

revision, published on July 25, 1996. Several minor regulation citations and the date of the Governor's SIP submission letter were erroneously cited in the final approval. The EPA is correcting these citations in this action. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 2, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Eaton R. Weiler, (214) 665-2174

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

On July 25, 1996, EPA published a final approval of Louisiana's RACT Catch-up SIP revision (61 FR 38590). Several errors in the Incorporation by Reference (IBR) language were discovered subsequent to publication. The revisions to LAC 33:III.2103 included IBR to revise paragraphs G., G.1, and G.4. The correct citation should have been to revise paragraphs G., I, and I.4. The *Waste Gas Disposal* regulation was cited as Section 2215. The correct cite for *Waste Gas Disposal* is Section 2115. In addition, Section 2215's introductory paragraph, paragraphs H. and H.5 were unnecessarily adopted. The April 14, 1993, SIP submission further amended Section 2115 and alleviated the need to adopt revisions to 2115 from the December 21, 1992, submission. Finally, the second of the two SIP submissions from Louisiana was submitted to EPA from the Governor of Louisiana by letter dated April 14, 1993. The date of this letter was erroneously stated as April 13, 1993.

**II. Administrative Requirements**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4), or require prior consultation with State officials as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Because this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, it is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*)

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule

and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this rule in today's **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 19, 1997.

**Jerry Clifford,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation of part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

**Subpart T—Louisiana**

2. Section 52.970 is amended by revising paragraphs (c)(64) introductory text and (c)(64)(i) to read as follows:

**§ 52.970 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(64) Revisions to the Louisiana SIP addressing VOC RACT catch-up requirements were submitted by the Governor of Louisiana by letters dated December 21, 1992, and April 14, 1993.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Revisions to LAC, Title 33, Environmental Quality, Part III. Air; Chapter 21. Control of Emissions of Organic Compounds, Subchapter A. General; section 2103. Storage of Volatile Organic Compounds, paragraphs G., I., I.4.; section 2109. Oil/Water Separation, paragraph B.4.; Subchapter B. Organic Solvents; section 2123. Organic Solvents, paragraph D.6.; Subchapter C. Vapor Degreasers; section 2125. Vapor Degreasers, paragraph D.; Subchapter F. Gasoline Handling; section 2131. Filling of Gasoline Storage Vessels, paragraphs D., D.1., D.3., G.; section 2135. Bulk Gasoline Terminals, paragraph A.; Subchapter H. Graphic Arts; section 2143. Graphic Arts (Printing) by Rotogravure and Flexographic Processes, paragraph B, as adopted by LDEQ on October 20, 1992.

(B) Revisions to LAC, Title 33, Environmental Quality, Part III. Air; Chapter 21. Control of Emissions of Organic Compounds, Subchapter A.

General; section 2115. Waste Gas Disposal, introductory paragraph, paragraphs H., H.1., H.1.a through H.1.d, H.2, H.2.a, H.2.b, H.3, L., as adopted by LDEQ on March 20, 1993.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 97-26181 Filed 10-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 81**

[NV029-0003A; FRL-5900-1]

**Clean Air Act Reclassification; Nevada-Clark County Nonattainment Area; Carbon Monoxide**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document EPA is making a final finding that the Clark County, Nevada carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area has not attained the CO national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) under the Clean Air Act (CAA) after having received a one year extension from the mandated attainment date of December 31, 1995 for moderate nonattainment areas to December 31, 1996. This finding is based on EPA's review of monitored air quality data for compliance with the CO NAAQS. As a result of this finding, the Clark County, Nevada nonattainment area is reclassified as a serious CO nonattainment area by operation of law. The intended effect of the reclassification is to allow the State 18 months from the effective date of this action to submit a new State Implementation Plan (SIP) demonstrating attainment of the CO NAAQS as expeditiously as practical but no later than December 31, 2000, the CAA attainment date for serious areas.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This action is effective on November 3, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Larry Biland, AIR-2, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, (415) 744-1227.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

*A. CAA Requirements and EPA Actions Concerning Designation and Classifications*

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA) were enacted on November 15, 1990. Under section 107(d)(1)(C) of the CAA, each carbon monoxide (CO)

area designated nonattainment prior to enactment of the 1990 Amendments, such as the Clark County area, was designated nonattainment by operation of law upon enactment of the 1990 Amendments. Under section 186(a) of the Act, each CO area designated nonattainment under section 107(d) was also classified by operation of law as either "moderate" or "serious" depending on the severity of the area's air quality problem. CO areas with design values between 9.1 and 16.4 parts per million (ppm), such as the Clark County area, were classified as moderate. These nonattainment designations and classifications were codified in 40 CFR part 81. See 56 FR 56694 (November 6, 1991).

States containing areas that were classified as moderate nonattainment by operation of law under section 107(d) were required to submit State implementation plans (SIPs) designed to attain the CO national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) as expeditiously as practicable but no later than December 31, 1995.<sup>1</sup>

#### B. Attainment Date Extensions

If a state does not have the two consecutive years of clean data necessary to show attainment of the NAAQS, it may apply, under section 186(a)(4) of the CAA, for a one year attainment date extension. EPA may, in its discretion, grant such an extension if: (1) The state has complied with the requirements and commitments pertaining to the applicable implementation plan for the area; and (2) the area has measured no more than one exceedance of the CO NAAQS at any monitoring site in the nonattainment area in the year preceding the extension year. Under section 186(a)(4), EPA may grant up to two such extensions if these conditions have been met. EPA has granted Clark County one extension to December 31, 1996. (61 FR 575407, Wednesday, Nov. 6, 1996).

#### C. Effect of Reclassification

CO nonattainment areas reclassified as serious are required to submit, within 18 months of the area's reclassification, SIP revisions providing for attainment of the CO NAAQS as expeditiously as practicable but no later than December 31, 2000. In addition, the State must submit a SIP revision that includes: (1) A forecast of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) for each year before the

attainment year and provisions for annual updates of these forecasts; (2) adopted contingency measures; and (3) adopted transportation control measures and strategies to offset any growth in CO emissions from growth in VMT or number of vehicle trips. See CAA sections 187(a)(7), 187(a)(2)(A), 187(a)(3), 187(b)(2), and 187(b)(1). Finally, upon the effective date of this reclassification, contingency measures in the moderate area plan for the Clark County, Nevada nonattainment area must be implemented.

#### D. Proposed Finding of Failure to Attain

On June 26, 1997 EPA proposed to find that the Clark County, Nevada carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area had failed to attain the CO NAAQS by the applicable attainment date. 62 FR 34419. This proposed finding was based on CO monitoring data collected at the East Charleston monitoring site during the years 1995 and 1996. These data demonstrate violations of the CO NAAQS in 1996. For the specific data considered by EPA in making this proposed finding, see 62 FR 34419.

#### E. Reclassification to a Serious Nonattainment Area

EPA has the responsibility, pursuant to sections 179(c) and 186(b)(2) of the CAA, of determining, within six months of the applicable attainment date, whether the Clark County area has attained the CO NAAQS. Under section 186(b)(2)(A), if EPA finds that the area has not attained the CO NAAQS, it is reclassified as serious by operation of law. Pursuant to section 186(b)(2)(B) of the Act, EPA must publish a document in the **Federal Register** identifying areas which failed to attain the standard and therefore must be reclassified as serious by operation of law.

EPA makes attainment determinations for CO nonattainment areas based upon whether an area has two years (or eight consecutive quarters) of clean air quality data.<sup>2</sup> Section 179(c)(1) of the Act states that the attainment determination must be based upon an area's "air quality as of the attainment date." Consequently, where an area has received an extension, EPA will determine whether an area's air quality has met the CO NAAQS by the required date, or in the case of Clark County by the extended date of December 31, 1996, based upon

the most recent two years of air quality data.

EPA determines a CO nonattainment area's air quality status in accordance with 40 CFR 50.8 and EPA policy.<sup>3</sup> EPA has promulgated two NAAQS for CO: an 8-hour average concentration and a 1-hour average concentration. Because there were no violations of the 1-hour standard in the Clark County area, this document addresses only the air quality status of the Clark County area with respect to the 8-hour standard. The 8-hour CO NAAQS requires that not more than one non-overlapping 8-hour average in any consecutive two-year period per monitoring site can exceed 9.0 ppm (values below 9.5 are rounded down to 9.0 and they are not considered exceedances). The second exceedance of the 8-hour CO NAAQS at a given monitoring site within the same two-year period constitutes a violation of the CO NAAQS.

#### II. Response to Comments on Proposed Finding

During the public comment period on EPA's proposed finding, EPA received no comments.

#### III. Today's Action

EPA is today taking final action to find that the Clark County CO nonattainment area did not attain the CO NAAQS by December 31, 1996, the CAA attainment date for moderate CO nonattainment areas. As a result of this finding, the Clark County CO nonattainment area is reclassified by operation of law as a serious CO nonattainment area as of the effective date of this document. This finding is based upon air quality data showing exceedances of the CO NAAQS during 1995 and 1996, resulting in two violations in 1996.

#### IV. Executive Order (EO) 12866

Under E.O. 12866, 58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993), EPA is required to determine whether regulatory actions are significant and therefore should be subject to OMB review, economic analysis, and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Executive Order defines a "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may meet at least one of the four criteria identified in section 3(f), including, under paragraph (1), that the rule may "have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect, in a material way, the

<sup>1</sup> The moderate area SIP requirements are set forth in section 187(a) of the Act and differ depending on whether the area's design value is below or above 12.7 ppm. The Clark County area has a design value below 12.7 ppm. 40 CFR 81.303.

<sup>2</sup> See generally memorandum from Sally L. Shaver, Director, Air Quality Strategies and Standards Division, EPA, to Regional Air Office Directors, entitled "Criteria for Granting Attainment Date Extensions, Making Attainment Determinations, and Determinations of Failure to Attain the NAAQS for Moderate CO Nonattainment Areas," October 23, 1995 (Shaver memorandum).

<sup>3</sup> See memorandum from William G. Laxton, Director Technical Support Division, entitled "Ozone and Carbon Monoxide Design Value Calculations", June 18, 1990. See also Shaver memorandum.

economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities”.

The Agency has determined that the finding of failure to attain finalized today would result in none of the effects identified in section 3(f). Under section 186(b)(2) of the CAA, findings of failure to attain and reclassification of nonattainment areas are based upon air quality considerations and must occur by operation of law in light of certain air quality conditions. They do not, in and of themselves, impose any new requirements on any sectors of the economy. In addition, because the statutory requirements are clearly defined with respect to the differently classified areas, and because those requirements are automatically triggered by classifications that, in turn, are triggered by air quality values, findings of failure to attain and reclassification cannot be said to impose a materially adverse impact on State, local, or tribal governments or communities.

#### V. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

As discussed in section III of this document, findings of failure to attain and reclassification of nonattainment areas under section 186(b)(2) of the CAA do not in-and-of-themselves create any new requirements. Therefore, I certify that today's action does not have a significant impact on small entities.

#### VI. Unfunded Mandates Act

Under sections 202, 203 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Unfunded Mandates Act), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must assess whether various actions undertaken in association with proposed or final regulations include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to the private sector, or to state, local or tribal governments in the aggregate. EPA believes, as discussed above, that the finding of failure to attain and reclassification of the Clark County nonattainment area are factual determinations based upon air quality

considerations and must occur by operation of law and, hence, do not impose any Federal intergovernmental mandate, as defined in section 101 of the Unfunded Mandates Act.

#### VII. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in today's **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Intergovernmental relations.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: September 18, 1997.

**Harry Seraydarian,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-26187 Filed 10-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 258

[F-97-FLXF-FFFF; FRL-5900-7]

RIN 2050-AE24

##### Revisions to Criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** On July 29, 1997, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a direct final rule (62 FR 40708) which established regulations to implement the Land Disposal Program Flexibility Act of 1996 (LDPFA). These regulations provide additional flexibility to Approved States for any municipal solid waste landfill (MSWLF) that receives 20 tons or less of municipal solid waste per day. The additional flexibility applies to alternative frequencies of daily cover, frequencies of methane monitoring, and infiltration layers for final cover. As stated in the preamble to the direct final rule, provisions contained in the Revised Criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills (56 FR 51104; October 9, 1991 and 61 FR 60327; November 27,

1996) provide the additional flexibility for demonstrating financial assurance contemplated by Congress in the LDPFA. The additional flexibility will allow the owners and operators of small MSWLFs the opportunity to reduce their costs of MSWLF operation while still protecting human health and the environment. The EPA has not received an adverse comment objecting to this rule as written. Therefore, this rule will go into effect as scheduled.

**DATES:** The effective date of the direct final rule published at 62 FR 40708 remains October 27, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information, contact the RCRA Hotline at 800 424-9346 or TDD 800 553-7672 (hearing impaired). In the Washington, DC, metropolitan area, call 703 412-9810 or TDD 703 412-3323.

For more detailed information on specific aspects of this rulemaking, contact Mr. Allen J. Geswein, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste (5306W), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460, 703 308-7261, [geswein.allen@epamail.epa.gov].

**Elizabeth A. Cotsworth,**

*Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.*

[FR Doc. 97-25879 Filed 10-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 721

[OPPTS-50625B; FRL-5744-6]

RIN 2070-AB27

##### Revocation of Significant New Use Rules for Certain Acrylate Substances

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is revoking significant new use rules (SNURs) promulgated under section 5(a)(2) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) for 95 substances based on new toxicity data. Based on the new data the Agency no longer finds that activities not described in the TSCA section 5(e) consent order may result in significant changes in human exposure.

**DATES:** This rule is effective November 3, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-543A, 401 M St., SW.,