

for the cap and fence; for the JM Unit, maintenance activities may be required for stream diversions and sediment retention structures. For the City Unit, a deed restriction was recorded with the Recorder's Office, Fresno County, California, on June 22, 1990 which prohibited anyone in possession of the property from taking any actions that would interfere with the maintenance and operation of the waste management unit to be constructed pursuant to the Consent Decree. This deed restriction was amended upon completion of the remedial action to illustrate the exact placement and dimensions of the constructed waste management unit. For the JM Unit, a deed restriction recorded with the Recorder's Office, Fresno County, California, on July 2, 1993, prohibits anyone in possession of the property from taking actions that would interfere with the implementation of the remedy. Pursuant to CERCLA 121<sup>c</sup> and as provided in OSWER Directive 9355.7-02, Structure and Components of Five-Year Reviews, May 23, 1991, OSWER Directive 9355.702A, Supplemental Five-Year Review Guidance, July 26, 1994, and Second Supplemental Five-Year Review Guidance, December 21, 1996, EPA must conduct a statutory five-year review.

One of the three criteria for deletion specified that EPA may delete a site from the NPL if "responsible parties or other parties have implemented all appropriate response actions required." EPA, with the concurrence of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, believes that this criterion for deletion has been met. Consequently, EPA is proposing deletion of this Site from the NPL. Documents supporting this action are available at the EPA Region 9 NPL docket.

Dated: November 11, 1997.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 9.*

[FR Doc. 97-30380 Filed 11-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 97-227, RM-9159]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Wasilla, AK

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition for rule making filed on behalf of KMBQ Corporation, requesting the allotment of Channel 273C2 to Wasilla, Alaska, as that community's second local FM transmission service. Coordinates used for this proposal are 61-37-32 and 149-24-05.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 22, 1997, and reply comments on or before January 6, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel as follows: John Wells King and Amelia Brown, Esqs., Haley, Bader & Potts, 4350 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 900, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 97-227, adopted October 22, 1997, and released October 31, 1997. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, See 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 97-30286 Filed 11-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 97-224, RM-9177]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Monroe, UT

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Charles D. Hall requesting the allotment of Channel 257C2 to Monroe, Utah, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 257C2 can be allotted to Monroe in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 1.3 kilometers (0.8 miles) south in order to avoid a short-spacing conflict with vacant Channel 256A at Levan, Utah. The coordinates for Channel 257C2 at Monroe are 38-37-21 NL and 112-07-29 WL.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 22, 1997, and reply comments on or before January 6, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: James K. Edmundson, Gardner, Carton & Douglas, 1301 K Street, NW., Suite 900, East Tower, Washington, DC 20005 (Counsel for petitioner).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 97-224, adopted October 22, 1997, and released October 31, 1997. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in