

Dated: October 3, 1997.

Scott C. Fulton,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 97-27258 Filed 10-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5909-4]

A Public Meeting on the Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Metal Products and Machinery (MP&M) Industrial Category

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Office of Water (OW) is conducting a public meeting in order to inform all interested parties of the current status of the Metal Products and Machinery (MP&M) Effluent Guideline. The EPA intends to propose effluent limitations guidelines and standards for the MP&M industrial category in October of 2000. The meeting is intended to be a forum in which EPA can report on the status of the rulemaking and interested parties can provide information and ideas to the Agency on key technical, economic, and implementation issues.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on Wednesday, November 5, 1997, from 8:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the EPA auditorium at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Geil, Engineering and Analysis Division (4303), U.S. EPA, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460. Telephone (202) 260-9817, fax (202) 260-7185 or by e-mail at geil.steve@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA is developing proposed effluent limitations guidelines and standards for the Metal Products and Machinery Point Source Category under authority of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*). The MP&M Category includes facilities that manufacture, rebuild, and maintain finished metal parts, products, or machines.

The public meeting will include a discussion of the current status of the regulation including the combination of the two phases, the on-going data gathering efforts including sampling activities and questionnaire responses, and other general issues. The meeting will not be recorded by a reporter or transcribed for inclusion in the record for the MP&M rulemaking.

Documents relating to the topics mentioned above and a more detailed agenda will be available at the meeting. For those unable to attend the meeting, a document summary will be available following the meeting and can be obtained by an e-mail or telephone request to Steven Geil at the previously mentioned address.

Jim Hanlon,

Acting Director, Office of Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 97-27267 Filed 10-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5908-9]

Amendment to Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; add names to the list of settling parties.

SUMMARY: The September 22, 1997, notice concerning the proposed settlement at the Marco of Iota Superfund Site in Iota, Louisiana (62 FR 49514) included a list of settling parties. Three federal *de micromis* parties who settled pursuant to the "Superfund Administrative Reforms" (at no cost to the parties) were inadvertently excluded from the list.

The excluded settlers are:

United States Department of Defense/
Department of the Air Force

United States Department of Interior/
Golden Spike National Historic Site

United States Department of Justice/
Federal Bureau of Prisons, Federal
Prison Ind., Inc.

Any comments regarding the additional parties must be submitted on or before October 22, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl Bolden, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202-2733 at (214) 665-6713.

October 3, 1997.

Jerry Clifford,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-27268 Filed 10-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5909-3]

South Bay Asbestos Superfund Site; Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9600 *et seq.*, notice is hereby given that on September 30, 1997, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) executed two proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreements pertaining to property transactions within the South Bay Asbestos Superfund Site. The Purchasers plan to acquire parcels, totaling 24.5 acres, within the South Bay Asbestos Superfund Site, located in San Jose, California. The Parcels will be developed for office, research, light industrial, commercial service, and restaurant uses.

There are two Prospective Purchaser Agreements because the property is divided into an East and West Parcel and each parcel has different lenders. The proposed Agreements will resolve certain potential claims of the United States under section 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, and section 7003 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, against Lincoln Property Company No. 2233 (East Parcel Agreement) and Lincoln 237 Associates (West Parcel Agreement). Lincoln Property Company No. 2233 and Lincoln 237 Associates are jointly referenced as (the Purchasers). The proposed settlement will require the Purchasers to make a one-time payment of \$125,000 for the East Parcel Agreement and \$75,000 for the West Parcel Agreement. Payments will be made to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund. In addition, any disturbance of soils on the East Parcel must comply with the Soil Management Plan (SMP), attached as exhibit 3 to the East Parcel Agreement.

For thirty (30) calendar days following the date of publication of this document, EPA will receive written comments relating to this proposed settlement. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before November 14, 1997.

Availability

The proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreements are available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. A copy of the proposed settlement may also be obtained from Jeannie Cervera, Assistant Regional Counsel (ORC-3), Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Comments should reference "Lincoln Property Company No. 2233 (East Parcel) and Lincoln 237 Associates (West Parcel)—"South Bay Asbestos Superfund Site" and "Docket Numbers 97-14 and 97-15" and should be addressed to Jeannie Cervera at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeannie Cervera, Assistant Regional Counsel (ORC-3), Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; E-mail: cervera.jeannie@epamail.epa.gov; Phone (415) 744-1395.

Dated: October 6, 1997.

Frederick Schauffler

Director, Superfund Division, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 97-27271 Filed 10-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[WT Docket No. 97-199; FCC 97-322]

Broadband Block C Personal Communications Systems Facilities

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: An application of Westel Samoa, Inc. for a broadband Block C Personal Communications System authorization and six (6) applications of Westel, L.P. for broadband F Block Personal Communications System authorizations were designated for hearing. The Commission has determined that material questions of fact exist as to whether Westel Samoa, Inc., and Westel, L.P., through its principal, possess the requisite qualifications to be a Commission licensee. In addition, the Commission has ordered Anthony T. Easton to show cause why he should not be barred from holding any Commission license or

participating in any future Commission auctions. The Commission has determined that because of misrepresentations made by Mr. Easton he should be so barred.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Weber, Enforcement and Consumer Information Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (202) 418-1317.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hearing Designation Order, Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Order to Show Cause in WT Docket 97-199, adopted September 8, 1997, and released September 9, 1997.

The full text of Commission decisions are available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 239), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, N.W., Washington, DC. 20036 (202) 857-3800.

Summary of Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hearing Designation Order, Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Order To Show Cause

1. The Commission designated the application of Westel Samoa, Inc. and the six applications of Westel, L.P. (collectively referred to as "Westel") for hearing. Additionally, the Commission ordered Anthony T. Easton to show cause why he should not be barred from holding any Commission license or participating in any future Commission auction. On January 23, 1996, Mr. Easton, while acting as a bidding agent of PCS 2000, L.P. (PCS 2000), an applicant in the Commission's C Block Personal Communications Systems (PCS) auction, submitted a bid of \$180,060,000 (\$180 million bid) for Basic Trading Area (BTA) market B324. After the time for withdrawing bids had expired, PCS 2000 realized that it intended to bid \$18,006,000 for market B324. Upon this realization, Mr. Easton telephoned the Commission and stated that the Commission's computer had caused the bidding error and that he possessed documentation to verify that fact. Subsequent to the telephone conversation, Mr. Easton caused documents to be sent to the Commission by facsimile which purported to demonstrate that the bid for market B324 was only \$18 million and not \$180 million.

2. The Commission learned from one of Mr. Easton's employees that the materials sent by Mr. Easton were not

the original bidding documents. The employee sent copies of the original bidding information to the Commission the following day by facsimile. The original bidding documents sent by the employee bore Mr. Easton's hand-signed initials along with the date and time the document was created.

3. The same employee conveyed information to a senior employee in Mr. Easton's office that Mr. Easton was misrepresenting facts to the Commission. That employee relayed the information regarding Mr. Easton to Mr. Quentin Breen, a PCS 2000 director and principal of Westel. The first employee who witnessed Mr. Easton's actions also conveyed the information concerning Mr. Easton's deception before the Commission to Mr. Breen. At the time the information was communicated, Mr. Breen was taking part of a PCS 2000 Board of Directors meeting. However, Mr. Breen failed to reveal any of the information regarding Mr. Easton's deception to either the Board of Directors or to the Commission.

4. Pursuant to sections 309(e), 312(a), and 312(c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Westel Samoa, Inc.'s application, Westel, L.P.'s six applications, have been designated for hearing, and Anthony T. Easton has been ordered to show cause why he should not be barred from holding any attributable interest in a Commission authorization or participate in future auctions in a consolidated proceeding upon the following issues listed below:

(I) To determine, based on Anthony T. Easton's misrepresentations before and lack of candor exhibited towards the Commission, whether Mr. Easton should be barred from holding Commission authorizations and participating in future Commission auctions.

(II) (a) To determine the facts and circumstances surrounding the conduct of Quentin L. Breen in connection with PCS 2000's bids placed on January 23, 1996, in the Commission's Broadband PCS C Block auction;

(b) To determine, based on the evidence adduced above, whether Quentin L. Breen engaged in misrepresentations before and/or exhibited a lack of candor towards the Commission.

(III) To determine, based on the evidence adduced in Issue 2, whether Westel Samoa, Inc., and Westel, L.P., possess the requisite character qualifications to be granted the captioned C Block and F Block Broadband Personal Communications System applications, and accordingly, whether grant of their applications