

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between EP and NV may vary from the percentages stated above. We have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for each class or kind of HFHTs by dividing the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between NV and EP) for each importer/customer by the total number of units sold to that importer/customer. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting per-unit dollar amount against each unit of merchandise in each of the importer's/customer's entries under the relevant order during the review period.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these administrative reviews for all shipments of HFHTs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies named above which have separate rates (Shandong Huarong, LMC, TMC, FMEC, and SMC) will be the rates for those firms established in the final results of these administrative reviews for the classes or kinds listed above; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rates will be the PRC-wide rates established in the final results of the previous administrative reviews; and (3) the cash deposit rates for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rates applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. The PRC-wide rates are: 21.93 percent for axes/adzes; 66.32 percent for bars/wedges; 44.41 percent for hammers/sledges; and 108.2 percent for picks/mattocks. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 353.26 of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C.

1675(a)(1) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 31, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-29763 Filed 11-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-820]

Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Germany; Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On September 25, 1997, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 50292) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Germany, covering the period August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997. The review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of the request for administrative review by the interested party that requested the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 12, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Decker, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0196.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 29, 1997, the Department received a request from the respondent in this case, Mannesmannrohren-Werke AG ("MRW") and Mannesmann Pipe & Steel Corporation ("MPS") (collectively "Mannesmann"), to conduct an administrative review of Mannesmann, pursuant to section 19 CFR 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations. The period of review is August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997. On September 25, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 50292) a notice

announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Germany, covering the period August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997.

Rescission of Review

On October 7, 1997, we received a timely request for withdrawal of the request for administrative review from Mannesmann. Because there were no other requests for administrative review from any other interested party, in accordance with section 351.213 (d) (1) of the Department's regulations, we have rescinded this administrative review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 351.213 (d) (4).

Dated: October 29, 1997.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 97-29766 Filed 1-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-068]

Steel Wire Strand for Prestressed Concrete From Japan; Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final court decision and amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On April 22, 1997, the Court of International Trade (the Court) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department) second remand determination arising out of the administrative reviews of the antidumping finding on steel wire strand for prestressed concrete ("PC Strand") from Japan. See *Mitsui & Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-49 (CIT April 22, 1997). As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending the final results of review in this matter and will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate Mitsui's entries covered by these amended final results at the rates assigned to each of Mitsui's suppliers for the periods April 1, 1978 through