for further information. In developing an intercept message, LECs must consult with IXCs and reach agreement on the content of the message and on the period of time during which the message will be provided. The Commission leaves to resolution by the parties decisions about who should have the ultimate responsibility for determining the content of the intercept message and the period of time during which the message must be offered. The Commission states that it will resolve any disputes arising from parties' inability to reach agreement on such matters. Finally, the Commission