

## BURDEN STATEMENT—Continued

2000 .....	51 States×400 Hours .....	=	20,400 Burden Hours.
<b>(2) Annual Report</b>			
1998 .....	51 States×275 Hours .....	=	14,025 Burden Hours.
1999 .....	51 States×275 Hours .....	=	14,025 Burden Hours.
2000 .....	51 States×275 Hours .....	=	14,025 Burden Hours.
<b>(3) State Annual Audit</b>			
1998 .....	51 States×80 Hours .....	=	4,080 Burden Hours.
1999 .....	51 States×80 Hours .....	=	4,080 Burden Hours.
2000 .....	51 States×80 Hours .....	=	4,080 Burden Hours.
<b>(4) Applications for SRF Financing Assistance</b>			
1998 .....	51 States×24 Applications×40 Hours .....	=	48,960 Hours.
1999 .....	51 States×29 Applications×40 Hours .....	=	59,160 Hours.
2000 .....	51 States×34 Applications×40 Hours .....	=	69,360 Hours.
1998 .....	1,224 Communities×60 Hours .....	=	73,440 Burden Hours.
1999 .....	1,479 Communities×60 Hours .....	=	88,740 Burden Hours.
2000 .....	1,734 Communities×60 Hours .....	=	104,040 Burden Hours.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: November 14, 1997.

**Michael B. Cook,**

*Director, Office of Wastewater Management.*  
[FR Doc. 97-30657 Filed 11-20-97; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5486-4]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed November 10, 1997 Through November 14, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970446, Draft EIS, BLM, MT, Golden Sunlight Mine Expansion, Implementation of Amendment 008 to

Operating Permit No. 0065, COE Section 404 Permit, Whitehall, Jefferson County MT, Due: January 21, 1998, Contact: David Williams (406) 494-5059.

EIS No. 970447, Final EIS, AFS, SD, Anchor Hill Mine Expansion Project in Gilt Edge Mine, Plan-of-Operations, Approval, Black Hills National Forest, SD, Due: January 05, 1998, Contact: Don Murray (605) 578-2744.

EIS No. 970448, Draft EIS, USN, CA, Miramar Naval Air Station Realignment of E-2 Aircraft Squadrons, Three Installations are consider: Point Muga Naval Air Weapons Station, Lemoore Navel Air Station and El Centro, Ventura Fresno, King and Imperial Counties, CA, Due: January 05, 1998, Contact: Ms. Kelly Knight (619) 532-2456.

EIS No. 970449, Final EIS, USN, CA, Novato, California Department of Defense Housing Facility Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Novato, Marin County, CA, Due: December 22, 1997, Contact: Gary J. Munekawa (650) 244-3022.

EIS No. 970450, Draft EIS, USN, HI, Fort Kamehameha Outfall Replacement for Wastewater Treatment Plant, Navy Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, HI, Due: January 05, 1998, Contact: Gary Kasaoke (808) 471-9338.

EIS No. 970451, Draft EIS, DOE, CO, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored for Disposal or other Disposition, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Golden, CO, Due: January 05, 1998, Contact: Charles Head (202) 586-5151.

Dated: November 18, 1997.

**B. Katherine Biggs,**

*Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 97-30695 Filed 11-20-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5486-5]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared November 03, 1997 Through November 07, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

### Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-E40764-00, Fort Campbell Rail Connector, Construction between the Government-Owned Line Railroad and CSX Line, Hopkinsville and Clarkville, Christian Co., KY and Montgomery and Stewart Counties, TN.

*Summary:* EPA continued to express concern over the potential impacts of the preferred alternative, and suggested that Alternative 3 be selected instead in order to minimize long-term impacts to water and air quality.