Service area	Applicant name
VA–8	Legal Aid Society of Roanoke Valley.
VA–9	Tidewater Legal Aid Society.
VA–10	Virginia Legal Aid Society, Inc.
VA–11	Southside Virginia Legal Services, Inc.
VA–12	Blue Ridge Legal Services, Inc.
VA–13	Client Centered Legal Services of Southwest Virginia, Inc.
MVA	Peninsula Legal Aid Center, Inc.
WA–1	Northwest Justice Project.
NWA–1	Northwest Justice Project.
MWA	Northwest Justice Project.
WV–1	Appalachian Research and Defense Fund, Inc.
WV–2	Legal Aid Society of Charleston.
WV–3	West Virginia Legal Services Plan, Inc.
MWV	West Virginia Legal Services Plan, Inc.
WI–1	Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.
WI–2	Wisconsin Judicare, Inc.
WI–3	Legal Services of Northeastern Wisconsin, Inc.
WI–4	Western Wisconsin Legal Services, Inc.
NWI–1	Wisconsin Judicare, Inc.
MWI	Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.
WY–4	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.
NWY–1	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.
MWY	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.

These grants and contracts will be awarded under the authority conferred on LSC by the Legal Services Corporation Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2996e(a)(1)). Awards will be made so that each service area indicated is served by one of the organizations listed above, although none of the listed organizations are guaranteed an award or contract. This public notice is issued pursuant to the LSC Act (42 U.S.C. 2996f(f)), with a request for comments and recommendations concerning the potential grantees within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Grants will become effective and grant funds will be distributed on or about January 1, 1998.

Dated: October 1, 1997.

John A. Tull,

Director, Office of Program Operations.

[FR Doc. 97–26445 Filed 10–3–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7050–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–003 and 50–247]

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 1 and 2); Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering approval under 10 CFR 50.80, by issuance of an Order, the transfer of control of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–5 and DPR–26, for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units No. 1 (IP1) and No. 2 (IP2), located in Westchester County, New York, to the extent such transfer would be affected by the proposed corporate reorganization of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Ed, the licensee), holder of the licenses.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would consent to the transfer of control of the licenses, to the extent affected by the reorganization of Con Ed by establishment of a holding company. Con Ed would become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the holding company and would continue to be the licensee for IP1 and IP2. The proposed action is in accordance with Con Ed's application dated December 24, 1996.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to the extent the proposed reorganization of Con Ed will effect a transfer of control of the licenses. Con Ed has submitted that the proposed restructuring will enable it to better prepare to implement changes resulting from electric industry restructuring, and will enhance the insulation of Con Ed's nuclear utility business from business risks associated with non-nuclear enterprises.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed corporate restructuring and concludes that there will be no physical or operational changes to IP1 and IP2. The corporate restructuring will not affect the qualifications or organizational affiliation of the personnel who operate or maintain the facility, as Con Ed will continue to be responsible for the operation of IP2 and the maintenance and possession of IP1, which is permanently shut down.

The Commission has evaluated the environmental impact of the proposed action and had determined that the probability or consequences of accidents would not be increased by the proposed action, and that post-accident radiological releases would not be greater than previously determined. Further, the Commission has determined that the proposed action would not affect routine radiological exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action would not affect nonradiological plant effluents and would have no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission concluded that there are not significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed

action and the alternative action are identical.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2, dated November 1976.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 23, 1997, the staff consulted with the New York State Official, Heidi Volk, of the New York State Research and Development Authority regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 24, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10610.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of September 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jefferey F. Harold,

Project Manager, Project Directorate I–1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97–26406 Filed 10–3–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40–6622]

Pathfinder Mines Corporation; Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of application from Pathfinder Mines Corporation to change three site-reclamation milestones in Condition 50 of Source Material License SUA–442 for the Shirley Basin, Wyoming Uranium Mill site.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received, by letter dated September 11, 1997, an application from Pathfinder Mines Corporation (PMC) to amend License Condition (LC) 50 of its Source Material License No. SUA–442 for the Shirley Basin, Wyoming uranium mill site. The license amendment application proposes to modify LC 50 to change the completion date for three site-reclamation milestones. The new dates proposed by PMC would extend completion of placement of the interim cover over tailings pile by two years, completion of placement of the final radon barrier by three years, and completion of placement of the erosion protection cover by three years.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mohammad W. Haque, Uranium Recovery Branch, Division of Waste Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone (301) 415–6640.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The portion of LC 50 with the proposed changes would read as follows:

A. (2) Placement of the interim cover to decrease the potential for tailings dispersal and erosion-December 31, 1999.

A. (3) Placement of final radon barrier designed and constructed to limit radon emissions to an average flux of no more than 20 pCi/m^2/s above background-December 31, 2002.

B. (1) Placement of erosion protection as part of reclamation to comply with Criterion 6 of Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 40-December 31, 2003.

PMC's application to amend LC 50 of Source Material License SUA-442, which describes the proposed changes to the license condition and the reasons for the request is being made available for public inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555.

The NRC hereby provides notice of an opportunity for a hearing on the license amendment under the provisions of 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart L. ''Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings.'' Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), and person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing. In accordance with § 2.1205(c), a request for hearing must be filed within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The request for a hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, either:

(1) By delivery to the Docketing and Service Branch of the Office of the Secretary at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike. Rockville MD 20852; or

(2) By mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205(e), each request for a hearing must also be served, by delivering it personally or by mail, to:

(1) The applicant, Pathfinder Mines Corporation, 935 Pendell Boulevard, P.O. Box 730, Mills, Wyoming 82644, Attention: Tom Hardgrove; and

(2) The NRC staff, by delivery to the Executive Director for Operations, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852 or by mail addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

In addition to meeting other applicable requirements of 10 CFR Part 2 of the NRC's regulations, a request for a hearing filed by a person other than an applicant must describe in detail:

(1) The interest of the requestor in the proceeding;

(2) How that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requestor should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in § 2.1205(g);

(3) The requestor's areas of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject matter of the proceeding; and

(4) The circumstances establishing that the request for a hearing is timely in accordance with § 2.1205(c).

The request must also set forth the specific aspect or aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes a hearing.

Dated: at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of September 1997.

Joseph J. Holonich,

Chief Uranium Recovery Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 97–26401 Filed 10–3–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P