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SUMMARY: The Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council have agreed to issue Federal Acquisition Circular 97–02, a final rule which revises Part 15 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and makes conforming changes to other parts of the FAR. This regulatory action was subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993. This is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

DATES: Effective Date: October 10, 1997.

Applicability Date: The policies, provisions, and clauses of this final rule are effective for all solicitations issued on or after October 10, 1997. However, agencies may delay implementation of this final rule until January 1, 1998, at which time it becomes mandatory for all solicitations issued on or after that date. Agencies using the new policies, provisions, and clauses before January 1, 1998, shall ensure that the cover page of the solicitation for each acquisition subject to this rule, and issued before January 1, 1998, contains a notice that this rule applies to that acquisition. Any solicitation issued before January 1, 1998, that does not contain such a solicitation notice or the new provisions and clauses is automatically conducted in accordance with the FAR excluding changes made by this final rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The FAR Secretariat, Room 4035, GS Building, Washington, DC 20405 (202) 501–4755 for information pertaining to status or publication schedules; For clarification of content, Ralph DeStefano at (202) 501–1758 or Melissa Rider at (703) 602–0131; For contract pricing issues Jerry Olson at (202) 501–3221 or Melissa Rider at (703) 602–0131. Please cite FAC 97–02, FAR case 95–029.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

On January 29, 1996, the FAR Council tasked an ad hoc interagency committee to rewrite FAR Part 15, Contracting by Negotiation. The rewrite originally was to be accomplished in two phases. Phase I, consisting of the rewrite of FAR 15.000, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, 15.6, and 15.10, covering acquisition techniques and source selection, was published for public comment in the Federal Register at 61 FR 48380 on September 12, 1996.

In the interest of increasing outreach to small entities, two public meetings were held to discuss the proposed rule in Washington, DC, on November 8, 1996, and in Kansas City, MO, on November 18, 1996. The public comment period closed on November 26, 1996. The Government received 1541 comments from 100 respondents and considered all comments in drafting revisions to the rule. Due to the significant changes made as a result of public comments, the FAR Council decided to publish a revised proposed rule, that included previously unpublished, Phase II, proposed changes covering Subparts 15.5, 15.7, 15.8, and 15.9, and that incorporated changes made as a result of public comments submitted in response to FAR Case 96–303, Competitive Range Determinations.

The revised proposed rule was published in the Federal Register on May 14, 1997 (62 FR 26639). The public comment period closed on July 14, 1997. The Government received 841 comments from 80 respondents and considered all the comments in drafting the final rule.

Case Summary

This final rule modifies concepts and processes in the current FAR Part 15, introduces new policies, and incorporates changes in pricing and unsolicited proposal policy. In addition, the sequence in which the information is presented has been revised to facilitate use of the regulation. The final rule does not alter the full and open competition provisions of FAR Part 6. The goals of this rewrite are to infuse innovative techniques into the source selection process, simplify the process, and facilitate the acquisition of best value. The rewrite emphasizes the need for contracting officers to use effective and efficient acquisition methods, and eliminates regulations that impose unnecessary burdens on industry and on Government contracting officers.

The following were considered in drafting this final rule: information received in connection with public meetings held on January 25, 1996, November 8, 1996, and November 18, 1996; public comments received in response to three advance notices of proposed rulemaking (60 FR 63023, December 8, 1995; 60 FR 65360, December 19, 1995; and 60 FR 67113, December 28, 1995); public comments received in response to publication of the Phase I proposed rule in the Federal Register (61 FR 48380, September 12, 1996); public comments received in response to publication of the revised proposed rule in the Federal Register (62 FR 26639, May 14, 1997); public comments received in response to publication of the Competitive Range Determinations proposed rule in the Federal Register (61 FR 40116, July 31, 1996); inputs received over the Acquisition Reform Network (an Internet forum); inputs received from members of Congress and Congressional staff, Government agencies, the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council, and the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP); inputs received in response to other notices of the rewrite in various print media and conferences; and inputs received from Government fora such as the Front Line Professional’s Forum and the Federal Procurement Executive Association.

Summary of Changes

This final rule reengineers the processes used to contract by negotiation, with the intent of reducing the resources necessary for source selection and reducing time to contract award. The goals of the FAR Part 15 Rewrite are to ensure that the Government, when contracting by negotiation, receives the best value, while ensuring the fair treatment of offerors. The final rule reengineers the acquisition process in the current FAR and incorporates changes to the proposed rule by:

• Supporting more open exchanges between the Government and industry, allowing industry to better understand the requirement and the Government to better understand industry proposals;

• Reestablishing the “late is late” rule for receipt of proposals, responses to requests for information, and modifications;

• Emphasizing that no offeror, otherwise eligible to submit a proposal in response to a Government solicitation, will be excluded from the competitive range without its proposal being initially reviewed and evaluated solely against all the evaluation factors.
and significant subfactors in the solicitation;
• Reiterating that all proposals received will be evaluated based upon the criteria in the solicitation;
• Reducing the bid and proposal costs for industry by providing early feedback as to whether a proposal is truly competitive;
• Eliminating mandatory forms currently used as cover sheets for submitting cost or pricing data (SF 1411) and information other than cost or pricing data (SF 1440);
• Simplifying the exception to obtaining cost or pricing data for modifications to contracts for commercial items;
• Revising guidance pertaining to field pricing to reflect the need for greater flexibility and teamwork in today's acquisition environment;
• Simplifying guidance pertaining to unbalanced pricing to reflect its use as a proposal analysis technique designed to assess risk and protect the Government's economic interest;
• Eliminating the requirement for a separate determination and findings supporting cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts;
• Realigning fee limitations with statute, and permitting the contracting officer's signature on the price negotiation memorandum or other documentation of the negotiated price to serve as a determination that fee limits have not been exceeded;
• Increasing the scope of discussions;
• Requiring that adverse past performance to which an offeror has not had an opportunity to respond be brought to the offeror's attention before it can be the determining factor for exclusion from the competitive range;
• Requiring that all adverse past performance information be brought to the offeror's attention during discussions, if the offeror is placed in the competitive range;
• Changing the standard for admission into the competitive range (to all proposals most highly rated) and implementing Section 4103 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-106);
• Streamlining the post-competitive range process by enhancing the ability of the parties to communicate and document understandings reached during discussions.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

A Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) has been performed and will be provided to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. Because of the broad range of acquisitions impacted by this rule and the extensive public response to both of the proposed rules, the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is published in its entirety:

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

[FR Case 95-029, FAR Part 15 Rewrite]

This final regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared consistent with the criteria of 5 U.S.C. 604,

1. Succinct statement of the need for, and the objectives of, the rule.

Historically, the executive branch has undertaken a continuous improvement approach to the acquisition process, particularly since the end of World War II. In 1947, the National Security Act established an acquisition process for the Department of Defense. Since that time, at least six major executive branch commissions have separately examined the problems of effectively managing Federal acquisition.

In 1997, the Commission on Government Procurement recommended that a consolidated Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) be established. Later, the Packard Commission called for a simpler and clearer acquisition framework. In addition, the FAR System, composed of the Defense Acquisition, and Streamlining Council, the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council, and the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council, has been active in the maintenance and continuous improvement of the FAR for many years now. Congress has also periodically substantially in the reform of Federal acquisition practices. Section 800 of Public Law 101-510 (the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991) directed the Department of Defense to establish the ‘‘DoD Advisory Panel on Streamlining and Codifying Acquisition Laws.’’ The panel recommended changes to acquisition statutes in order to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the acquisition process, while keeping in mind the need to provide a fair acquisition system. The panel’s recommendations, published in January 1993, formed the basis of the reforms contained in the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 and the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996.

The Part 15 rewrite is a normal product of the continuous improvement process employed for maintenance of the FAR. It is worth noting that in the past few years several other parts of the FAR have also been rewritten, including Part 13, Simplified Acquisition Procedures; Part 37, Service Contracting; and Part 45, Government Property. The Part 15 rewrite, like the rewrite of these other FAR parts, conformed with the general reform philosophy espoused by the Clinton-Gore Administration. Vice President Gore, in the Report of the National Performance Review: Creating a Government that Works Better & Costs Less recognized the need for deregulation in the acquisition process. The report, published in 1993, emphasized that regulations should be rewritten to provide for empowerment and flexibility. According to the report, the acquisition regulations should: shift from rigid rules to guiding principles; promote decision making at the lowest feasible level; end unnecessary regulatory requirements; foster competitiveness and commercial practices; and shift to a new emphasis on choosing “best value” products.

We decided to revise Part 15 for several reasons. In 1995, DoD conducted a survey of the defense industry, military departments, and defense agencies to ascertain which parts of the FAR were most used. The responses indicated a general consensus that Part 15 was one of the parts that would most benefit from such an effort. Secondly, within the Government, the preponderance of contracting expenditures are accomplished using Part 15 procedures. Finally, the results of a 1991 FAR Improvement Study, conducted by the General Services Administration indicated that Subparts 15.6, Source Selection, and 15.8, Price Negotiation, were the most difficult parts of the FAR to use.

On January 29, 1996, the FAR Council tasked an ad hoc interagency committee to rewrite FAR Part 15, Contracting by Negotiation. The rewrite was to be accomplished in two phases. Phase I, consisting of the rewrite of FAR Parts 15.000, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, 15.5, and 15.10 covering acquisition techniques and source selection, was published for public comment in the Federal Register on September 12, 1996. In the interest of increasing outreach to small entities, two public meetings were held to discuss the proposed rule: in Washington, DC, on November 8, 1996, and in Kansas City, MO, on November 18, 1996. In addition, the opportunity for an evening public meeting was published in the September 12, 1996, Federal Register notice to accommodate schedule constraints that may prevent small entities from being represented at the public meetings. The public comment period closed on November 26, 1996. We received 1541 comments from 100 respondents. Due to the significant changes made as a result of analyzing and resolving public comments, we decided to publish a second proposed rule. All of the comments received were considered in drafting the second proposed rule. The rule was expanded to include the Phase II proposed changes, covering Subparts 15.5, 15.7, 15.8, and 15.9. The revised rule also subsumed FAR Case 96-303, Competitive Range Determinations, and addressed the related public comments. The second proposed rule was published in the Federal Register on May 14, 1997 (62 FR 26639). We received 841 comments from 80 respondents and considered all the comments in drafting the final rule.

The goal of the rewrite is to infuse innovative techniques into the source selection process, simplify the acquisition process, incorporate changes in pricing and unsolicited proposal policy, and facilitate the acquisition of best value products and services. The rewrite emphasizes the use of effective and efficient acquisition methods and eliminates unnecessary burdens imposed on industry and Government. Elimination of burdens and creation of a simplified, efficient, and impartial acquisition process benefits all participants in Government contracting, especially small businesses. In addition, the rule revises the sequence in which Part 15 information is presented to facilitate use of the regulation.
2. Summary of the significant issues raised by the public comments in response to the initial regulatory flexibility analysis, a summary of the assessment of the agency of such issues, and a statement of any changes made in the proposed rule as a result of such comments.

Several significant issues were raised by the public comments. We have addressed these issues as follows:

- **Competitive range determinations.** Some respondents expressed concern that the shift in competitive range policy to encourage retaining only those offerors rated most highly rather than all those with a reasonable chance of award may inhibit awards to small entities. This revision is consistent with the philosophy of Section 4103 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996. The competitive range guidance in the final rule indicates that contracting officers shall establish a competitive range comprised of only those proposals most highly rated. In contrast, the current FAR advises contracting officers "when there is doubt as to whether a proposal is in the competitive range, the proposal should be included." We considered retaining the existing FAR standard for inclusion in the competitive range, but ultimately rejected it because there are readily discernible benefits from including only the most highly rated offers in the competitive range. First, those included will know that they have a good chance of winning the competition—making it in their best interests to compete aggressively. Second, those eliminated from the range are spared the burden of having an award they have little or no chance of winning. Retaining marginal offers in the range imposes additional, and largely futile, effort and cost on both the Government and industry. We also note that comments received from Government agencies indicate that award is nearly always made to one of the three most highly rated offerors in the competitive range. Therefore, including an offeror that is not most highly rated in the competitive range would not likely impact the final award. The final rule ensures that offerors with little probability of success, are advised early on that their competitive position does not merit additional expense in a largely futile attempt to secure the contract.

This knowledge will benefit both large and small entities, but will be especially beneficial to small entities that have constrained budgets. These entities will be able to conserve scarce bid and proposal funds and employ their resources on more productive business opportunities. In addition, the new standard has the derivative benefit of encouraging offerors to submit better, more robust initial proposals in recognition of the fact that only the most highly rated proposals will be included in the competitive range.

- **Limiting the competitive range in the interest of efficiency.** Some respondents expressed concern that allowing the contracting officer to limit the competitive range in the interest of efficiency would provide a level of discretion to contracting officers that could lead to abuses. The comments expressed a concern that offerors might be excluded from the competitive range for arbitrary reasons unrelated to the actual procurement. In addition, one small business submitted a public comment in support of the efficient competitive range. This language implements the requirements of Section 4103 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996. The competitive range guidance in the final rule indicates that contracting officers, in certain circumstances, to reduce the number of proposals in the competitive range to the "greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated offerors only if offerors have been advised of this possibility in the solicitation, and only after evaluating information received in accordance with the criteria specified in the solicitation.

- **Expanded exchanges throughout the acquisition process.** Some respondents expressed concerns that increased exchanges between the Government and potential contractors to achieve a better understanding of the Government’s requirements and the offerors’ proposals. This rule contains limit on exchanges that preclude favoring one offeror over another, revealing offerors’ technical solutions, revealing offerors’ communications without the offerors’ permission, and knowingly furnishing source selection information.

- **Use of neutral past performance evaluations.** Some respondents expressed concerns that neutral past performance evaluations are not adequately defined, and that the rule does not contain sufficient implementing guidance. One respondent suggested that, to avoid abuses of neutral rating, offerors granted such ratings should be required to submit a record of their lack of opportunity to acquire a record of relevant past performance. The second proposed rule contained a definition of neutral rating, and asked respondents to provide suggestions for a better definition. We received only one such suggestion, and, upon analysis, we found that the suggestion did not actually provide a definition of neutral rating but, rather, provided a way to limit the application of neutral ratings. Instead, the final rule includes language based on 41 U.S.C. 405j(2) providing offerors, without a previous rating, the opportunity of either providing a new rating that neither rewards nor penalizes the offeror. We selected this alternative to allow the facts of the instant acquisition to be used in determining what rating scheme would satisfy requirements of the statute.

- **Ability of offerors to address adverse past performance information before it can be used in a source selection.** Respondents, especially the small business community, expressed concerns that offerors might be excluded from a competition on the basis of incorrect past performance information that they have not had the opportunity to address. In response to this concern, the final rule provides that, when conducting communications prior to establishing the competitive range, offerors, including small entities, shall be granted the opportunity to explain situations that contributed to an adverse past performance rating which, if they have not had a previous opportunity to respond, before such ratings can be the determining factor for exclusion from the competitive range.

- **Impact of oral presentations on small entities.** Respondents expressed concerns that the use of oral presentations may present barriers to the participation of small entities in Government procurement because they may be costly and require skills that small entities may not easily attain. The final rule requires contracting officials, among other factors, the impact on small businesses, including cost, before using oral presentations. In fact, based on a recommendation from the Small Business Administration, the final rule also contains guidance on selecting alternatives to in-person presentations (e.g., teleconferencing). Generally, oral presentations are expected to be less costly to prepare than formal written proposals. Experience accumulated by agencies that have already used oral presentations indicates that use of this technique has either impacted the participation by small entities, or has had no adverse impact on their level of participation.

- **The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Departments of the Army, Energy, HHS, and Treasury submitted comments describing their experiences in using oral presentations.** The Department of Energy (DOE) indicated that small businesses that had not previously participated in DOE procurements, competed on procurements using oral presentations. Ft. Sam Houston in San Antonio indicated that, during oral presentations, the lead time on a recent procurement for outpatient clinics was five months, compared to a lead-time of 13–15 months on previous procurements. They further indicated that proposals that previously required “at least two trips with a two-wheel dolly” were reduced to one envelope as a result of using oral presentations. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) stated that in using oral presentations they have always been able to award the contract ahead of their 180-day lead-time target and have been able to save the Government thousands of dollars. The CDC has used oral presentations almost exclusively on small business set-asides, and comments from the offerors have been very positive. The NRC reports that in no case did a large business receive an award for work that was previously performed by a small business.

- **Estimate of the number of small entities affected by the rule.** Several respondents representing small entities expressed concerns regarding the estimate in the initial regulatory flexibility analysis of small
entities impacted by the proposed rule. We have researched the statistics available in the Federal Procurement Data System, and have revised our estimate. Our discussion of the revised estimate is included in paragraph 3.

- Whether or not this is a major rule, subject to OMB review and analysis under Executive Order 12866. Respondents expressed concern as to whether this rule should be deemed a major rule. The Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, has determined that this is not a significant rule, under Executive Order 12866, subject to OMB review and analysis. However, this is not a major rule, as defined in the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 804, because it does not meet the criteria identified at 5 U.S.C. 804(2). In accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 801 (as added by Subtitle E of Public Law 104-121), a copy of the rule will be provided to Congress and OMB.

3. Description of and an estimate of the number of small entities to which the rule will apply or an explanation of why no such estimate is available.

This rule will apply to all entities, large and small, (including educational and nonprofit), that offer supplies or services to the Government in acquisitions using the Part 15 procedures. As a result of comments received in response to the proposed rule and the initial regulatory flexibility analyses, we have revised our estimate of the number of small entities that will be impacted by the rule.

- Upon further review and analysis, we have identified an error in the supporting data for the initial regulatory flexibility analyses. The figure of 602,000 was described as “Estimated number of entities impacted by rule” while in fact that figure is actually the product of the estimated number of actions impacted by the rule multiplied by the average number of participants in each action. This figure is not the estimated number of entities impacted by the rule, as it does not account the average number of actions in which each entity participated, and therefore is significantly larger than the actual number of entities impacted by the rule. In the next step in the supporting data calculation, this amount was then divided by 25, the estimated number of actions in which each entity participates. The result, 24,080, was properly identified as the “Estimated number of entities affected by the rule.”

- Based on Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) statistics for fiscal year 1996, we estimate that 17,717 small businesses received awards valued at $100,000 or more. This statistic includes small businesses receiving awards in response to Part 15 procedures as well as sealed bids. This statistic does not include small entities other than small businesses, such as small nonprofit organizations and small local governments. Current data collection categories do not provide this information. Information quantifying the number of unsuccessful offerors that are small entities is not collected at this time. Therefore, although we recognize that the number of small entities impacted by this rule is greater than the number of small entities receiving awards under Part 15 procedures, we do not have data that quantifies this difference.

- One respondent to the proposed rule indicated that approximately 28,000 to 30,000 small businesses participated in DoD acquisitions, and estimated that 200,000 to 500,000 participate Governmentwide. We cannot confirm either estimate, however, available data seems to contradict the Governmentwide estimate. According to the 1994 Report on Small Business Participation in Governmentwide Acquisitions, submitted to the President by the Administrator and the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for inclusion in The State of Small Business: A Report of the President 1994, estimates of the number of individual companies competing for Federal contract awards vary between 42,000 and 50,000 (Attach 1). This includes large as well as small entities. The 1995 Report (the most recent version available at the time this final rule was prepared) does not update this estimate. However, the 1995 Report does indicate that the overall number of small businesses in the U.S. economy has increased.

- FPDS statistics for fiscal year 1996 (the most recent FPDS statistics available at the time this analysis was prepared) indicate that there were 17,717 small businesses that received government contract awards over $100,000 (Attach 2). FPDS advises that, of the approximately 17,717 Governmentwide small businesses, 10,696 received contract awards from DoD (Attach 3). Application of the resulting ratio to the upper limit of the respondent’s estimated range (28,000 to 30,000 small businesses participating in DoD procurement) provides an estimate of about 49,692 small businesses participating in Governmentwide acquisitions. This estimate is probably higher than the actual number of such small businesses, as it is close to the estimates referenced in the President’s report cited above that include both large and small entities.

- Based on this analysis, we estimate that the number of small entities affected by this rule is no more than 49,692, or approximately 50,000.

4. Description of the projected reporting, recordkeeping and other compliance requirements of the rule, including an estimate of the classes of small entities that will be subject to the requirement and the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record.

This rule will impose no new reporting or recordkeeping requirements on large or small entities. The rule removes the requirement for the use of certain Government forms and formats in responding to requests for proposal. Offerors may extend the proposal acceptance period as part of proposal revisions instead of having to submit a separate proposal or extension. Offerors may identify their authorized negotiators without using a Government-required format. The Standard Form 1417, Presolicitation Notice and Response, is no longer required for negotiated acquisitions using Part 15 procedures.

5. Description of the steps the agency has taken to minimize the significant economic impact on small entities consistent with the stated objectives of applicable statutes, including a statement of the factual, policy, and legal reasons for selecting the alternative adopted in the final rule and why one of the other significant alternatives to the rule considered by the agency which affect the impact on small entities was rejected.

In developing the policies and procedures contained in the final rule, we considered the available alternative approaches, and the impacts, adverse and beneficial, of each of the alternatives to large offerors, small offerors, and the Government. Some of the options were bounded by statutory requirements and a preference for an impartial, efficient, and accessible acquisition system in which appropriate information is readily available to all participants. The final rule does not provide for flexible compliance by small entities because source selection officials will continue to establish entities as a small entity as provided in FAR 15.3, Source selection, including any applicable preferences for small entities.

There are five significant areas in which we were able to minimize the impact on small entities.

- Competitive range policy. We considered alternatives in the following areas in order to minimize the impact on small entities—

  a. Total bid and proposal costs borne by offerors, including small entities. As an alternative to the language contained in the final rule, we considered “offerors included in the competitive range” and “offerors in the competitive range” and “potential offerors of receiving an award outweighed the additional cost to an offeror of staying in the competition without having a realistic chance of winning, i.e., whether the long shot options were bounded by statutory requirements and a preference for an impartial, efficient, and accessible acquisition system in which appropriate information is readily available to all participants. The final rule does not provide for flexible compliance by small entities because source selection officials will continue to establish entities as a small entity as provided in FAR 15.3, Source selection, including any applicable preferences for small entities.

  b. Impacts on resources and cash flow. A smaller competitive range enables faster progress toward contract award. Therefore, all offerors excluded from the competitive range expend less resources on a competition without having a chance of winning an award when they have little, if any, chance of winning.

  (b) Impacts on resources and cash flow. A smaller competitive range enables faster progress toward contract award. Therefore, all offerors excluded from the competitive range expend less resources on a competition they have little or no chance of winning the competition, making it in their best interests to compete aggressively, and those eliminated from the range are spared the cost of pursuing an award when they have little, if any, chance of winning.

prolongs the award process and increases the costs to offerors with little or no chance of winning.

(b) Perception of barriers to submitting a proposal. The initial proposed rule contained a solicitation provision that identified a target number of offerors to be included in the competitive range. Public comments indicated that this created a perception that proposals would not be properly evaluated against the evaluation criteria in the solicitation or to establishment of the competitive range. Respondents indicated that they would view this as a barrier to submitting proposals and competing on Government contracting opportunities.

Therefore, we have revised the final rule to eliminate this solicitation provision, and to emphasize that all proposals received are evaluated against all the evaluation factors and significant subfactors in the solicitation before the competitive range is established.

(d) Limiting the competitive range in the interest of efficiency. The language in the final rule implements Section 4103 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996, that allows contracting officers, in certain circumstances, to reduce the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated offerors. We considered three alternatives to the language contained in the final rule—

(1) Include at least one small business proposal in the competitive range. At the suggestion of the Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy, we considered imposing a requirement to have at least one small business in the competitive range whenever any small businesses submit proposals. We did not adopt this alternative for two reasons. First, as noted above, public comments from agencies indicate that awards are nearly always made to the one of the three most highly rated proposals going into the competitive range. This is true even when small businesses do not submit proposals. The incidence of award to an offeror other than one of the three such proposals is so small that it does not support keeping any business, particularly a small one, in competition but limited number, which the business has virtually no chance of winning. Second, this recommendation could conflict with the requirements of Section 4103 of the Clinger-Cohen Act to include the most highly rated proposals in the competitive range, if the small business proposal is among the most highly rated.

(2) Provide examples of the factors to be considered in limiting the competitive range. The proposed rule contained a list of factors for the contracting officer to consider in establishing the competitive range. As a result of public comments raising concerns about the list, we revised the final rule to delete the list of factors. This permits the facts of the instant acquisition to guide the contracting officer in exercising this authority, instead of attempting to impose a static list on all circumstances. Both small and large offerors should benefit from this flexibility. The goal of our final rule language is to allow all participants in the process, both industry and Government, to optimize their resources.

(3) Provide a definition of efficiency. The proposed rule did not define an efficient competition. We received several public comments suggesting that such a definition be provided. Our assessment is that the definition of an efficient competition is dependent on the outcome of the competition. Instead of imposing a definition that may not be appropriate in certain circumstances, we chose to describe the process for limiting the competitive range for the purpose of efficiency. This enables the contracting officer to exercise authority appropriately in varying circumstances—all offerors should benefit from this approach.

(e) Responding to adverse past performance information. We considered alternatives relating to two issues in this area.

(1) Prohibition on the use of certain types of past performance information. The proposed rule did not prohibit the use of adverse past performance information. Several public comments suggested that past performance information on contracts in litigation or dispute should not be used until the litigation or dispute is resolved. The rule requires the contracting officer to evaluate the currency, relevance, source, context, and general trend of the past performance information. We did not adopt this alternative because the requirement to evaluate the context of the information already addresses this concern. In addition, we were concerned that the suggested alternative may encourage litigation for the purpose of avoiding the inclusion of adverse past performance information in future acquisitions.

(2) Responding to adverse past performance information. The proposed rule did not require contracting officers to allow offerors to respond to adverse past performance information prior to discussions. Some public comments recommended that contracting officers identify any adverse past performance information to the offeror immediately upon receiving the information. They further suggested that the offeror be allowed to respond to such information regardless of the stage of the acquisition. Other public comments recommended that offerors be afforded an opportunity to respond to adverse past performance information on which they had not previously had an opportunity to respond. We revised the final rule to accommodate these recommendations. The initial proposed rule authorized communication regarding adverse past performance information. In the second proposed rule, we revised this guidance to allow the contracting officer to consider in exercising this authority, instead of attempting to impose a static list on all circumstances. Both small and large offerors should benefit from this flexibility. The goal of our final rule language is to allow all participants in the process, both industry and Government, to optimize their resources.

with the requirement to discuss all deficiencies and significant weaknesses with those offerors in the competitive range, ensure that adverse past performance to which an offeror has not had the opportunity to respond will be addressed any time it can affect the outcome of the competition. We did not revise the rule to permit offerors to address past performance information to which they have already had an opportunity to respond because the solicitation provides offerors with the opportunity to address problems encountered on previous contracts and related corrective actions. In addition, FAR Subpart 42.15, Contractor performance information, already contains formal rebuttal procedures. We did not revise the rule to permit all offerors to address past performance information to which they have not had a previous opportunity to comment because it would prolong the evaluation process by allowing such exchanges when they will not make a difference in the source selection decision.

(f) Neutrality of past performance evaluations. We considered alternatives relating to two aspects of neutral past performance ratings—

(1) Definition of neutral past performance evaluations. The proposed rules provided a definition of neutral past performance evaluations. Public comments recommended that we revise the definition and provide detailed instructions on how to apply neutral past performance ratings in any source selection. 41 U.S.C. 405((2) requires offerors without a previous performance history, to be given a rating that neither rewards nor penalizes the offeror. We did adopt the public comment recommendations, opting instead to revise the final rule to reflect the statutory language, so that the facts of the instant acquisition would be used in determining what rating scheme is appropriate. This alternative provides for flexible compliance to satisfy requirements of the statute.

(2) Limiting the instances of neutral past performance evaluations. The proposed rule listed examples of information that may be considered to avoid assigning neutral past performance ratings. One public comment recommended that, in the interest of fairness to all offerors, as well as the minority contractors represented by the respondent, the Government should assign neutral past performance ratings only where the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates that the offeror lacked an opportunity to acquire a record on relevant past performance. In order to minimize the use of neutral past performance ratings, we revised the final rule to indicate that contracting officers “should” (rather than “may”) take into account a broad range of information related to past performance when performing past performance evaluations.

(g) Providing for increased exchanges between the Government and industry throughout the acquisition. We considered alternatives relating to past performance ratings—

(1) Clarifications. We drafted the rule to allow as much free exchange of information between offerors and the Government as possible, while still permitting award without discussions and complying with applicable statutes. The proposed rule did not differentiate between exchanges of
information when award without discussions was contemplated versus when a competitive range would be established. Public comment pointed out that the proposed rule language may allow exchanges beyond what is permitted by applicable statute when making award without discussions. In drafting the second proposed rule, we limited these exchanges. The resulting language still permits more exchange of information between offerors and the Government than the current FAR. This policy is expected to help offerors, especially small businesses that may not be familiar with proposal preparation, by permitting easy clarification of limited aspects of their proposals.

(2) Communications. Public comments indicated that the second proposed rule did not establish a “bright line” distinction between when communications conducted in order to establish a competitive range end, and when discussions begin. Small businesses were concerned that the Government may conduct inappropriate communications with selected offerors prior to the establishment of the competitive range. We revised the final rule to accommodate this concern by clearly defining when discussions begin. We adopted this alternative to preclude the occurrence of the inappropriate communications that concerned small businesses.

(3) Discussions. The initial proposed rule contained the existing FAR guidance regarding the type and amount of information that should be exchanged during discussions. In response to public comments, the second proposed rule requires a more robust exchange of information during discussions. The language requires the Government to identify, in addition to significant weaknesses and deficiencies, other aspects of an offeror’s proposal that could be enhanced materially to improve the offeror’s potential for award. This change should benefit all offerors, including small businesses, because it permits offerors to develop a better understanding of the Government’s evaluation of their proposal, and permits them to optimize their potential for award.

(h) Oral presentations. The existing FAR does not address oral presentations. The proposed rule included general guidelines for the use of oral presentations to provide consistent and impartial Governmentwide application of this technique. We considered alternatives in two aspects of oral presentations.

(1) Methods for recording oral presentations. Some public comments in response to the second proposed rule recommended that the rule should require the Government to prepare a formal, verifiable record of each oral presentation, to place the record in the source selection file, and to provide copies of their own records to offerors. We revised the final rule to allow contracting officers to provide each offeror a copy of the record, but did not require the Government to make a verifiable record. A requirement for the Government to make a verifiable record of each presentation is not consistent with the objective of this rule to streamline the acquisition process.

(2) Oral presentations and award without discussions. The second proposed rule text on oral presentations did not refer users to the limits on communications set forth elsewhere in the rule. Public comments expressed concerns that the oral presentations might be detrimental to small businesses because, depending on the stage of the acquisition, the atmosphere of oral presentations could be conducive to inappropriate exchanges of information between selected offerors and the Government. We revised the final rule to help users of this technique understand the limits on exchanges of information during the conduct of oral presentations.

### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The following information collection requirements have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and apply to FAR Part 15: 9000–0037, Standard Form 1417, Presolicitation Notice and Response; 9000–0044, Bid/Offer Acceptance Period; and 9000–0048, Authorized Negotiators. While the Paperwork Reduction Act applies because the rule revises existing information collection requirements, resulting in a slight decrease in the estimated burden, it has been determined that this rule does not materially affect the burden already approved by OMB. Optional forms 307, 308, and 309 do not require independent clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act because they do not request information beyond identity, date, address, and contact. Therefore, no adjustments to these information collection requirements are sought at this time.

### List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53

Government procurement.

Dated: September 22, 1997

Edward C. Loeb,
Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division.

#### Federal Acquisition Circular—FAC 97–02

Federal Acquisition Circular (FAC) 97–02 is issued under the authority of the Secretary of Defense, the Administrator of General Services, and the Administrator for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

The policies, provisions, and clauses of this final rule are effective for all solicitations issued on or after October 10, 1997. However, agencies may delay implementation of this final rule until January 1, 1998, at which time it becomes mandatory for all solicitations issued on or after that date. Agencies using the new policies, provisions, and clauses before January 1, 1998, shall ensure that the cover page of the solicitation for each acquisition subject to this rule, and issued before January 1, 1998, contains a notice that this rule applies to that acquisition. Any solicitation issued before January 1, 1998, that does not contain such a solicitation notice or the new provisions and clauses is automatically conducted in accordance with the FAR excluding changes made by this final rule.


Eleanor R. Specter,
Director, Defense Procurement, Department of Defense.


Ida M. Ustad,
Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration.


Tom Luedtke,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Procurement National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Therefore, 48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53 are amended as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 50, 52, and 53 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 486(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

#### PART 1—FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATIONS SYSTEM

2. Section 1.102–2 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(3) to read as follows:

1.102–2 Performance standards.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

* * * * *

* 3. Section 1.106 is amended in the table following the introductory paragraph by removing the following entries:

1.106 OMB Approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAR segment</th>
<th>OMB control No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF 1411</td>
<td>9000–0013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAR segment</th>
<th>OMB control No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF 1448</td>
<td>9000–0013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 2—DEFINITIONS OF WORDS AND TERMS

4. Section 2.101 is amended by inserting, in alphabetical order, the definition “Best value” to read as follows:

2.101 Definitions.
* * * * *

Best value means the expected outcome of an acquisition that, in the Government's estimation, provides the greatest overall benefit in response to the requirement.
* * * * *

PART 4—ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

5. Subpart 4.10 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 4.10—Contract Line Items

4.1001 Policy.

Contracts may identify the items or services to be acquired as separately identified line items. Contract line items should provide unit prices or lump sum prices for separately identifiable contract deliverables, and associated delivery schedules or performance periods. Line items may be further subdivided or stratified for administrative purposes (e.g., to provide for traceable accounting classification citations).

PART 5—PUBLICIZING CONTRACT ACTIONS

6. Section 5.102 is amended by adding paragraph (a)(7) to read as follows:

5.102 Availability of solicitations.
(a) * * *
(7) If electronic commerce is employed in the solicitation process, availability of the RFP may be limited to the electronic medium.
* * * * *

PART 6—COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS

7. Section 6.101 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

6.101 Policy.
* * * * *
(b) Contracting officers shall provide for full and open competition through

use of the competitive procedure(s) contained in this subpart that are best suited to the circumstances of the contract action and consistent with the need to fulfill the Government’s requirements efficiently (10 U.S.C. 2304 and 41 U.S.C. 253).

PART 7—ACQUISITION PLANNING

8. Section 7.105 is amended by revising paragraph (d)(5) to read as follows:

7.105 Contents of written acquisition plans.
* * * * *
(b) * * *
(5) Budgeting and funding. Include budget estimates, explain how they were derived, and discuss the schedule for obtaining adequate funds at the time they are required (see subpart 32.7).
* * * * *

PART 11—DESCRIPTING AGENCY NEEDS

9. Section 11.002 is amended at the end of paragraph (d) by adding the following sentence:

11.002 Policy.
* * * * *
(d) * * * Environmental objectives, such as pollution prevention (e.g., promoting waste reduction, source reduction, energy efficiency and maximum practicable recovered material content) (see part 23) shall be considered when describing Government requirements for supplies and services, and when developing source selection factors for competitive negotiated acquisitions (see 15.304), when appropriate.
* * * * *

10. Subpart 11.8 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 11.8—Testing

11.801 Preaward in-use evaluation.
Supplies may be evaluated under comparable in-use conditions without a further test plan, provided offers are so advised in the solicitation. The results of such tests or demonstrations may be used to rate the proposal, to determine technical acceptability, or otherwise to evaluate the proposal (see 15.305).

PART 14—SEALED BIDDING

14.201–6 [Amended]

11. Section 14.201–6 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (n).
12. Section 14.404–1 is amended in paragraph (e)(1) by removing the reference “15.103” and inserting “paragraph (f) of this subsection”; and by adding paragraph (f) to read as follows:

14.404–1 Cancellation of invitations after opening.
* * * * *
(f) When the agency head has determined, in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) of this subsection, that an invitation for bids should be canceled and that use of negotiation is in the Government’s interest, the contracting officer may negotiate (in accordance with part 15, as appropriate) and make award without issuing a new solicitation provided—

(1) Each responsible bidder in the sealed bid acquisition has been given notice that negotiations will be conducted and has been given an opportunity to participate in negotiations; and

(2) The award is made to the responsible bidder offering the lowest negotiated price.

13. Part 15 is revised to read as follows:

PART 15—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

Sec.
15.000 Scope of part.
15.001 Definitions.
15.002 Types of negotiated acquisition.

Subpart 15.1—Source Selection Processes and Techniques

15.100 Scope of subpart.
15.101 Best value continuum.
15.101–1 Tradeoff process.
15.101–2 Lowest price technically acceptable source selection process.
15.102 Oral presentations.

Subpart 15.2—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Information

15.200 Scope of subpart.
15.201 Exchanges with industry before receipt of proposals.
15.203 Requests for proposals.
15.204 Contract format.
15.204–1 Uniform contract format.

Table 15–1—Uniform Contract Format

15.204–2 Part I—The Schedule.
15.204–3 Part II—Contract Clauses.
15.204–4 Part III—List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments.
15.204–5 Part IV—Representations and Instructions.
15.205 Issuing solicitations.
15.206 Amending the solicitation.
15.207 Handling proposals and information.
15.208 Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals.
15.209 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.
15.210 Forms.
Subpart 15.3—Source Selection
15.300 Scope of subpart.
15.301 Definitions.
15.302 Source selection objective.
15.303 Responsibilities.
15.304 Evaluation factors and significant subfactors.
15.305 Proposal evaluation.
15.306 Exchanges with offerors after receipt of proposals.
15.307 Proposal revisions.
15.308 Source selection decision.

Subpart 15.4—Contract Pricing
15.400 Scope of subpart.
15.401 Definitions.
15.402 Pricing policy.
15.403 Obtaining cost or pricing data.
15.403-2 Other circumstances where cost or pricing data are not required.
15.403-3 Requiring information other than cost or pricing data.
15.403-5 Instructions for submission of cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data.
15.404 Proposal analysis.
15.404-1 Proposal analysis techniques.
15.404-2 Information to support proposal analysis.
15.404-3 Subcontract pricing considerations.
15.404-4 Profit.
15.405 Price negotiation.
15.406 Documentation.
15.406-1 Prenegotiation objectives.
15.406-3 Documenting the negotiation.
15.407 Special cost or pricing areas.
15.407-1 Defective cost or pricing data.
15.407-2 Make-or-buy programs.
15.407-3 Forward pricing rate agreements.
15.407-4 Should-cost review.
15.407-5 Estimating systems.
15.408 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

Table 15.2—Instructions for Submitting Cost or Pricing Data Are Required

Subpart 15.5—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes
15.501 Definition.
15.502 Applicability.
15.503 Notifications to unsuccessful offerors.
15.504 Award to successful offeror.
15.505 Preaward debriefing of offerors.
15.506 Postaward debriefing of offerors.
15.507 Protests against award.
15.508 Discovery of mistakes.
15.509 Forms.

Subpart 15.6—Unsolicited Proposals
15.600 Scope of subpart.
15.601 Definitions.
15.602 Policy.
15.603 General.
15.604 Agency points of contact.
15.605 Content of unsolicited proposals.
15.606 Agency procedures.
15.606-1 Receipt and initial review.
15.606-2 Evaluation.
15.607 Criteria for acceptance and negotiation of an unsolicited proposal.
15.608 Prohibitions.
15.609 Limited use of data.

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 486(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

15.000 Scope of part.
This part prescribes policies and procedures governing competitive and noncompetitive negotiated acquisitions. A contract awarded using other than sealed bidding procedures is a negotiated contract (see 14.101).

15.001 Definitions.
As used in this part—Proposal modification is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation closing date and time, or made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.
Proposal revision is a change made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of or as allowed by a contracting officer, as the result of negotiations.

15.002 Types of negotiated acquisition.
(a) Sole source acquisitions. When contracting in a sole source environment, the request for proposals (RFP) should be tailored to remove unnecessary information and requirements; e.g., evaluation criteria and voluminous proposal preparation instructions.
(b) Competitive acquisitions. When contracting in a competitive environment, the procedures of this part are intended to minimize the complexity of the solicitation, the evaluation, and the source selection decision, while maintaining a process designed to foster an impartial and comprehensive evaluation of offerors’ proposals, leading to selection of the proposal representing the best value to the Government (see 2.101).

15.101 Scope of subpart.
This subpart describes some of the acquisition processes and techniques that may be used to design competitive acquisition strategies suitable for the specific circumstances of the acquisition.

15.101 Best value continuum.
An agency can obtain best value in negotiated acquisitions by using any one or a combination of source selection approaches. In different types of acquisitions, the relative importance of cost or price may vary. For example, in acquisitions where the requirement is clearly definable and the risk of unsuccessful contract performance is minimal, cost or price may play a dominant role in source selection. The less definitive the requirement, the more development work required, or the greater the performance risk, the more technical or past performance considerations may play a dominant role in source selection.

15.101-1 Tradeoff process.
(a) A tradeoff process is appropriate when it may be in the best interest of the Government to consider award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.
(b) When using a tradeoff process, the following apply:
(1) All evaluation factors and significant subfactors that will affect contract award and their relative importance shall be clearly stated in the solicitation; and
(2) The solicitation shall state whether all evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than, approximately equal to, or significantly less important than cost or price.
(c) This process permits tradeoffs among cost or price and non-cost factors and allows the Government to accept other than the lowest priced proposal. The perceived benefits of the higher priced proposal shall merit the additional cost, and the rationale for tradeoffs must be documented in the file in accordance with 15.406.

15.101-2 Lowest price technically acceptable source selection process.
(a) The lowest price technically acceptable source selection process is appropriate when best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.
(b) When using the lowest price technically acceptable process, the following apply:
(1) The evaluation factors and significant subfactors that establish the requirements of acceptability shall be set forth in the solicitation. Solicitations shall specify that award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of proposals meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for non-cost factors. If the contracting officer documents the file pursuant to 15.304(c)(3)(iii), past performance need not be an evaluation factor in lowest price technically acceptable source selections. If the contracting officer elects to consider past performance as
an evaluation factor, it shall be evaluated in accordance with 15.305. However, the comparative assessment in 15.305(a)(2)(i) does not apply. If the contracting officer determines that a small business' past performance is not acceptable, the matter shall be referred to the Small Business Administration for a Certificate of Competency determination, in accordance with the procedures contained in subpart 19.6 and 15 U.S.C. 637(b)(7).

(2) Tradeoffs are not permitted.

(3) Proposals are evaluated for acceptability but not ranked using the non-cost/price factors.

(4) Exchanges may occur (see 15.306).

15.102 Oral presentations.

(a) Oral presentations by offerors as requested by the Government may substitute for, or augment, written information. Use of oral presentations as a substitute for portions of a proposal can be effective in streamlining the source selection process. Oral presentations may occur at any time in the acquisition process, and are subject to the same restrictions as written information, regarding timing (see 15.208) and content (see 15.306). Oral presentations provide an opportunity for dialogue among the parties. Pre-recorded videotaped presentations that lack real-time interactive dialogue are not considered oral presentations for the purposes of this section, although they may be included in offeror submissions, when appropriate.

(b) The solicitation may require each offeror to submit part of its proposal through oral presentations. However, certifications, representations, and a signed offer sheet (including any exceptions to the Government's terms of contract) shall be submitted in writing.

(c) Information pertaining to areas such as an offeror's capability, past performance, work plans or approaches, staffing resources, transition plans, or sample tasks (or other types of tests) may be suitable for oral presentations. In deciding what information to obtain through an oral presentation, consider the following:

(1) The Government's ability to adequately evaluate the information;

(2) The need to incorporate any information into the resultant contract;

(3) The impact on the efficiency of the acquisition; and

(4) The impact (including cost) on small businesses. In considering the costs of oral presentations, contracting officers should also consider alternatives to on-site oral presentations (e.g., teleconferencing, video teleconferencing).

(d) When oral presentations are required, the solicitation shall provide offerors with sufficient information to prepare them. Accordingly, the solicitation may describe—

(1) The types of information to be presented orally and the associated evaluation factors that will be used;

(2) The qualifications for personnel that will be required to provide the oral presentation(s);

(3) The requirements for, and any limitations and/or prohibitions on, the use of written material or other media to supplement the oral presentations;

(4) The location, date, and time for the oral presentations;

(5) The restrictions governing the time permitted for each oral presentation; and

(6) The scope and content of exchanges that may occur between the Government's participants and the offeror's representatives as part of the oral presentations, including whether or not discussions (see 15.306(d)) will be permitted during oral presentations.

(e) The contracting officer shall maintain a record of oral presentations to document what the Government relied upon in making the source selection decision. The method and level of detail of the record (e.g., videotaping, audio tape recording, written record, Government notes, copies of offeror briefing slides or presentation notes) shall be at the discretion of the source selection authority. A copy of the record placed in the file may be provided to the offeror.

(f) When an oral presentation includes information that the parties intend to include in the contract as material terms or conditions, the information shall be put in writing. Incorporation by reference of oral statements is not permitted.

(g) If, during an oral presentation, the Government conducts discussions (see 15.306(d)), the Government must comply with 15.306 and 15.307.

Subpart 15.2—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Information

15.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes policies and procedures for—

(a) Exchanging information with industry prior to receipt of proposals;

(b) Preparing and issuing requests for proposals (RFPs) and requests for information (RFIs); and

(c) Receiving proposals and information.

15.201 Exchanges with industry before receipt of proposals.

(a) Exchanges of information among all interested parties, from the earliest identification of a requirement through receipt of proposals, are encouraged. Any exchange of information must be consistent with procurement integrity requirements (see 3.104). Interested parties include potential offerors, end users, Government acquisition and supporting personnel, and others involved in the conduct or outcome of the acquisition.

(b) The purpose of exchanging information is to improve the understanding of Government requirements and industry capabilities, thereby allowing potential offerors to judge whether or how they can satisfy the Government's requirements, and enhancing the Government's ability to obtain quality supplies and services, including construction, at reasonable prices, and increase efficiency in proposal preparation, proposal evaluation, negotiation, and contract award.

(c) Agencies are encouraged to promote early exchanges of information about future acquisitions. An early exchange of information among industry and the program manager, contracting officer, and other participants in the acquisition process can identify and resolve concerns regarding the acquisition strategy, including proposed contract type, terms and conditions, and acquisition planning schedules; the feasibility of the requirement, including performance requirements, statements of work, and data requirements; the suitability of the proposal instructions and evaluation criteria, including the approach for assessing past performance information; the availability of reference documents; and any other industry concerns or questions. Some techniques to promote early exchanges of information are—

(1) Industry or small business conferences;

(2) Public hearings;

(3) Market research, as described in part 10;

(4) One-on-one meetings with potential offerors (any that are substantially involved with potential contract terms and conditions should include the contracting officer; also see paragraph (f) of this section regarding restrictions on disclosure of information);

(5) Presolicitation notices;

(6) Draft RFPs;

(7) RFIs;

(8) Presolicitation or preproposal conferences; and

(9) Site visits.
(d) The special notices of procurement matters at 5.205(c), or electronic notices, may be used to publicize the Government’s requirement or solicit information from industry.

(e) RFIs may be used when the Government does not presently intend to award a contract, but wants to obtain price, delivery, other market information, or capabilities for planning purposes. Responses to these notices are not offers and cannot be accepted by the Government to form a binding contract. There is no required format for RFIs.

(f) General information about agency mission needs and future requirements may be disclosed at any time.

After release of the solicitation, the contracting officer shall be the focal point of any exchange with potential offerors. When specific information about acquisition is disclosed to one or more potential offerors, that information shall be made available to the public as soon as practicable, but no later than the next general release of information, in order to avoid creating an unfair competitive advantage. Information provided to a particular offeror in response to that offeror’s request shall not be disclosed if doing so would reveal the potential offeror’s confidential business strategy, and would be protected under 3.104 or subpart 24.2. When a presolicitation or preproposal conference is conducted, materials distributed at the conference should be made available to all potential offerors, upon request.

15.202 Advisory multi-step process.

(a) The agency may publish a presolicitation notice (see 5.204) that provides a general description of the scope or purpose of the acquisition and invites potential offerors to submit information that allows the Government to advise the offerors about their potential to be viable competitors. The presolicitation notice should identify the information that must be submitted and the criteria that will be used in making the initial evaluation. Information sought may be limited to a statement of qualifications and other appropriate information (e.g., proposed technical concept, past performance, and limited pricing information). At a minimum, the notice shall contain sufficient information to permit a potential offeror to make an informed decision about whether to participate in the acquisition. This process should not be used for multi-step acquisitions where it would result in offerors being required to submit identical information in response to the notice and in response to the initial step of the acquisition.

(b) The agency shall evaluate all responses in accordance with the criteria stated in the notice, and shall advise each respondent in writing either that it will be invited to participate in the resultant acquisition or, based on the information submitted, that it is unlikely to be a viable competitor. The agency shall advise respondents considered not to be viable competitors of the general basis for that opinion. The agency shall inform all respondents that, notwithstanding the advice provided by the Government in response to their submissions, they may participate in the resultant acquisition.

15.203 Requests for proposals.

(a) Requests for proposals (RFPs) are used in negotiated acquisitions to communicate Government requirements to prospective contractors and to solicit proposals. RFPs for competitive procurements shall, at a minimum, describe the—

(1) Government’s requirement;
(2) Anticipated terms and conditions that will apply to the contract;
(i) The solicitation may authorize offerors to propose alternative terms and conditions, including the contract line item number (CLIN) structure; and
(ii) When alternative CLIN structures are permitted, the evaluation approach should consider the potential impact on other terms and conditions or the requirement (e.g., place of performance or payment and funding requirements) (see 15.206);
(3) Information required to be in the offeror’s proposal; and
(4) Factors and significant subfactors that will be used to evaluate the proposal and their relative importance.

(b) An RFP may be issued for OMB Circular A–76 studies. See subpart 7.3 for additional information regarding cost comparisons between Government and contractor performance.

(c) Electronic commerce may be used to issue RFPs and to receive proposals, modifications, and revisions. In this case, the RFP shall specify the electronic commerce method(s) that offerors may use (see subpart 4.5).

(d) Contracting officers may issue RFPs and/or authorize receipt of proposals, modifications, or revisions by facsimile.

(1) In deciding whether or not to use facsimiles, the contracting officer should consider factors such as—
(i) Anticipated proposal size and volume;
(ii) Urgency of the requirement;
(iii) Availability and suitability of electronic commerce methods; and
(iv) Adequacy of administrative procedures and controls for receiving, identifying, recording, and safeguarding facsimile proposals, and ensuring their timely delivery to the designated proposal delivery location.

(2) If facsimile proposals are authorized, contracting officers may request offeror(s) to provide the complete, original signed proposal at a later date.

(e) Letter RFIs may be used in sole source acquisitions and other appropriate circumstances. Use of a letter RFP does not relieve the contracting officer from complying with other FAR requirements. Letter RFIs should be as complete as possible and, at a minimum, should contain the following:

(1) RFP number and date;
(2) Name, address (including electronic address and facsimile address, if appropriate), and telephone number of the contracting officer;
(3) Type of contract contemplated;
(4) Quantity, description, and required delivery dates for the item;
(5) Applicable certifications and representations;
(6) Anticipated contract terms and conditions;
(7) Instructions to offerors and evaluation criteria for other than sole source actions;
(8) Proposal due date and time; and
(9) Other relevant information; e.g., incentives, variations in delivery schedule, cost proposal support, and data requirements.

(f) Oral RFIs are authorized when processing a written solicitation would delay the acquisition of supplies or services to the detriment of the Government and a notice is not required under 5.202 (e.g., perishable items and other emergency situations). Use of an oral RFP does not relieve the contracting officer from complying with other FAR requirements.

(1) The contract files supporting oral solicitations should include—
(i) A description of the requirement;
(ii) Rationale for use of an oral solicitation;
(iii) Sources solicited, including the date, time, name of individuals contacted, and prices offered; and
(iv) The solicitation number provided to the prospective offerors.

(2) The information furnished to potential offerors under oral solicitations should include appropriate items from paragraph (e) of this section.

15.204 Contract format.

The use of a uniform contract format facilitates preparation of the solicitation
and contract as well as reference to, and use of, those documents by offerors, contractors, and contract administrators. The uniform contract format need not be used for the following:
(a) Construction and architect-engineer contracts (see part 36).
(b) Subsistence contracts.
(c) Supplies or services contracts requiring special contract formats prescribed elsewhere in this part that are inconsistent with the uniform format.
(d) Letter requests for proposals (see 15.203(e)).
(e) Contracts exempted by the agency head or designee.

15.204-1 Uniform contract format.
(a) Contracting officers shall prepare solicitations and resulting contracts using the uniform contract format outlined in Table 15-1 of this subsection.

Table 15-1.—Uniform Contract Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A ......</td>
<td>Solicitation/contract form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B ......</td>
<td>Supplies or services and prices/costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C ......</td>
<td>Description/specifications/statement of work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D ......</td>
<td>Packaging and marking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E ......</td>
<td>Inspection and acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F ......</td>
<td>Deliveries or performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G ......</td>
<td>Contract administration data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H ......</td>
<td>Special contract requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part II—Contract Clauses

I ...... Contract clauses.

Part III—List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments

J ...... List of attachments.

Part IV—Representations and Instructions

K ...... Representations, certifications, and other statements of offerors or respondents.

L ...... Instructions, conditions, and notices to offerors or respondents.

M ...... Evaluation factors for award.

15.204-2 Part I—The Schedule.
The contracting officer shall prepare the contract schedule as follows:
(a) Section A, Solicitation/contract form. (1) Optional Form (OF) 308, Solicitation and Offer-Negotiated Acquisition, or Standard Form (SF) 33, Solicitation, Offer and Award, may be used to prepare RFPS.
(2) When other than OF 308 or SF 33 is used, include the following information on the first page of the solicitation:
(i) Name, address, and location of issuing activity, including room and building where proposals or information must be submitted.
(ii) Solicitation number.
(iii) Date of issuance.
(iv) Closing date and time.
(v) Number of pages.
(vi) Requisition or other purchase authority.
(vii) Brief description of item or service.
(viii) Requirement for the offeror to provide its name and complete address, including street, city, county, state, and zip code, and electronic address (including facsimile address), if appropriate.
(ix) Offer expiration date.
(b) Section B, Supplies or services and prices/costs. Include a brief description of the supplies or services; e.g., item number, national stock number/part number if applicable, nouns, nomenclature, and quantities. (This includes incidental deliverables such as manuals and reports.)
(c) Section C, Description/specifications/statement of work. Include any description or specifications needed in addition to Part III (see subpart 11.4, Describing Agency Needs).
(d) Section D, Packaging and marking. Provide packaging, packing, preservation, and marking requirements, if any.
(e) Section E, Inspection and acceptance. Include inspection, acceptance, quality assurance, and reliability requirements (see part 46, Quality Assurance).
(f) Section F, Deliveries or performance. Specify the requirements for time, place, and method of delivery or performance (see subpart 11.4, Delivery or Performance Schedules, and 47.301-1).
(g) Section G, Contract administration data. Include any required accounting and appropriation data and any required contract administration information or instructions other than those on the solicitation form. Include a statement that the offeror should include the payment address in the proposal, if it is different from that shown for the offeror.

(h) Section H, Special contract requirements. Include a clear statement of any special contract requirements that are not included in Section I, Contract clauses, or in other sections of the uniform contract format.

15.204-3 Part II—Contract Clauses.
Section I, Contract clauses. The contracting officer shall include in this section the clauses required by law or by this part and any additional clauses expected to be included in any resulting contract if these clauses are not required in any other section of the uniform contract format. An index may be inserted if this section's format is particularly complex.

15.204-4 Part III—List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments.
Section J, List of attachments. The contracting officer shall list the title, date, and number of pages for each attached document, exhibit, and other attachment. Cross-references to material in other sections may be inserted, as appropriate.

15.204-5 Part IV—Representations and Instructions.
The contracting officer shall prepare the representations and instructions as follows:
(a) Section K, Representations, certifications, and other statements of offerors. Include in this section those solicitation provisions that require representations, certifications, or the submission of other information by offerors.
(b) Section L, Instructions, conditions, and notices to offerors or respondents. Insert in this section solicitation provisions and other information and instructions not required elsewhere to guide offerors or respondents in preparing proposals or responses to requests for information. Prospective offerors or respondents may be instructed to submit proposals or information in a specific format or severeable parts to facilitate evaluation. The instructions may specify further organization of proposal or response parts, such as—
(1) Administrative;
(2) Management;
(3) Technical;
(4) Past performance; and
(5) Cost or pricing data (see Table 15-2 of 15.408) or information other than cost or pricing data.
(c) Section M, Evaluation factors for award. Identify all significant factors and any significant subfactors that will be considered in awarding the contract and their relative importance (see 15.304(d)). The contracting officer shall insert one of the phrases in 15.304(e).
15.205 Issuing solicitations.
(a) The contracting officer shall issue solicitations to potential sources in accordance with the policies and procedures in 5.102, 19.202-4, and part 6.
(b) A master solicitation, as described in 14.203-3, may also be used for negotiated acquisitions.

15.206 Amending the solicitation.
(a) When, either before or after receipt of proposals, the Government changes its requirements or terms and conditions, the contracting officer shall amend the solicitation.
(b) Amendments issued before the established time and date for receipt of proposals shall be issued to all parties receiving the solicitation.
(c) Amendments issued after the established time and date for receipt of proposals shall be issued to all offerors that have not been eliminated from the competition.
(d) If a proposal of interest to the Government involves a departure from the stated requirements, the contracting officer shall amend the solicitation, provided this can be done without revealing to the other offerors the alternate solution proposed or any other information that is entitled to protection (see 15.207(b) and 15.306(e)).
(e) If, in the judgment of the contracting officer, based on market research or otherwise, an amendment proposed for issuance after offers have been received is so substantial as to exceed what prospective offerors reasonably could have anticipated, so that additional sources likely would have submitted offers had the substance of the amendment been known to them, the contracting officer shall cancel the original solicitation and issue a new one, regardless of the stage of the acquisition.
(f) Oral notices may be used when time is of the essence. The contracting officer shall document the contract file and formalize the notice with an amendment (see subpart 4.5, Electronic Commerce in Contracting).

15.207 Handling proposals and information.
(a) Upon receipt at the location specified in the solicitation, proposals and information received in response to a request for information (RFI) shall be marked with the date and time of receipt and shall be transmitted to the designated officials.
(b) Proposals shall be safeguarded from unauthorized disclosure throughout the source selection process. (See 3.104 regarding the disclosure of source selection information (41 U.S.C. 423)). Information received in response to an RFI shall be safeguarded adequately from unauthorized disclosure.
(c) If any portion of a proposal received by the contracting officer electronically or by facsimile is unreadable, the contracting officer immediately shall notify the offeror and permit the offeror to resubmit the unreadable portion of the proposal. The method and time for resubmission shall be prescribed by the contracting officer after consultation with the offeror, and documented in the file. If the resubmission shall be considered as if it were received at the date and time of the original unreadable submission for the purpose of determining timeliness under 15.208(a), provided the offeror complies with the time and format requirements for resubmission prescribed by the contracting officer.

15.208 Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals.
(a) Offerors are responsible for submitting offers, and any revisions and modifications to them, so as to reach the Government office designated in the solicitation on time. If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the solicitation, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the solicitation closing date, the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the solicitation on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume. If no time is specified in the solicitation, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, for the designated Government office on the date that proposals are due.
(b) Proposals, and modifications to them, that are received in the designated Government office after the exact time specified are “late” and shall be considered only if:
(1) They are received before award is made; and
(2) The circumstances meet the specific requirements of 52.215-1(c)(3)(i).
(c) The contracting officer shall promptly notify any offeror if its proposal, modification, or revision was received late, and shall inform the offeror whether or not it will be considered, unless contract award is imminent and the notice prescribed in 15.503(b) would suffice.
(d) When a late proposal or modification is transmitted to a contracting office in the United States or Canada by registered or certified mail or by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail Next Day Service, Post Office to Addressee and is received before award, the offeror shall be promptly notified substantially in accordance with the notice in 14.304-2, appropriately modified to relate to proposals.
(e) Late proposals and modifications that are not considered shall be held unopened, unless opened for identification, until after award and then retained with other unsuccessful proposals.
(f) The following shall, if available, be included in the contracting office files for each late proposal, response to request for information, or modification:
(1) The date of mailing, filing, or delivery.
(2) The date and hour of receipt.
(3) Whether or not considered for award.
(4) The envelope, wrapper, or other evidence of date of submission.
(g) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Written proposals are withdrawn upon receipt by the contracting officer of a written notice of withdrawal. Oral proposals in response to oral solicitations may be withdrawn orally. The contracting officer shall document the contract file when such oral withdrawals are made. One copy of withdrawn proposals should be retained in the contract file (see 4.803(a)(10)). Extra copies of the withdrawn proposals may be destroyed or returned to the offeror at the offeror’s request. Extremely bulky proposals shall only be returned at the offeror’s request and expense.
(h) Upon withdrawal of an electronically transmitted proposal, the data received shall not be viewed and shall be purged from primary and backup data storage systems.

15.209 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.
When contracting by negotiation—
(a) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215-1. Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition, in all competitive solicitations where the
Government intends to award a contract without discussions.

(1) If the Government intends to make award after discussions with offerors within the competitive range, the contracting officer shall use the basic provision with its Alternate I.

(2) If the Government would be willing to accept alternate proposals, the contracting officer shall alter the basic clause to add a paragraph (c)(9) substantially the same as Alternate II.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-4, Place of Performance, in solicitations unless the provision at 52.215-6, Place of Performance, in solicitations if facsimile proposals are authorized (see 15.203(d)).

(c) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-7, Annual Representations and Certifications—Negotiation, in solicitations if annual representations and certifications are used (see 15.213).

(h) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215-8, Order of Precedence—Uniform Contract Format, in solicitations and contracts using the format at 15.204.

15.210 Forms.

Prescribed forms are not required to prepare solicitations described in this part. The following forms may be used at the discretion of the contracting officer:

(a) Standard Form 33, Solicitation, Offer, and Award, and Optional Form 308, Solicitation and Offer—Negotiated Acquisition, may be used to issue RFIs and RFPs.

(b) Standard Form 309, Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract, and Optional Form 309, Amendment of Solicitation, may be used to amend solicitations of negotiated contracts.

(c) Optional Form 17, Offer Label, may be furnished with each request for proposal.

Subpart 15.3—Source Selection

15.300 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes policies and procedures for selection of a source or sources in competitive negotiated acquisitions.

15.301 Definitions.

Deficiency, as used in this subpart, is a material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

Weakness, as used in this subpart, is a flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. A “significant weakness” in the proposal is a flaw that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

15.302 Source selection objective.

The objective of source selection is to select the proposal that represents the best value.

15.303 Responsibilities.

(a) Agency heads are responsible for source selection. The contracting officer is designated as the source selection authority, unless the agency head appoints another individual for a particular acquisition or group of acquisitions.

(b) The source selection authority shall—

(1) Establish an evaluation team, tailored for the particular acquisition, that includes appropriate contracting, legal, financial, technical, and other expertise to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of offers;

(2) Approve the source selection strategy or acquisition plan, if applicable, before solicitation release;

(3) Ensure consistency among the solicitation requirements, notices to offerors, proposal preparation instructions, evaluation factors and subfactors, solicitation provisions or contract clauses, and data requirements;

(4) Ensure that proposals are evaluated based solely on the factors and subfactors contained in the solicitation (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(1) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)(3));

(5) Consider the recommendations of advisory boards or panels (if any); and

(6) Select the source or sources whose proposal is the best value to the Government (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(4)(B) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)(3)).

(c) The contracting officer shall—

(1) After release of a solicitation, serve as the focal point for inquiries from actual or prospective offerors;

(2) After receipt of proposals, control exchanges with offerors in accordance with 15.306; and

(3) Award the contract(s).
15.305 Proposal evaluation.

(a) Proposal evaluation is an assessment of the proposal and the offeror’s ability to perform the prospective contract successfully. An agency shall evaluate competitive proposals and then assess their relative qualities solely on the factors and subfactors specified in the solicitation. Evaluations may be conducted using any rating method or combination of methods, including color or adjectival ratings, numerical weights, and ordinal rankings. The relative strengths, deficiencies, significant weaknesses, and risks supporting proposal evaluation shall be documented in the contract file.

(1) Cost or price evaluation. Normally, competition establishes price reasonableness. Therefore, when contracting on a firm-fixed-price or fixed-price with economic price adjustment basis, comparison of the proposed prices will usually satisfy the requirement to perform a price analysis, and a cost analysis need not be performed. In limited situations, a cost analysis (see 15.403-1(c)(1)(i)(B)) may be appropriate to establish reasonableness of the otherwise successful offeror’s price. When contracting on a cost-reimbursement basis, evaluations shall include a cost realism analysis to determine what the Government should realistically expect to pay for the proposed effort, the offeror’s understanding of the work, and the offeror’s ability to perform the contract. Cost realism analyses may also be used on fixed-price incentive contracts or, in exceptional cases, on other competitive fixed-price-type contracts (see 15.404-1(d)(3)). The contracting officer shall document the cost or price evaluation.

(ii) Approximate equal to cost or price;

(2) Past performance evaluation. (i) Past performance information is one indicator of an offeror’s ability to perform the contract successfully. The currency and relevance of the information, source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in contractor’s performance shall be considered (41 U.S.C. 401). This comparative assessment of past performance information is separate from the responsibility determination required under subpart 9.1.

(ii) The solicitation shall describe the approach for evaluating past performance, including evaluating offerors with no relevant performance history, and shall provide offerors an opportunity to identify past or current contracts (including Federal, State, and local government and private) for efforts similar to the Government requirement. The solicitation shall also authorize offerors to provide information on problems encountered on the identified contracts and the offeror corrective actions. The Government shall consider this information, as well as information obtained from any other sources, when evaluating the offeror past performance. The source selection authority shall determine the relevance of similar past performance information.

(iii) The evaluation should take into account past performance information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement when such information is relevant to the instant acquisition.

(iv) In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance.

(3) Technical evaluation. When tradeoffs are performed (see 15.101-1), the source selection records shall include—

(i) An assessment of each offeror’s ability to accomplish the technical requirements; and

(ii) A summary, matrix, or quantitative ranking, along with appropriate supporting narrative, of each technical proposal using the evaluation factors.

(4) Cost information. Cost information may be provided to members of the technical evaluation team in accordance with agency procedures.

(b) The source selection authority may reject all proposals received in response to a solicitation, if doing so is in the best interest of the Government.

(c) For restrictions on the use of support contractor personnel in proposal evaluation, see 37.203(d).

15.306 Exchanges with offerors after receipt of proposals.

(a) Clarifications and award without discussions. (1) Clarifications are limited exchanges, between the Government and offerors, that may occur when award without discussions is contemplated.

(2) If award will be made without conducting discussions, offerors may be given the opportunity to clarify certain aspects of proposals (e.g., the relevance of an offeror’s past performance information and adverse past performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond) or to resolve minor or clerical errors.

(3) Award may be made without discussions if the solicitation states that the Government intends to evaluate proposals and make award without discussions. If the solicitation contains such a notice and the Government determines it is necessary to conduct discussions, the rationale for doing so shall be documented in the contract file (see the provision at 52.215-1) (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(4)(A)(ii) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(d)(1)(B)).

(b) Communications with offerors before establishment of the competitive range. Communications are exchanges, between the Government and offerors, after receipt of proposals, leading to establishment of the competitive range. If a competitive range is to be established, these communications—

(1) Shall be limited to the offerors described in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (b)(1)(ii) of this section and—

(i) Shall be held with offerors whose past performance information is the determining factor preventing them from being placed within the competitive range. Such communications shall address adverse past performance information to which
(2) May be conducted to enhance Government understanding of proposals; allow reasonable interpretation of the proposal; or facilitate the Government’s evaluation process. Such communications shall not be used to cure proposal deficiencies or material omissions, materially alter the technical or cost elements of the proposal, and/or otherwise revise the proposal. Such communications may be considered in rating proposals for the purpose of establishing the competitive range.

(3) Are for the purpose of addressing issues that must be explored to determine whether a proposal should be placed in the competitive range. Such communication shall not provide an opportunity for the offeror to revise its proposal, and may address—

(i) Ambiguities in the proposal or other concerns (e.g., perceived deficiencies, weaknesses, errors, omissions, or mistakes (see 14.407)); and

(ii) Information relating to relevant past performance; and

(4) Shall address adverse past performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to comment.

(c) Competitive range. (1) Agencies shall evaluate all proposals in accordance with 15.305(a), and, if discussions are to be conducted, establish the competitive range. Based on the ratings of each proposal against all evaluation criteria, the contracting officer shall establish a competitive range comprised of all of the most highly rated proposals, unless the range is further reduced for purposes of efficiency pursuant to paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(2) After evaluating all proposals in accordance with 15.305(a) and paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the contracting officer may determine that the number of most highly rated proposals that might otherwise be included in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted. Provided the solicitation notifies offerors that the competitive range can be limited for purposes of efficiency (see 52.215-1 (f)(4)), the contracting officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(4) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(b)).

(3) If the contracting officer, after complying with paragraph (d)(3) of this section, decides that an offeror’s proposal should no longer be included in the competitive range, the proposal shall be eliminated from consideration for award. Written notice of this decision shall be provided to unsuccessful offerors in accordance with 15.503.

(4) Offerors excluded or otherwise eliminated from the competitive range may request a debriefing (see 15.505 and 15.506).

(d) Exchanges with offerors after establishment of the competitive range. Negotiations are exchanges, in either a competitive or sole source environment, between the Government and offerors, that are undertaken with the intent of allowing the offeror to revise its proposal. These negotiations may include bargaining. Bargaining includes persuasion, alteration of assumptions and positions, give-and-take, and may apply to price, schedule, technical requirements, type of contract, or other terms of a proposed contract. When negotiations are conducted in a competitive acquisition, they take place after establishment of the competitive range and are called discussions.

(1) Discussions are tailored to each offeror’s proposal, and shall be conducted by the contracting officer with each offeror within the competitive range.

(2) The primary objective of discussions is to maximize the Government’s ability to obtain best value, based on the requirement and the evaluation factors set forth in the solicitation.

(3) The contracting officer shall, subject to paragraphs (d)(4) and (e) of this section and 15.307(a), indicate to, or discuss with, each offeror still being considered for award, significant weaknesses, deficiencies, and other aspects of its proposal (such as cost, price, technical approach, past performance, and terms and conditions) that could, in the opinion of the contracting officer, be altered or explained to enhance materially the proposal’s potential for award. The scope and extent of discussions are a matter of contracting officer judgment. In discussing other aspects of the proposal, the Government may, in situations where the solicitation stated that evaluation credit would be given for technical solutions exceeding any mandatory minimums, negotiate with offerors for increased performance beyond any mandatory minimums, and the Government may suggest to offerors that have exceeded any mandatory minimums (in ways that are not integral to the design), that their proposals would be more competitive if the excesses were removed and the offered price decreased.

(4) If, after discussions have begun, an offeror originally in the competitive range is no longer considered to be among the most highly rated offerors being considered for award, that offeror may be eliminated from the competitive range whether or not all material aspects of the proposal have been discussed, or whether or not the offeror has been afforded an opportunity to submit a proposal revision (see 15.307(a) and 15.503(a)(1)).

(e) Limits on exchanges. Government personnel involved in the acquisition shall not engage in conduct that—

(1) Favors one offeror over another;

(2) Reveals an offeror’s technical solution, including unique technology, innovative and unique uses of commercial items, or any information that would compromise an offeror’s intellectual property to another offeror;

(3) Reveals an offeror’s price without that offeror’s permission. However, the contracting officer may inform an offeror that its price is considered by the Government to be too high, or too low, and reveal the results of the analysis supporting that conclusion. It is also permissible, at the Government’s discretion, to indicate to all offerors the cost or price that the Government’s price analysis, market research, and other reviews have identified as reasonable (41 U.S.C. 423(h)(1)(2));

(4) Reveals the names of individuals providing reference information about an offeror’s past performance; or


15.307 Proposal revisions.

(a) If an offeror’s proposal is eliminated or otherwise removed from the competitive range, no further revisions to that offeror’s proposal shall be accepted or considered.

(b) The contracting officer may request or allow proposal revisions to clarify and document understandings reached during negotiations. At the conclusion of discussions, each offeror still in the competitive range shall be given an opportunity to submit a final proposal revision. The contracting officer is required to establish a common cut-off date only for receipt of final proposal revisions. Requests for final proposal revisions shall advise offerors that the final proposal revisions shall be in writing and that the
Government intends to make award without obtaining further revisions.

15.308 Source selection decision.
The source selection authority’s (SSA) decision shall be based on a comparative assessment of proposals against all source selection criteria in the solicitation. While the SSA may use reports and analyses prepared by others, the source selection decision shall represent the SSA’s independent judgment. The source selection decision shall be documented, and the documentation shall include the rationale for any business judgments and tradeoffs made or relied on by the SSA, including benefits associated with additional costs. Although the rationale for the selection decision must be documented, that documentation need not quantify the tradeoffs that led to the decision.

Subpart 15.4—Contract Pricing

15.400 Scope of subpart.
This subpart prescribes the cost and price negotiation policies and procedures for pricing negotiated prime contracts (including subcontracts) and contract modifications, including modifications to contracts awarded by sealed bidding.

15.401 Definitions.
Cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a(h)(1) and 41 U.S.C. 254b) means all facts that, as of the date of price agreement or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon between the parties that is as close as practicable to the date of agreement on price, prudent buyers and sellers would reasonably expect to affect price negotiations significantly. Cost or pricing data are data requiring certification in accordance with 15.406-2. Cost or pricing data are factual, not judgmental; and are verifiable. While they do not indicate the accuracy of the prospective contractor’s judgment about estimated future costs or projections, they do include the data forming the basis for that judgment. Cost or pricing data are more than historical accounting data; they are all the facts that can be reasonably expected to contribute to the soundness of estimates of future costs and to the validity of determinations of costs already incurred. They also include such factors as: vendor quotations; nonrecurring costs; information on changes in production methods and in production or purchasing volume; data supporting projections of business prospects and objectives and related operations costs; unit-cost trends such as those associated with labor efficiency; make-or-buy decisions; estimated resources to attain business goals; and information on management decisions that could have a significant bearing on costs.

Cost realism means that the costs in an offeror’s proposal are realistic for the work to be performed; reflect a clear understanding of the requirements; and are consistent with the various elements of the offeror’s technical proposal.

Forward pricing rate agreement means a written agreement negotiated between a contractor and the Government to make certain rates available during a specified period for use in pricing contracts or modifications. Such rates represent reasonable projections of specific costs that are not easily estimated for, identified with, or generated by a specific contract, contract end item, or task. These projections may include rates for such things as labor, indirect costs, material obsolescence and usage, spare parts provisioning, and material handling.

Forward pricing rate recommendation means a rate set unilaterally by the administrative contracting officer for use by the Government in negotiations or other contract actions when forward pricing rate agreement negotiations have not been completed or when the contractor will not agree to a forward pricing rate agreement.

Information other than cost or pricing data means any type of information that is not required to be certified in accordance with 15.406-2 and is necessary to determine price reasonableness or cost realism. For example, such information may include pricing, sales, or cost information, and includes cost or pricing data for which certification is determined inapplicable after submission.

Price, as used in this subpart, means cost plus any fee or profit applicable to the contract type.

Subcontract, as used in this subpart, also includes a transfer of commercial items; divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of a contractor or subcontractor (10 U.S.C. 2306a(h)(2) and 41 U.S.C. 254b(h)(2)).

15.402 Pricing policy.
Contracting officers shall—
(a) Purchase supplies and services from responsible sources at fair and reasonable prices. In establishing the reasonableness of the offered prices, the contracting officer shall not obtain more information than is necessary. To the extent that cost or pricing data are not required by 15.403-4, the contracting officer shall generally use the following order of preference in determining the type of information required:

(1) No additional information from the offeror, if the price is based on adequate price competition, except as provided by 15.403-3(b).

(2) Information other than cost or pricing data:

(i) Information related to prices (e.g., established catalog or market prices or previous contract prices), relying first on information available within the Government; second, on information obtained from sources other than the offeror; and, if necessary, on information obtained from the offeror.

When obtaining information from the offeror is necessary, unless an exception under 15.403-1(b) (1) or (2) applies, such information submitted by the offeror shall include, at a minimum, appropriate information on the prices at which the same or similar items have been sold previously, adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price.

(ii) Cost information, that does not meet the definition of cost or pricing data at 15.401.

(3) Cost or pricing data. The contracting officer should use every means available to ascertain whether a fair and reasonable price can be determined before requesting cost or pricing data. Contracting officers shall not require unnecessarily the submission of cost or pricing data, because it leads to increased proposal preparation costs, generally extends acquisition lead time, and consumes additional contractor and Government resources.

(b) Price each contract separately and independently and not—

(1) Use proposed price reductions under other contracts as an evaluation factor; or

(2) Consider losses or profits realized or anticipated under other contracts.

(c) Not include in a contract price any amount for a specified contingency to the extent that the contract provides for a price adjustment based upon the occurrence of that contingency.

15.403 Obtaining cost or pricing data.


(a) Cost or pricing data shall not be obtained for acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold.

(b) Exceptions to cost or pricing data requirements. The contracting officer shall not require submission of cost or pricing data to support any action (contracts, subcontracts, or modifications) but may require information other than cost or pricing data to support a determination of price reasonableness or cost realism)—
(1) When the contracting officer determines that prices agreed upon are based on adequate price competition (see standards in paragraph (c)(1) of this subsection);

(2) When the contracting officer determines that prices agreed upon are based on prices set by law or regulation (see standards in paragraph (c)(2) of this subsection);

(3) When a commercial item is being acquired (see standards in paragraph (c)(3) of this subsection);

(4) When a waiver has been granted (see standards in paragraph (c)(4) of this subsection); or

(5) When modifying a contract or subcontract for commercial items (see standards in paragraph (c)(3) of this subsection).

(c) Standards for exceptions from cost or pricing data requirements—(1) Adequate price competition. A price is based on adequate price competition if—

(i) Two or more responsible offerors, competing independently, submit priced offers that satisfy the Government’s expressed requirement and if—

(A) Award will be made to the offeror whose proposal represents the best value (see 2.101) where price is a substantial factor in source selection; and

(B) There is no finding that the price of the otherwise successful offeror is unreasonable. Any finding that the price is unreasonable must be supported by a statement of the facts and approved at a level above the contracting officer; or

(ii) There was a reasonable expectation, based on market research or other assessment, that two or more responsible offerors, competing independently, would submit priced offers in response to the solicitation’s expressed requirement, even though only one offer is received from a responsible offeror and if—

(A) Based on the offer received, the contracting officer can reasonably conclude that the offer was submitted with the expectation of competition, e.g., circumstances indicate that—

(1) The offeror believed that at least one other offeror was capable of submitting a meaningful offer; and

(2) The offeror had no reason to believe that other potential offerors did not intend to submit an offer; and

(B) The determination that the proposed price is based on adequate price competition, is reasonable, and is approved at a level above the contracting officer; or

(iii) The offeror clearly demonstrates that the proposed price is reasonable in comparison with current or recent prices for the same or similar items, adjusted to reflect changes in market conditions, economic conditions, quantities, or terms and conditions under contracts that resulted from adequate price competition.

(2) Prices set by law or regulation. Pronouncements in the form of periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, or embodied in the laws, are sufficient to set a price.

(3) Commercial items. Any acquisition for an item that meets the commercial item definition in 2.101, or any modification, as defined in paragraph (c)(1) or (2) of that definition, that does not change the item from a commercial item to a noncommercial item, is exempt from the requirement for cost or pricing data.

(4) Waivers. The head of the contracting activity (HCA) may, without power of delegation, waive the requirement for submission of cost or pricing data in exceptional cases. The authorization for the waiver and the supporting rationale shall be in writing. The HCA may consider waiving the requirement if the price can be determined to be fair and reasonable without submission of cost or pricing data. For example, if cost or pricing data were furnished on previous production buys and the contracting officer determines such data are sufficient, when combined with updated information, a waiver may be granted. If the HCA has waived the requirement for submission of cost or pricing data, the contractor’s format for submitting such information should be used (see 15.403–5(b)(2)).

(3) The contracting officer shall ensure that information used to support price negotiations is sufficiently current to permit negotiation of a fair and reasonable price. Requests for updated offeror information should be limited to information that affects the adequacy of the proposal for negotiations, such as changes in price lists. Such data shall not be certified in accordance with 15.406–2.

(b) Adequate price competition. When adequate price competition exists (see 15.403–1(c)(1)), generally no additional information is necessary to determine the reasonableness of price. However, if there are unusual circumstances where it is concluded that additional information is necessary to determine the reasonableness of price, the contracting officer shall, to the maximum extent practicable, obtain the additional information from sources other than the offeror. In addition, the contracting officer may request information to determine the cost realism of competing offers or to evaluate competing approaches.

(c) Limitations relating to commercial items (10 U.S.C. 2306a(d)(2) and 41 U.S.C. 254b(d)). (1) Requests for sales data relating to commercial items shall be limited to data for the same or similar items during a relevant time period.

(2) The contracting officer shall, to the maximum extent practicable, limit the scope of the request for information relating to commercial items to include only information that is in the form regularly maintained by the offeror as part of its commercial operations.

(3) Information obtained relating to commercial items that is exempt from disclosure under 24.202(a) or the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)) shall not be disclosed outside the Government.

(a)(1) Cost or pricing data shall be obtained only if the contracting officer concludes that none of the exceptions in 15.403–1(b) applies. However, if the contracting officer has sufficient information available to determine price reasonableness, then a waiver under the exception at 15.403–1(b)(4) should be considered. The threshold for obtaining cost or pricing data is $500,000. Unless an exception applies, cost or pricing data are required before accomplishing any of the following actions expected to exceed the current threshold or, in the case of existing contracts, the threshold specified in the contract:

(i) The award of any negotiated contract (except for undefinitized action such as letter contracts);

(ii) The award of a subcontract at any tier, if the contractor and each higher-tier subcontractor have been required to furnish cost or pricing data (but see waive at 15.408(c)(1));

(iii) The modification of any sealed bid or negotiated contract (whether or not cost or pricing data were initially required) or any subcontract covered by paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this subsection. Price adjustment amounts shall consider both increases and decreases (e.g., a $150,000 modification resulting from a reduction of $350,000 and an increase of $200,000 is a pricing adjustment exceeding $500,000). This requirement does not apply when unrelated and separately priced changes for which cost or pricing data would not otherwise be required are included for administrative convenience in the same modification.

Negotiated final pricing actions (such as termination settlements and total final price agreements for fixed-price incentive and redeterminable contracts) are contract modifications requiring cost or pricing data if the total final price agreement for such settlements or agreements exceeds the pertinent threshold set forth at paragraph (a)(1) of this subsection, or the partial termination settlement plus the estimate to complete the continued portion of the contract exceeds the pertinent threshold set forth at paragraph (a)(1) of this subsection (see 49.105(c)(15)).

(2) Unless prohibited because an exception at 15.403–1(b) applies, the head of the contracting activity, without power of delegation, may authorize the contracting officer to obtain cost or pricing data for pricing actions below the pertinent threshold in paragraph (a)(1) of this subsection, provided the action exceeds the simplified acquisition threshold. The head of the contracting activity shall justify the requirement for cost or pricing data. The documentation shall include a written finding that cost or pricing data are necessary to determine whether the price is fair and reasonable and the facts supporting that finding.

(b) When cost or pricing data are required, the contracting officer shall require the contractor or prospective contractor to submit to the contracting officer (and to have any subcontractor or prospective subcontractor submit to the prime contractor or appropriate subcontractor tier) the following in support of any proposal:

(1) The cost or pricing data.

(2) A certificate of current cost or pricing data in the format specified in 15.406–2, certifying that to the best of its knowledge and belief, the cost or pricing data were accurate, complete, and current as of the date of agreement on price or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon between the parties that is as close as practicable to the date of agreement on price.

(c) If cost or pricing data are requested and submitted by an offeror, but an exception is later found to apply, the data shall not be considered cost or pricing data as defined in 15.401 and shall not be certified in accordance with 15.406–2.

(d) The requirements of this subsection also apply to contracts entered into by an agency on behalf of a foreign government.

15.403–5 Instructions for submission of cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data.

(a) Taking into consideration the policy at 15.402, the contracting officer shall specify in the solicitation (see 15.408 (1) and (m))—

(1) Whether cost or pricing data are required;

(2) That, in lieu of submitting cost or pricing data, the offeror may submit a request for exception from the requirement to submit cost or pricing data;

(3) Any information other than cost or pricing data that is required; and

(4) Necessary preaward or postaward access to offeror’s records.

(b) (1) Unless required to be submitted on one of the termination forms specified in Subpart 49.6, the contracting officer may require submission of cost or pricing data in the format indicated in Table 15–2 of 15.408, specify an alternative format, or permit submission in the contractor’s format.

(2) Information other than cost or pricing data may be submitted in the offeror’s own format unless the contracting officer decides that use of a specific format is essential and the format has been described in the solicitation.

(3) Data supporting forward pricing rate agreements or final indirect cost proposals shall be included in a form acceptable to the contracting officer.

15.404 Proposal analysis.

15.404–1 Proposal analysis techniques.

(a) General. The objective of proposal analysis is to ensure that the final agreed-to price is fair and reasonable.

(1) The contracting officer is responsible for evaluating the reasonableness of the offered prices. The analytical techniques and procedures described in this section may be used, singly or in combination with others, to ensure that the final price is fair and reasonable. The complexity and circumstances of each acquisition should determine the level of detail of the analysis required.

(2) Price analysis shall be used when cost or pricing data are not required (see paragraph (b) of this subsection and 15.404–3).

(3) Cost analysis shall be used to evaluate the reasonableness of individual cost elements when cost or pricing data are required. Price analysis should be used to verify that the over all price offered is fair and reasonable.

(4) Cost analysis may also be used to evaluate information other than cost or pricing data to determine cost reasonableness or cost realism.

(5) The contracting officer may request the advice and assistance of other experts to ensure that an appropriate analysis is performed.

(6) Recommendations or conclusions regarding the Government’s review or analysis of an offeror’s or contractor’s proposal shall not be disclosed to the offeror or contractor without the concurrence of the contracting officer. Any discrepancy or mistake of fact (such as duplications, omissions, and errors in computation) contained in the cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data submitted in support of a proposal shall be brought to the contracting officer’s attention for appropriate action.

(7) The Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) and the Federal Acquisition Institute (FAI) jointly prepared a five-volume set of Contract Pricing Resource Guides to guide pricing and negotiation personnel. The five guides are: I Price Analysis, II Quantitative Techniques for Contract Pricing, III Cost Analysis, IV Advanced Issues in Contract Pricing, and V Federal Contract Negotiation Techniques. These references provide detailed discussion and examples
applying pricing policies to pricing problems. They are to be used for instruction and professional guidance. However, they are not directive and should be considered informational only. Free copies of the references are available on the World Wide Web, Internet address http://www.gsa.gov/fai.

(b) Price analysis. (1) Price analysis is the process of examining and evaluating a proposed price without evaluating its separate cost elements and proposed profit.

(2) The Government may use various price analysis techniques and procedures to ensure a fair and reasonable price, given the circumstances surrounding the acquisition. Examples of such techniques include, but are not limited to the following:

(i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation.

(ii) Comparison of previously proposed prices and contract prices with current proposed prices for the same or similar end items, if both the validity of the comparison and the reasonableness of the previous price(s) can be established.

(iii) Use of parametric estimating methods/application of rough yardsticks (such as dollars per pound or per horsepower, or other units) to highlight significant inconsistencies that warrant additional pricing inquiry.

(iv) Comparison with competitive published price lists, published market prices of commodities, similar indexes, and discount or rebate arrangements.

(v) Comparison of proposed prices with independent Government cost estimates.

(vi) Comparison of proposed prices with prices obtained through market research for the same or similar items.

(c) Cost analysis. (1) Cost analysis is the review and evaluation of the separate cost elements and profit in an offeror's or contractor's proposal (including cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data), and the application of judgment to determine how well the proposed costs represent what the cost of the contract should be, assuming reasonable economy and efficiency.

(2) The Government may use various cost analysis techniques and procedures to ensure a fair and reasonable price, given the circumstances of the acquisition. Such techniques and procedures include the following:

(i) Verification of cost or pricing data and evaluation of cost elements, including—

(A) The necessity for, and reasonableness of, proposed costs, including allowances for contingencies;

(B) Projection of the offeror's cost trends, on the basis of current and historical cost or pricing data;

(C) Reasonableness of estimates generated by appropriately calibrated and validated parametric models or cost-estimating relationships; and

(D) The application of audited or negotiated indirect cost rates, labor rates, and cost of money or other factors.

(ii) Evaluating the effect of the offeror's current practices on future costs. In conducting this evaluation, the contracting officer shall ensure that the effects of inefficient or uneconomical past practices are not projected into the future. In pricing production of recently developed complex equipment, the contracting officer should perform a trend analysis of basic labor and materials, even in periods of relative price stability.

(iii) Comparison of costs proposed by the offeror for individual cost elements with—

(A) Actual costs previously incurred by the same offeror;

(B) Previous cost estimates from the offeror or from other offerors for the same or similar items;

(C) Other cost estimates received in response to the Government's request;

(D) Independent Government cost estimates by technical personnel; and

(E) Forecasts of planned expenditures.

(iv) Verification that the offeror's cost submissions are in accordance with the contract cost principles and procedures in part 31 and, when applicable, the requirements and procedures in 48 CFR Chapter 99 (Appendix to the FAR looseleaf edition), Cost Accounting Standards.

(v) Review to determine whether any cost or pricing data necessary to make the contractor's proposal accurate, complete, and current have not been either submitted or identified in writing by the contractor. If there are such data, the contracting officer shall attempt to obtain them and negotiate, using them or making satisfactory allowance for the incomplete data.

(vi) Analysis of the results of any make-or-buy program reviews, in evaluating subcontract costs (see 15.407-2).

(d) Cost realism analysis. (1) Cost realism analysis is the process of independently reviewing and evaluating specific elements of each offeror's proposed cost estimate to determine whether the estimated proposed cost elements are realistic for the work to be performed; reflect a clear understanding of the requirements; and are consistent with the underlying methods of performance and materials described in the offeror's technical proposal.

(2) Cost realism analyses shall be performed on cost-reimbursement contracts to determine the probable cost of performance for each offeror.

(i) The probable cost may differ from the proposed cost and should reflect the Government's best estimate of the cost of any contract that is most likely to result from the offeror's proposal. The probable cost shall be used for purposes of evaluation to determine the best value.

(ii) The probable cost is determined by adjusting each offeror's proposed cost, and fee where appropriate, to reflect any additions or reductions in cost elements to realistic levels based on the results of the cost realism analysis.

(3) Cost realism analyses may also be used on competitive fixed-price incentive contracts or, in exceptional cases, on other competitive fixed-price-type contracts when new requirements may not be fully understood by competing offerors, there are quality concerns, or past trends indicate that contractors proposed costs have resulted in quality or service shortfalls. Results of the analysis may be used in performance risk assessments and responsibility determinations. However, proposals shall be evaluated using the criteria in the solicitation, and the offered prices shall not be adjusted as a result of the analysis.

(e) Technical analysis. (1) The contracting officer may require that personnel having specialized knowledge, skills, experience, or capability in engineering, science, or management perform a technical analysis of the proposed types and quantities of materials, labor, processes, special tooling, facilities, the reasonableness of scrap and spoilage, and other associated factors set forth in the proposal(s) in order to determine the need for and reasonableness of the proposed resources, assuming reasonable economy and efficiency.

(2) At a minimum, the technical analysis should examine the types and quantities of material proposed and the need for the types and quantities of labor hours and the labor mix. Any other data that may be pertinent to that assessment of the offeror’s ability to accomplish the technical requirements or the cost or price analysis of the service or product being proposed should also be included in the analysis.

(f) Unit prices. (1) Except when pricing an item on the basis of adequate price competition or catalog or market price, unit prices shall reflect the intrinsic value of an item or service and shall be proportionate to the item's base cost (e.g., manufacturing or acquisition costs). Any method of distributing costs
to line items that distort the unit prices shall not be used. For example, distributing costs equally among line items is not acceptable except when there is little or no variation in base cost.

(2) Except for the acquisition of commercial items, contracting officers shall require that offerors identify in their proposals those items of supply that they will not manufacture or to which they will not contribute significant value, unless adequate price competition is expected (10 U.S.C. 230d and 41 U.S.C. 254(d)(A)(1)). Such information shall be used to determine whether the intrinsic value of an item has been distorted through application of overhead and whether such items should be considered for breakout. The contracting officer may require such information in all other negotiated contracts when appropriate.

(g) Unbalanced pricing. (1) Unbalanced pricing may increase performance risk and could result in payment of unreasonably high prices. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly over or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. The greatest risks associated with unbalanced pricing occur when—

(1) Startup work, mobilization, first articles, or first article testing are separate line items;
(2) Base quantities and option quantities are separate line items; or
(3) The evaluated price is the aggregate of estimated quantities to be ordered under separate line items of an indefinite-delivery contract.

(2) All offers with separately priced line items or subline items shall be analyzed to determine if the prices are unbalanced. If cost or price analysis techniques indicate that an offer is unbalanced, the contracting officer shall—

(i) Consider the risks to the Government associated with the unbalanced pricing in determining the competitive range and in making the source selection decision; and
(ii) Consider whether the contract will result in paying unreasonably high prices for contract performance.

(3) An offer may be rejected if the contracting officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

15.404-2 Information to support proposal analysis.

(a) Field pricing assistance. (1) The contracting officer should request field pricing assistance when the information available at the buying activity is inadequate to determine a fair and reasonable price. Such requests shall be tailored to reflect the minimum essential supplementary information needed to conduct a technical or cost or pricing analysis.

(2) Field pricing assistance generally is directed at obtaining technical, audit, and special reports associated with the cost elements of a proposal, including subcontracts. Information on related pricing practices and history may also be obtained. Field pricing assistance may also include information relative to the business, technical, production, or other capabilities and practices of an offeror. The type of information and level of detail requested will vary in accordance with the specialized resources available at the buying activity and the magnitude and complexity of the required analysis.

(3) When field pricing assistance is requested, contracting officers are encouraged to team with appropriate field experts throughout the acquisition process, including negotiations. Early communication with these experts will assist in determining the extent of assistance required, the specific areas for which assistance is needed, a realistic review schedule, and the information necessary to perform the review.

(4) When requesting field pricing assistance on a contractor's request for equitable adjustment, the contracting officer shall provide the information listed in 43.204(b)(5).

(5) Field pricing information and other reports may include proprietary or source selection information (see 3.104-4 (j) and (k)). Such information shall be appropriately identified and protected accordingly.

(b) Reporting field pricing information. (1) Depending upon the extent and complexity of the field pricing review, results, including supporting rationale, may be reported directly to the contracting officer orally, in writing, or by any other method acceptable to the contracting officer.

(i) Whenever circumstances permit, the contracting officer and field pricing experts are encouraged to use telephonic and/or electronic means to request and transmit pricing information.

(ii) When it is necessary to have written technical and audit reports, the contracting officer shall request that the audit agency concurrently forward the audit report to the requesting contracting officer and the administrative contracting officer (ACO). The completed field pricing assistance results may reference audit information, but need not reconcile the audit recommendations and technical recommendations. A copy of the information submitted to the contracting officer by field pricing personnel shall be provided to the audit agency.

(2) Audit and field pricing information, whether written or reported telephonically or electronically, shall be made a part of the official contract file (see 4.807(f)).

(c) Audit assistance for prime contracts or subcontracts. (1) The contracting officer may contact the cognizant audit office directly, particularly when an audit is the only field pricing support required. The audit office shall send the audit report, or otherwise transmit the audit recommendations, directly to the contracting officer.

(i) The auditor shall not reveal the audit conclusions or recommendations to the offeror/contractor without obtaining the concurrence of the contracting officer. However, the auditor may discuss the statements of facts with the contractor.

(ii) The contracting officer should be notified immediately of any information disclosed to the auditor after submission of a report that may significantly affect the audit findings and, if necessary, a supplemental audit report shall be issued.

(2) The contracting officer shall not request a separate preaward audit of indirect costs unless the information already available from an existing audit, completed within the preceding 12 months, is considered inadequate for determining the reasonableness of the proposed indirect costs (41 U.S.C. 254d and 10 U.S.C. 2313).

(3) The auditor is responsible for the scope and depth of the audit. Copies of updated information that will significantly affect the audit should be provided to the auditor by the contracting officer.

(4) General access to the offeror's books and financial records is limited to the auditor. This limitation does not preclude the contracting officer or the ACO, or their representatives, from requesting that the offeror provide or make available any data or records necessary to analyze the offeror's proposal.

(d) Deficient proposals. The ACO or the auditor, as appropriate, shall notify the contracting officer immediately if the data provided for review is so deficient as to preclude review or audit, or if the contractor or offeror has denied access to any records considered essential to conduct a satisfactory review or audit. Oral notifications shall
be confirmed promptly in writing, including a description of deficient or denied data or records. The contracting officer immediately shall take appropriate action to obtain the required data. Should the offeror/contractor again refuse to provide adequate data, or provide access to necessary data, the contracting officer shall withhold the award or price adjustment and refer the contract action to a higher authority, providing details of the attempts made to resolve the matter and a statement of the practicability of obtaining the supplies or services from another source.

15.404–3 Subcontract pricing considerations.

(a) The contracting officer is responsible for the determination of price reasonableness for the prime contract, including subcontracting costs. The contracting officer should consider whether a contractor or subcontractor has an approved purchasing system, has performed cost or price analysis of proposed subcontractor prices, or has negotiated the subcontract prices before negotiation of the prime contract, in determining the reasonableness of the prime contract price. This does not relieve the contracting officer from the responsibility to analyze the contractor’s submission, including subcontractor’s cost or pricing data.

(b) The prime contractor or subcontractor shall—

(1) Conduct appropriate cost or price analyses to establish the reasonableness of proposed subcontract prices;

(2) Include the results of these analyses in the price proposal; and

(3) When required by paragraph (c) of this subsection, submit subcontractor cost or pricing data to the Government as part of its own cost or pricing data.

(c) Any contractor or subcontractor that is required to submit cost or pricing data also shall obtain and analyze cost or pricing data before awarding any subcontract, purchase order, or modification expected to exceed the cost or pricing data threshold, unless an exception in 15.403–1(b) applies to that action.

(1) The contractor shall submit, or cause to be submitted by the subcontractor(s), cost or pricing data to the Government for subcontracts that are the lower of either—

(i) $10,000,000 or more; or

(ii) Both more than the pertinent cost or pricing data threshold and more than 10 percent of the prime contractor’s proposed price, unless the contracting officer believes such submission is unnecessary.

(2) The contracting officer may require the contractor or subcontractor to submit to the Government (or cause submission of) subcontractor cost or pricing data below the thresholds in paragraph (c)(1) of this subsection that the contracting officer considers necessary for adequately pricing the prime contract.

(3) Subcontractor cost or pricing data shall be submitted in the format provided in Table 15–2 of 15.408 or the alternate format specified in the solicitation.

(4) Subcontractor cost or pricing data shall be current, accurate, and complete as of the date of price agreement, or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon by the parties and specified on the contractor’s Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data. The contractor shall update subcontractor’s data, as appropriate, during source selection and negotiations.

(5) If there is more than one prospective subcontractor for any given work, the contractor need only submit to the Government cost or pricing data for the prospective subcontractor most likely to receive the award.

15.404–4 Profit.

(a) General. This subsection prescribes policies for establishing the profit or fee portion of the Government prenegotiation objective in price negotiations based on cost analysis.

(1) Profit or fee prenegotiation objectives do not necessarily represent net income to contractors. Rather, they represent that element of the potential total remuneration that contractors may receive for contract performance over and above allowable costs. This potential remuneration element and the Government’s estimate of allowable costs to be incurred in contract performance together equal the Government’s total prenegotiation objective. Just as actual costs may vary from estimated costs, the contractor’s actual realized profit or fee may vary from negotiated profit or fee, because of such factors as efficiency of performance, incurrence of costs the Government does not recognize as allowable, and the contract type.

(2) It is in the Government’s interest to offer contractors opportunities for financial rewards sufficient to stimulate efficient contract performance, attract the best capabilities of qualified large and small business concerns to Government contracts, and maintain a viable industrial base.

(3) Both the Government and contractors should be concerned with profit as a motivator of efficient and effective contract performance.

Negotiations aimed merely at reducing prices by reducing profit, without proper recognition of the function of profit, are not in the Government’s interest. Negotiation of extremely low profits, use of historical averages, or automatic application of predetermined percentages to total estimated costs do not provide proper motivation for optimum contract performance.

(b) Policy. (1) Structured approaches (see paragraph (d) of this subsection) for determining profit or fee prenegotiation objectives provide a discipline for ensuring that all relevant factors are considered. Subject to the authorities in 1.301(c), agencies making noncompetitive contract awards over $100,000 totaling $50 million or more a year—

(i) Shall use a structured approach for determining the profit or fee objective in those acquisitions that require cost analysis; and

(ii) May prescribe specific exemptions for situations in which mandatory use of a structured approach would be clearly inappropriate.

(2) Agencies may use another agency’s structured approach.

(3) Contracting officer responsibilities.

(1) When the price negotiation is not based on cost analysis, contracting officers are not required to analyze profit.

(2) When the price negotiation is based on cost analysis, contracting officers in agencies that have a structured approach shall use it to analyze profit. When not using a structured approach, contracting officers shall comply with paragraph (d)(1) of this subsection in developing profit or fee prenegotiation objectives.

(3) Contracting officers shall use the Government prenegotiation cost objective amounts as the basis for calculating the profit or fee prenegotiation objective. Before applying profit or fee factors, the contracting officer shall exclude any facilities capital cost of money included in the cost objective amounts. If the prospective contract does not include specified capital cost of money in a proposal for a contract that will be subject to the cost principles in contracts for commercial organizations (see subpart 31.2), facilities capital cost of money will not be an allowable cost in any resulting contract (see 15.406(i)).

(4) The contracting officer shall not negotiate a price or fee that exceeds the following statutory limitations, imposed by 10 U.S.C. 2306(e) and 41 U.S.C. 254b.

(A) For experimental, developmental, or research work performed under a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract, the fee shall
not exceed 15 percent of the contract's estimated cost, excluding fee.

(B) For architect-engineer services for public works or utilities, the contract price or the estimated cost and fee for production and delivery of designs, plans, drawings, and specifications shall not exceed 6 percent of the estimated cost of construction of the public work or utility, excluding fees.

(C) For other cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts, the fee shall not exceed 10 percent of the contract's estimated cost, excluding fee.

(ii) The contracting officer's signature on the price negotiation memorandum or other documentation supporting determination of fair and reasonable price documents the contracting officer's determination that the statutory price or fee limitations have not been exceeded.

(5) The contracting officer shall not require any prospective contractor to submit breaking-down or supporting rationality for its profit or fee objective but may consider it, if it is submitted voluntarily.

(6) If a change or modification calls for essentially the same type and mix of work as the basic contract and is of relatively small dollar value compared to the total contract value, the contracting officer may use the basic contract's profit or fee rate as the renegotiation objective for that change or modification.

(d) Profit-analysis factors—(1) Common factors. Unless it is clearly inappropriate or not applicable, each factor outlined in paragraphs (d)(1)(i) through (vi) of this subsection shall be considered by agencies in developing their structured approaches and by contracting officers in analyzing profit, whether or not using a structured approach.

(i) Contractor effort. This factor measures the complexity of the work and the resources required of the prospective contractor for contract performance. Greater profit opportunity should be provided under contracts requiring a high degree of professional and managerial skill and to prospective contractors whose skills, facilities, and technical assets can be expected to lead to efficient and economical contract performance. The subfactors in paragraphs (d)(1)(i)(A) through (D) of this subsection shall be considered in determining contractor effort, but they may be modified in specific situations to accommodate differences in the categories used by prospective contractors for listing costs.

(A) Material acquisition. This subfactor measures the managerial and technical effort needed to obtain the required purchased parts and material, subcontracted items, and special tooling. Considerations include the complexity of the items required, the number of purchase orders and subcontracts to be awarded and administered, whether established sources are available or new or second sources must be developed, and whether material will be obtained through routine purchase orders or through complex subcontracts requiring detailed specifications. Profit consideration should correspond to the managerial and technical effort involved.

(B) Conversion direct labor. This subfactor measures the contribution of direct engineering, manufacturing, and other labor to converting the raw materials, data, and subcontracted items into the contract items. Considerations include the diversity of engineering, scientific, and manufacturing labor skills required and the amount and quality of supervision and coordination needed to perform the contract task.

(C) Conversion-related indirect costs. This subfactor measures how much the indirect costs contribute to contract performance. The labor elements in the allocable indirect costs should be given the profit consideration they would receive if treated as direct labor. The other elements of indirect costs should be evaluated to determine whether they merit only limited profit consideration because of their routine nature, or are elements that contribute significantly to the proposed contract.

(D) General management. This subfactor measures the prospective contractor's other indirect costs and general and administrative (G&A) expense, their composition, and how much they contribute to contract performance. Considerations include how labor in the overhead pools would be treated if it were direct labor, whether elements within the pools are routine expenses or instead are elements that contribute significantly to the proposed contract, and whether the elements require routine as opposed to unusual managerial effort and attention.

(ii) Contract cost risk. (A) This factor measures the degree of cost responsibility and associated risk that the prospective contractor will assume as a result of the contract type contemplated and considering the reliability of the cost estimate in relation to the complexity and duration of the contract task. Determination of contract type should be closely related to the risks involved in timely, cost-effective, and efficient performance. This factor should compensate contractors proportionately for assuming greater cost risks.

(B) The contractor assumes the greatest cost risk in a closely priced firm-fixed-price contract under which it agrees to perform a complex undertaking on time and at a predetermined price. Some firm-fixed-price contracts may entail substantially less cost risk than others because, for example, the contract task is less complex or many of the contractor's costs are known at the time of price agreement, in which case the risk factor should be reduced accordingly. The contractor assumes the least cost risk in a cost-plus-fixed-fee level-of-effort contract, under which it is reimbursed those costs determined to be allocable and allowable, plus the fixed fee.

(C) In evaluating assumption of cost risk, contracting officers shall, except in unusual circumstances, treat time-and-materials, labor-hour, and firm-fixed-price, level-of-effort term contracts as cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts.

(iii) Federal socioeconomic programs. This factor measures the degree of support given by the prospective contractor to Federal socioeconomic programs, such as those involving small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, women-owned small business concerns, handicapped sheltered workshops, and energy conservation. Greater profit opportunity should be provided contractors that have displayed unusual initiative in these programs.

(iv) Capital investments. This factor takes into account the contribution of contractor investments to efficient and economical contract performance.

(v) Cost-control and other past accomplishments. This factor allows additional profit opportunities to a prospective contractor that has previously demonstrated its ability to perform similar tasks effectively and economically. In addition, consideration should be given to measures taken by the prospective contractor that result in productivity improvements, and other cost-reduction accomplishments that will benefit the Government in follow-on contracts.

(vi) Independent development. Under this factor, the contractor may be provided additional profit opportunities in recognition of independent development efforts relevant to the contract end item without Government assistance. The contracting officer should consider whether the development cost was recovered directly or indirectly from Government sources.
(2) Additional factors. In order to foster achievement of program objectives, each agency may include additional factors in its structured approach or take them into account in the profit analysis of individual contract actions.

15.405 Price negotiation.

(a) The purpose of performing cost or price analysis is to develop a negotiation position that permits the contracting officer and the offeror an opportunity to reach agreement on a fair and reasonable price. A fair and reasonable price does not require that agreement be reached on every element of cost, nor is it mandatory that the agreed price be within the contracting officer’s initial negotiation position. Taking into consideration the advisory recommendations, reports of contributing specialists, and the current status of the contractor’s purchasing system, the contracting officer is responsible for exercising the requisite judgment needed to reach a negotiated settlement with the offeror and is solely responsible for the final price agreement. However, when significant audit or other specialist recommendations are not adopted, the contracting officer should provide rationale that supports the negotiation result in the price negotiation documentation.

(b) The contracting officer’s primary concern is the overall price the Government will actually pay. The contracting officer’s objective is to negotiate a contract of a type and with a price providing the contractor the greatest incentive for efficient and economical performance. The negotiation of a contract type and a price are related and should be considered together with the issues of risk and uncertainty to the contractor and the Government. Therefore, the contracting officer should not become preoccupied with any single element and should balance the contract type, cost, and profit or fee negotiated to achieve a total result—a price that is fair and reasonable to both the Government and the contractor.

(c) The Government’s cost objective and proposed pricing arrangements directly affect the profit or fee objective. Because profit or fee is only one of several interrelated variables, the contracting officer shall not agree on profit or fee without concurrent agreement on cost and type of contract.

(d) If, however, the contractor insists on a price or demands a profit or fee that the contracting officer considers unreasonable, and the contracting officer has taken all authorized actions (including determining the feasibility of developing an alternative source) without success, the contracting officer shall refer the contract action to a level above the contracting officer. Disposition of the action shall be documented.

15.406 Documentation.

15.406-1 Prenegotiation objectives.

(a) The prenegotiation objectives establish the Government’s initial negotiation position. They assist in the contracting officer’s determination of fair and reasonable price. They should be based on the results of the contracting officer’s analysis of the offeror’s proposal, taking into consideration all pertinent information including field pricing assistance, audit reports and technical analysis, fact-finding results, independent Government cost estimates and price histories.

(b) The contracting officer shall establish prenegotiation objectives before the negotiation of any pricing action. The scope and depth of the analysis supporting the objectives should be directly related to the dollar value, importance, and complexity of the pricing action. When cost analysis is required, the contracting officer shall document the pertinent issues to be negotiated, the cost objectives, and a profit or fee objective.


(a) When cost or pricing data are required, the contracting officer shall require the contractor to execute a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, using the format in this paragraph, and shall include the executed certificate in the contract file.

**CERTIFICATE OF CURRENT COST OR PRICING DATA**

This is to certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the cost or pricing data (as defined in section 15.401 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and required under FAR subsection 15.403-4) submitted, either actually or by specific identification in writing to the Contracting Officer or to the Contracting Officer’s representative in support of ___* are accurate, complete, and current as of ___**. This certification includes the cost or pricing data supporting any advance agreements and forward pricing rate agreements between the offeror and the Government that are part of the proposal.

Firm
Signature
Name
Title
Date of execution***

* Identify the proposal, request for price adjustment, or other submission involved, giving the appropriate identifying number (e.g., RFP No.).
** Insert the day, month, and year when price negotiations were concluded and price agreement was reached or, if applicable, an earlier date agreed upon between the parties that is as close as practicable to the date of agreement on price.
*** Insert the day, month, and year of signing, which should be as close as practicable to the date when the price negotiations were concluded and the contract price was agreed to. (End of certificate)

(b) The certificate does not constitute a representation as to the accuracy of the contractor’s judgment on the estimate of future costs or projections. It applies to the data upon which the judgment or estimate was based. This distinction between fact and judgment should be clearly understood. If the contractor had information reasonably available at the time of agreement showing that the negotiated price was not based on accurate, complete, and current data, the contractor’s responsibility is not limited by any lack of personal knowledge of the information on the part of its negotiators.

(c) The contracting officer and contractor are encouraged to reach a prior agreement on criteria for establishing closing or cutoff dates when appropriate in order to minimize delays associated with proposal updates. Closing or cutoff dates should be included as part of the data submitted with the proposal and, before agreement on price, data should be updated by the contractor to the latest closing or cutoff dates for which the data are available. Use of cutoff dates coinciding with reports is acceptable, as certain data may not be reasonably available before normal periodic closing dates (e.g., actual indirect costs). Data within the contractor’s or a subcontractor’s organization on matters significant to contractor management and to the Government will be treated as reasonably available. What is significant depends upon the circumstances of each acquisition.

(d) Possession of a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data is not a substitute for examining and analyzing the contractor’s proposal.

(e) If cost or pricing data are requested by the Government and submitted by an offeror, but an exception is later found to apply, the data shall not be considered cost or pricing data and shall not be certified in accordance with this subsection.

15.406-3 Documenting the negotiation.

(a) The contracting officer shall document in the contract file the principal elements of the negotiated
agreement. The documentation (e.g., price negotiation memorandum (PNM)) shall include the following:

1. The purpose of the negotiation.
2. A description of the acquisition, including appropriate identifying numbers (e.g., RFP No.).
3. The name, position, and organization of each person representing the contractor and the Government in the negotiation.
4. The current status of any contractor systems (e.g., purchasing, estimating, accounting, and compensation) to the extent they affected and were considered in the negotiation.
5. If cost or pricing data were not required in the case of any price negotiation exceeding the cost or pricing data threshold, the exception used and the basis for it.
6. If cost or pricing data were required, the extent to which the contracting officer:
   (i) Relied on the cost or pricing data submitted and used them in negotiating the price;
   (ii) Recognized as inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent any cost or pricing data submitted; the action taken by the contracting officer and the contractor as a result; and the effect of the defective data on the price negotiated; or
   (iii) Determined that an exception applied after the data were submitted and, therefore, considered not to be cost or pricing data.
7. A summary of the contractor's proposal, any field pricing assistance recommendations, including the reasons for any pertinent variances from them, the Government’s negotiation objective, and the negotiated position. Where the determination of price reasonableness is based on cost analysis, the summary shall address each major cost element. When determination of price reasonableness is based on price analysis, the summary shall include the source and type of data used to support the determination.
8. The most significant facts or considerations controlling the establishment of the prenegotiation objectives and the negotiated agreement including an explanation of any significant differences between the two positions.
9. To the extent such direction has a significant effect on the action, a discussion and quantification of the impact of direction given by Congress, other agencies, and higher-level officials (i.e., officials who would not normally exercise authority during the award and review process for the instant contract action).
10. The basis for the profit or fee prenegotiation objective and the profit or fee negotiated.
11. Documentation of fair and reasonable pricing.

(b) Whenever field pricing assistance has been obtained, the contracting officer shall forward a copy of the negotiation documentation to the office(s) providing assistance. When appropriate, information on how advisory field support can be made more effective should be provided separately.

15.407 Special cost or pricing areas.

15.407–1 Defective cost or pricing data.

(a) If, before agreement on price, the contracting officer learns that any cost or pricing data submitted are inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent, the contractor's position shall be brought to the attention of the prospective subcontractor, whether the defective data increase or decrease the contract price. The contracting officer shall consider any new data submitted to correct the deficiency, or consider the inaccuracy, incompleteness, or noncurrency of the data when negotiating the contract price. The price negotiation memorandum shall reflect the adjustments made to the data or the corrected data used to negotiate the contract price.

(b)(1) If, after award, cost or pricing data are found to be inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent as of the date of final agreement on price or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties given on the contractor’s or subcontractor’s Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, the Government is entitled to a price adjustment, including profit or fee, of any significant amount by which the price was increased because of the defective data. This entitlement is ensured by including in the contract one of the clauses prescribed in 15.408(b) and (c) and is set forth in the clauses at 52.215–10, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, and 52.215–11, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications. The clauses give the Government the right to a price adjustment for defects in cost or pricing data submitted by the contractor, a prospective subcontractor, or an actual subcontractor.

(2) In arriving at a price adjustment, the contracting officer shall consider the time by which the cost or pricing data became reasonably available to the contractor, and the extent to which the Government relied upon the defective data.

(3) The clauses referred to in paragraph (b)(1) of this subsection recognize that the Government’s right to a price adjustment is not affected by any of the following circumstances:

(i) The contractor or subcontractor was a sole source supplier or otherwise was in a superior bargaining position;
(ii) The contracting officer should have known that the cost or pricing data in issue were defective even though the contractor or subcontractor took no affirmative action to bring the character of the data to the attention of the contracting officer;
(iii) The contract was based on an agreement about the total cost of the contract and there was no agreement about the cost of each item procured under such contract; or
(iv) Cost or pricing data were required; however, the contractor or subcontractor did not submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data relating to the contract.

(4) Subject to paragraphs (b)(5) and (6) of this subsection, the contractor shall allow an offset for any understated cost or pricing data submitted in support of price negotiations, up to the amount of the Government’s claim for overstated pricing data arising out of the same pricing action (e.g., the initial pricing of the same contract or the pricing of the same change order).

(5) An offset shall be allowed only in an amount supported by the facts and if the contractor—

(i) Certifies to the contracting officer that, to the best of the contractor’s knowledge and belief, the contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and
(ii) Proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the “as of” date specified on the Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data but were not submitted. Such offsets need not be in the same cost groupings (e.g., material, direct labor, or indirect costs).

(6) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(i) The understated data were known by the contractor to be understated before the “as of” date specified on the Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data; or
(ii) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the “as of” date specified on the Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(7)(i) In addition to the price adjustment, the Government is entitled to recovery of any overpayment plus interest on the overpayments. The Government is also entitled to penalty
amounts on certain of these overpayments. Overpayment occurs only when payment is made for supplies or services accepted by the Government. Overpayments do not result from amounts paid for contract financing, as defined in 32.902.

(ii) In calculating the interest amount due, the contracting officer shall—

(A) Determine the defective pricing amounts that have been overpaid to the contractor.

(B) Consider the date of each overpayment (the date of overpayment for this interest calculation shall be the date payment was made for the related completed and accepted contract items; or for subcontract defective pricing, the date payment was made to the prime contractor, based on prime contract progress billings or deliveries, which included payments for a completed and accepted subcontract item); and

(C) Apply the underpayment interest rate(s) in effect for each quarter from the time of overpayment to the time of repayment, utilizing rate(s) prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6621(a)(2).

(iii) In arriving at the amount due for penalties on contracts where the submission of defective cost or pricing data was a knowing submission, the contracting officer shall obtain an amount equal to the amount of overpayment made. Before taking any contractual actions concerning penalties, the contracting officer shall obtain the advice of counsel.

(iv) In the demand letter, the contracting officer shall separately include:

(A) The repayment amount;

(B) The penalty amount (if any);

(C) The interest amount through a specified date; and

(D) A statement that interest will continue to accrue until repayment is made.

(c) If, after award, the contracting officer learns or suspects that the data furnished were not accurate, complete, and current, or were not adequately verified by the contractor as of the time of negotiation, the contracting officer shall request an audit to evaluate the accuracy, completeness, and currency of the data. The Government may evaluate the profit-cost relationships only if the audit reveals that the data certified by the contractor were defective. The contracting officer shall not reprice the contract solely because the profit was greater than forecast or because a contingency specified in the submission failed to materialize. Any advisory audit received based on a postaward review that indicates defective pricing, the contracting officer shall make a determination as to whether or not the data submitted were defective and relied upon. Before making such a determination, the contracting officer should give the contractor an opportunity to support the accuracy, completeness, and currency of the data in question. The contracting officer shall prepare a memorandum documenting both the determination and any corrective action taken as a result. The contracting officer shall send one copy of this memorandum to the auditor and, if the contract has been assigned for administration, one copy to the administrative contracting officer (ACO). A copy of the memorandum or other notice of the contracting officer’s determination shall be provided to the contractor.

(e) If both the contractor and subcontractor submitted, and the contractor certified, or should have certified, cost or pricing data, the Government has the right, under the clauses at 52.215-10, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, and 52.215-11, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, to reduce the prime contract price if it was significantly increased because a subcontractor submitted defective data. This right applies whether these data supported subcontract cost estimates or supported firm agreements between subcontractor and contractor.

(f) If Government audit discloses defective subcontractor cost or pricing data, the information necessary to support a reduction in prime contract and subcontract prices may be available only from the Government. To the extent necessary to secure a prime contract price reduction, the contracting officer should make this information available to the prime contractor or appropriate subcontractors, upon request. If release of the information would compromise Government security or disclose trade secrets or confidential business information, the contracting officer shall release it only under conditions that will protect it from improper disclosure. Information made available under this paragraph shall be limited to that used as the basis for the prime contract price reduction. In order to afford an opportunity for corrective action, the contracting officer should give the prime contractor reasonable advance notice before determining to reduce the prime contract price.

1. When a prime contractor includes defective subcontract data in arriving at the price but later awards the subcontract to a lower priced subcontractor (or does not subcontract for the work), any adjustment in the prime contract price due to defective subcontract data is limited to the difference (plus applicable indirect cost and profit markups) between the subcontract price used for pricing the prime contract, and either the actual subcontract price or the actual cost to the contractor, if not subcontracted, provided the data on which the actual subcontract price is based are not themselves defective.

2. Under cost-reimbursement contracts and under all fixed-price contracts except firm-fixed-price contracts and fixed-price contracts with economic price adjustment, payments to subcontractors that are higher than they would be had there been no defective subcontractor cost or pricing data shall be the basis for disallowance or nonrecognition of costs under the clauses prescribed in 15.408 (b) and (c). The Government has a continuing and direct financial interest in such payments that is unaffected by the initial agreement on prime contract price.

15.407-2 Make-or-buy programs.

(a) General. The prime contractor is responsible for managing contract performance, including planning, placing, and administering subcontracts as necessary to ensure the lowest overall cost and technical risk to the Government. When make-or-buy programs are required, the Government may reserve the right to review and agree on the contractor’s make-or-buy program when necessary to ensure negotiation of reasonable contract prices, satisfactory performance, or implementation of socioeconomic policies. Consent to subcontracts and review of contractors’ purchasing systems are separate actions covered in part 44.

(b) Definitions. As used in this subsection—

Buy item means an item or work effort to be produced or performed by a subcontractor.

Make item means an item or work effort to be produced or performed by the prime contractor or its affiliates, subsidiaries, or divisions.

Make-or-buy program means that part of a contractor’s written plan for a contract identifying those major items to be produced or work efforts to be performed in the prime contractor’s facilities and those to be subcontracted.

(c) Acquisitions requiring make-or-buy programs. (1) Contracting officers may require prospective contractors to submit make-or-buy program plans for negotiated acquisitions requiring cost or
pricing data whose estimated value is $10 million or more, except when the proposed contract is for research and development and, if prototypes or hardware are involved, no significant follow-on production is anticipated.

(2) Contracting officers may require prospective contractors to submit make-or-buy programs for negotiated acquisitions whose estimated value is under $10 million only if the contracting officer—

(i) Determines that the information is necessary; and

(ii) Documents the reasons in the contract file.

(d) Solicitation requirements. When prospective contractors are required to submit proposed make-or-buy programs, the solicitation shall include—

(1) A statement that the program and required supporting information must accompany the offer; and

(2) A description of factors to be used in evaluating the proposed program, such as capability, capacity, availability of small, small disadvantaged, and women-owned small business concerns for subcontracting, establishment of new facilities in or near labor surplus areas, delivery or performance schedules, control of technical and schedule interfaces, proprietary processes, technical superiority or exclusiveness, and technical risks involved.

(e) Program requirements. To support a make-or-buy program, the following information shall be supplied by the contractor in its proposal:

(1) Items and work included. The information required from a contractor in a make-or-buy program shall be confined to those major items or work efforts that normally would require company management review of the make-or-buy decision because they are complex, costly, needed in large quantities, or require additional facilities to produce. Raw materials, commercial items (see 2.101), and off-the-shelf items (see 46.101) shall not be included, unless their potential impact on contract cost or schedule is critical. Normally, make-or-buy programs should not include items or work efforts estimated to cost less than 1 percent of the total estimated contract price or any minimum dollar amount set by the agency.

(2) The offeror’s program should include or be supported by the following information:

(i) A description of each major item or work effort;

(ii) Categorization of each major item or work effort as “must make,” “must buy,” or “can either make or buy.”

(iii) For each item or work effort categorized as “can either make or buy,” a proposal either to “make” or to “buy.”

(iv) Reasons for categorizing items and work efforts as “must make” or “must buy,” and proposing to “make” or to “buy” those categorized as “can either make or buy.” The reasons must include the consideration given to the evaluation factors described in the solicitation and must be in sufficient detail to permit the contracting officer to evaluate the categorization or proposal.

(v) Designation of the plant or division proposed to make each item or perform each work effort, and a statement as to whether the existing or proposed new facility is in or near a labor surplus area.

(vi) Identification of proposed subcontractors, if known, and their location and size status (also see Subpart 19.7 for subcontracting plan requirements).

(vii) Any recommendations to defer make-or-buy decisions when categorization of some items or work efforts is impracticable at the time of submission.

(viii) Any other information the contracting officer requires in order to evaluate the program.

(f) Evaluation, negotiation, and agreement. Contracting officers shall evaluate and negotiate proposed make-or-buy programs as soon as practicable after their receipt and before contract award.

(1) When the program is to be incorporated in the contract and the design status of the product being acquired does not permit accurate precontract identification of major items or work efforts, the contracting officer shall notify the prospective contractor in writing that these items or efforts, when identifiable, shall be added under the clause at 52.215-9, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program.

(2) Contracting officers normally shall not agree to proposed “make items” when the products or services are not regularly manufactured or provided by the contractor and are available—quality, quantity, delivery, and other essential factors considered—from another firm at equal or lower prices, or when they are regularly manufactured or provided by the contractor, but are available—quality, quantity, delivery, and other essential factors considered—from another firm at lower prices. However, the contracting officer may agree to these as “make items” if an overall lower Governmentwide cost would result or it is otherwise in the best interest of the Government. If this situation occurs in any fixed-price incentive or cost-plus-incentive-fee contract, the contracting officer shall specify these items in the contract and state that they are subject to paragraph (d) of the clause at 52.215-9, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program (see 15.408(a)). If the contractor proposes to reverse the categorization of such items during contract performance, the contract price shall be subject to equitable reduction.

(g) Incorporating make-or-buy programs in contracts. The contracting officer may incorporate the make-or-buy program in negotiated contracts for—

(1) Major systems (see part 34) or their subsystems or components, regardless of contract type; or

(2) Other supplies and services if—

(i) The contract is a cost-reimbursable contract, or a cost-sharing contract in which the contractor’s share of the cost is less than 25 percent; and

(ii) The contracting officer determines that technical or cost risks justify Government review and approval of changes or additions to the make-or-buy program.

15.407-3 Forward pricing rate agreements.

(a) When cost or pricing data are required, offerors are required to describe any forward pricing rate agreements (FPRA’s) in each specific pricing proposal to which the rates apply and to identify the latest cost or pricing data already submitted in accordance with the agreement. All data submitted in connection with the agreement, updated as necessary, form a part of the total data that the offeror certifies to be accurate, complete, and current at the time of agreement on price for an initial contract or for a contract modification.

(b) Contracting officers will use FPRA rates as bases for pricing all contracts, modifications, and other contractual actions to be performed during the period covered by the agreement. Conditions that may affect the agreement’s validity shall be reported promptly to the ACO. If the ACO determines that a changed condition invalidates the agreement, the ACO shall notify all interested parties of the extent of its effect and status of efforts to establish a revised FPRA.

(c) Contracting officers shall not require certification at the time of agreement for data supplied in support of FPRA’s or other advance agreements. When a forward pricing rate agreement or other advance agreement is used to price a contract action that requires a certificate, the certificate supporting that contract action shall cover the data supplied to support the FPRA or other
advance agreement, and all other data supporting the action.

15.407-4 Should-cost review.
(a) General. (1) Should-cost reviews are a specialized form of cost analysis. Should-cost reviews differ from traditional evaluation methods because they do not assume that a contractor's historical costs reflect efficient and economical operation. Instead, these reviews evaluate the economy and efficiency of the contractor's existing work force, methods, materials, facilities, operating systems, and management. These reviews are accomplished by a multi-functional team of Government contracting, contract administration, pricing, audit, and engineering representatives. The objective of should-cost reviews is to promote both short and long-range improvements in the contractor's economy and efficiency in order to reduce the cost of performance of Government contracts. In addition, by providing rationale for any recommendations and quantifying their impact on cost, the Government will be better able to develop realistic objectives for negotiation.

(2) There are two types of should-cost reviews—program should-cost review (see paragraph (b) of this subsection) and overhead should-cost review (see paragraph (c) of this subsection). These should-cost reviews may be performed together or independently. The scope of a should-cost review can range from a large-scale review examining the contractor's entire operation (including plant-wide overhead and selected major subcontractors) to a small-scale tailored review examining specific portions of a contractor's operation.

(b) Program should-cost review. (1) A program should-cost review is used to evaluate significant elements of direct costs, such as material and labor, and associated indirect costs, usually associated with the production of major systems. When a program should-cost review is conducted relative to a contractor proposal, a separate audit report on the proposal is required.

(2) A program should-cost review should be considered, particularly in the case of a major system acquisition (see part 34), when—
(i) Some initial production has already taken place;
(ii) The contract will be awarded on a sole source basis;
(iii) There are future year production requirements for substantial quantities of like items;
(iv) The items being acquired have a history of increasing costs;
(v) The work is sufficiently defined to permit an effective analysis and major changes are unlikely;
(vi) Sufficient time is available to plan and adequately conduct the should-cost review; and
(vii) Personnel with the required skills are available or can be assigned for the duration of the should-cost review.

(3) The contracting officer should decide which elements of the contractor's operation have the greatest potential for cost savings and assign the available personnel resources accordingly. The expertise of on-site Government personnel should be used, when appropriate. While the particular elements to be analyzed are a function of the contract work task, elements such as manufacturing, pricing and accounting, management and organization, subcontract and vendor management are normally reviewed in a should-cost review.

(4) In acquisitions for which a program should-cost review is conducted, a separate program should-cost review team report, prepared in accordance with agency procedures, is required. The contracting officer shall consider the findings and recommendations contained in the program should-cost review team report when negotiating the contract price.

After completing the negotiation, the contracting officer shall provide the ACO a report of any identified uneconomical or inefficient practices, together with a report of correction or disposition agreements reached with the contractor. The ACO shall establish a follow-up plan to monitor the correction of the uneconomical or inefficient practices.

(5) When a program should-cost review is planned, the contracting officer shall establish this fact in the acquisition plan or acquisition plan updates (see subpart 7.1) and in the solicitation.

(c) Overhead should-cost review.

(1) An overhead should-cost review is used to evaluate indirect costs, such as fringe benefits, shipping and receiving, facilities and equipment, depreciation, plant maintenance and security, taxes, and general and administrative activities.

It is normally used to evaluate and negotiate an FPRA with the contractor. When an overhead should-cost review is conducted, a separate audit report is required.

(2) The following factors should be considered when selecting contractor sites for overhead should-cost reviews:
(i) Dollar amount of Government business.

15.407-5 Estimating systems.

(a) Using an acceptable estimating system for proposal preparation benefits both the Government and the contractor by increasing the accuracy and reliability of individual proposals. Cognizant audit activities, when it is appropriate to do so, shall establish and maintain regular procedures for reviewing selected contractors’ estimating systems or methods, in order to reduce the scope of reviews to be performed on individual proposals, expedite the negotiation process, and increase the reliability of proposals. The results of estimating system reviews shall be documented in survey reports.

(b) The auditor shall send a copy of the estimating system survey report and a copy of the official notice of corrective action required to each contracting office and contract administration office having substantial business with that contractor. Significant deficiencies not corrected by the contractor shall be a consideration in subsequent proposal analyses and negotiations.

15.408 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

(a) Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program. The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–9, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program, in solicitations and contracts when it is contemplated that a make-or-
buy program will be incorporated in the contract. If a less economical "make" or "buy" categorization is selected for one or more items of significant value, the contracting officer shall use the clause with—

(1) Its Alternate I, if a fixed-price incentive contract is contemplated; or
(2) Its Alternate II, if a cost-plus-incentive-fee contract is contemplated.

(b) Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data. The contracting officer shall, when contracting by negotiation, insert the clause at 52.215–10, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, in solicitations and contracts when it is contemplated that cost or pricing data will be required from the contractor or any subcontractor (see 15.403–4).

c) Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications. The contracting officer shall, when contracting by negotiation, insert the clause at 52.215–11, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, in solicitations and contracts when it is contemplated that cost or pricing data will be required from the contractor or any subcontractor (see 15.403–4) for the pricing of contract modifications, and the clause prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section has not been included.

d) Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data. The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–12, Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data, in solicitations and contracts when the clause prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section is included.

e) Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications. The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–13, Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, in solicitations and contracts when the clause prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section is included.

(f) Integrity of Unit Prices. (1) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–14, Integrity of Unit Prices, in solicitations and contracts except for—

(i) Acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold;
(ii) Construction or architect-engineer services under part 36;
(iii) Utility services under part 41;
(iv) Service contracts where supplies are not required;
(v) Acquisitions of commercial items; and
(vi) Contracts for petroleum products.

(2) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–10, Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data, in solicitations and contracts when it is anticipated that cost or pricing data will be required for which any preaward or postaward cost determinations will be subject to part 31.

(h) Facilities Capital Cost of Money. The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 52.215–16, Facilities Capital Cost of Money, in solicitations expected to result in contracts that are subject to the cost principles for contracts with commercial organizations (see subpart 31.2).

(i) Waiver of Facilities Capital Cost of Money. If the prospective contractor does not propose facilities capital cost of money in its offer, the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–17, Waiver of Facilities Capital Cost of Money, in the resulting contract.

(j) Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions. The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–18, Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions, in solicitations and contracts for which it is anticipated that cost or pricing data will be required or for which any preaward or postaward cost determinations will be subject to part 31.

(k) Notification of Ownership Changes. The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 52.215–19, Notification of Ownership Changes, in solicitations and contracts for which it is contemplated that cost or pricing data will be required or for which any preaward or postaward cost determination will be subject to subpart 31.2.

(l) Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data. Considering the hierarchy at 15.402, the contracting officer may insert the provision at 52.215–20, Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data, when it is anticipated that cost or pricing data will be required or for which any preaward or postaward cost determination will be subject to subpart 31.2.

(m) Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications. Considering the hierarchy at 15.402, the contracting officer may insert the clause at 52.215–21, Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications, in solicitations and contracts if it is reasonably certain that cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data will be required for modifications. This clause also provides instructions to contractors on how to request an exception. The contracting officer shall—

(1) Use the clause with its Alternate I to specify a format for cost or pricing data other than the format required by Table 15–2 of this section;
(2) Use the clause with its Alternate II if copies of the proposal are to be sent to the ACO and contract auditor;
(3) Use the clause with its Alternate III if submission via electronic media is required; and
(4) Replace the basic provision with its Alternate IV if cost or pricing data are not expected to be required because an exception may apply, but information other than cost or pricing data is required as described in 15.403–3.

Table 15–2—Instructions for Submitting Cost/Price Proposals When Cost or Pricing Data Are Required

This document provides instructions for preparing a contract pricing proposal when cost or pricing data are required.

Note 1: There is a clear distinction between submitting cost or pricing data and merely making available books, records, and other documents without identification. The requirement for submission of cost or pricing data is met when all accurate cost or pricing data reasonably available to the offeror have been submitted, either actually or by specific identification, to the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative. As later information comes into your possession, it should be submitted promptly to the Contracting Officer in a manner that clearly shows how the information relates to the offeror’s price proposal. The requirement for submission of cost or pricing data continues up to the time of agreement on price, or an earlier date agreed upon between the parties if applicable.

Note 2: By submitting your proposal, you grant the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine records that formed the basis for the pricing proposal. That examination can take place at any time before award. It may include those books, records, documents, and other types of
factual information (regardless of form or whether the information is specifically referenced or included in the proposal as the basis for pricing) that will permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed price.

I. General Instructions

A. You must provide the following information on the first page of your pricing proposal:

1. Solicitation, contract, and/or modification number.
2. Name and address of offeror.
3. Name and telephone number of point of contact.
4. Name of contract administration office (if available).
5. Type of contract action (that is, new contract, change order, price revision/ redetermination, letter contract, unpriced order, or other).
6. Proposed cost; profit or fee; and total.
7. Whether you will require the use of Government property in the performance of the contract and, if so, what property.
8. Whether your organization is subject to cost accounting standards; whether your organization has submitted a CASB Disclosure Statement, and if it has been determined adequate; whether you have been notified that you are or may be in noncompliance with your Disclosure Statement or CAS, and, if yes, an explanation; whether any aspect of this proposal is inconsistent with your disclosed practices or applicable CAS, and, if so, an explanation; and whether the proposal is consistent with your established estimating and accounting principles and procedures and FAR Part 31, Cost Principles, and, if not, an explanation.
9. The following statement: This proposal reflects our estimates and/or actual costs as of this date and conforms with the instructions in FAR 15.403-5(b)(1) and Table 15-2. By submitting this proposal, we grant the Contracting Officer and authorized representative(s) the right to examine, at any time before award, those records, which include books, documents, accounting procedures and practices, and other data, regardless of type and form or whether such supporting information is specifically referenced or included in the proposal as the basis for pricing, that will permit an adequate evaluation of the proposed price.
10. Date of submission and
11. Name, title and signature of authorized representative.

B. In submitting your proposal, you must include an index, appropriately referenced, of all the cost or pricing data and information accompanying or identified in the proposal. In addition, you must annotate any future additions and/or revisions, up to the date of agreement on price, or an earlier date agreed upon by the parties, on a supplemental index.

C. As part of the specific information required, you must submit, with your proposal, cost or pricing data (that is, data that are verifiable and factual and otherwise as defined at FAR 15.401). You must clearly identify on your cover sheet that cost or pricing data is included as part of the proposal. In addition, you must submit with your proposal any information reasonably required to explain your estimating process, including:

1. The judgmental factors applied and the mathematical or other methods used in the estimate, including those used in projecting from known data.
2. The nature and amount of any contingencies included in the proposed price.

D. You must show the relationship between contract line item prices and the total contract price. You must attach cost element breakdowns for each proposed line item, using the appropriate format prescribed in the "Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries" section of this table. You must furnish supporting breakdowns for each cost element, consistent with your cost accounting system.

E. When more than one contract line item is proposed, you must also provide summary total amounts covering all line items for each element of cost.

F. If, in the course of the work performed before submission of a proposal, you must identify those costs in your cost/price proposal.

G. If you have reached an agreement with Government representatives on use of forward pricing rates/factors, identify the agreement, include a copy, and describe its nature.

H. As soon as practicable after final agreement on price or an earlier date agreed to by the parties, but before the award results from the proposal, you must, under the conditions stated in FAR 15.406-2, submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

II. Cost Elements

Depending on your system, you must provide breakdowns for the following basic cost elements, as applicable:

A. Materials and services. Provide a consolidated priced summary of individual material quantities included in the various tasks, orders, or contract line items being proposed. Include quotes, invoice prices, etc.

B. Indirect Costs. Include customary items such as transfer pricing.

C. Other Costs. Indicate the method used to price these elements.

D. You must show the relationship between contract line item prices and the total contract price. You must attach cost element breakdowns for each proposed line item, using the appropriate format prescribed in the "Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries" section of this table. You must furnish supporting breakdowns for each cost element, consistent with your cost accounting system.

E. When more than one contract line item is proposed, you must also provide summary total amounts covering all line items for each element of cost.

F. If, in the course of the work performed before submission of a proposal, you must identify those costs in your cost/price proposal.

G. If you have reached an agreement with Government representatives on use of forward pricing rates/factors, identify the agreement, include a copy, and describe its nature.

H. As soon as practicable after final agreement on price or an earlier date agreed to by the parties, but before the award results from the proposal, you must, under the conditions stated in FAR 15.406-2, submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

<remainder of text>
separate page for each separate royalty or license fee:

1. Name and address of licensor.
2. Date of license agreement.
4. Patent application serial numbers, or other basis on which the royalty is payable.
5. Brief description (including any part or model numbers of each contract item or component on which the royalty is payable).

6. Percentage or dollar rate of royalty per unit.
7. Unit price of contract item.
8. Number of units.
9. Total dollar amount of royalties.
10. If specifically requested by the Contracting Officer, a copy of the current license agreement and identification of applicable claims of specific patents (see FAR 27.204 and 31.205-37).

F. Facilities Capital Cost of Money. When you elect to claim facilities capital cost of money as an allowable cost, you must submit Form CASB-CMF and show the calculation of the proposed amount (see FAR 31.205-10).

III. Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries

A. New Contracts (Including Letter Contracts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column and Instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Enter appropriate cost elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Enter those necessary and reasonable costs that, in your judgment, will properly be incurred in efficient contract performance. When any of the costs in this column have already been incurred (e.g., under a letter contract), describe them on an attached supporting page. When preproduction or startup costs are significant, or when specifically requested to do so by the Contracting Officer, provide a full identification and explanation of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Optional, unless required by the Contracting Officer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Identify the attachment in which the information supporting the specific cost element may be found. (Attach separate pages as necessary.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Change Orders, Modifications, and Claims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column and Instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Enter appropriate cost elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Include the current estimates of what the cost would have been to complete the deleted work not yet performed (not the original proposal estimates), and the cost of deleted work already performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Include the incurred cost of deleted work already performed, using actuals incurred if possible, or, if actuals are not available, estimates from your accounting records. Attach a detailed inventory of work, materials, parts, components, and hardware already purchased, manufactured, or performed and deleted by the change, indicating the cost and proposed disposition of each line item. Also, if you desire to retain these items or any portion of them, indicate the amount offered for them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Enter the net cost of change, which is the estimated cost of all deleted work less the cost of deleted work already performed. Column (2) minus Column (3) equals Column (4).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Enter your estimate for cost of work added by the change. When nonrecurring costs are significant, or when specifically requested to do so by the Contracting Officer, provide a full identification and explanation of them. When any of the costs in this column have already been incurred, describe them on an attached supporting schedule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Enter the net cost of change, which is the cost of work added, less the net cost to be deleted. Column (5) minus Column (4) equals Column (6). When this result is negative, place the amount in parentheses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Identify the attachment in which the information supporting the specific cost element may be found. (Attach separate pages as necessary.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Price Revision/Redetermination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column and Instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Enter the cutoff date required by the contract, if applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Enter the number of units completed during the period for which experienced costs of production are being submitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Enter the number of units remaining to be completed under the contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Enter the cumulative contract amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Enter your redetermination proposal amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Enter the difference between the contract amount and the redetermination proposal amount. When this result is negative, place the amount in parentheses. Column (4) minus Column (5) equals Column (6).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Use as applicable).
Subpart 15.5—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

15.501 Definition.

Day, as used in this subpart, has the meaning set forth at 33.101.

15.502 Applicability.

This subpart applies to competitive proposals, as described in 6.102(b), and a combination of competitive procedures, as described in 6.102(c). The procedures in 15.504, 15.506, 15.507, 15.508, and 15.509, with reasonable modification, should be followed for sole source acquisitions and acquisitions described in 6.102(d)(1) and (2).

15.503 Notifications to unsuccessful offerors.

(a) Preaward notices—(1) Preaward notices of exclusion from competitive range. The contracting officer shall notify offerors promptly in writing when their proposals are excluded from the competitive range or otherwise eliminated from the competition. The notice shall state the basis for the determination and that a proposal revision will not be considered.

(2) Preaward notices for small business set-asides. In addition to the notice in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, when using a small business set-aside (see subpart 19.5), upon completion of negotiations and determinations of responsibility, but prior to award, the contracting officer shall notify each offeror in writing of the name and location of the apparent successful offeror. The notice shall also state that the Government will not consider subsequent revisions of the offeror’s proposal; and

(ii) No response is required unless a basis exists to challenge the small business size status of the apparent successful offeror. The notice is not required when the contracting officer determines in writing that the urgency of the requirement necessitates award without delay or when the contract is entered into under the 8(a) program (see 19.805–2).

(b) Postaward notices. (1) Within 3 days after the date of contract award, the contracting officer shall provide written notification to each offeror whose proposal was in the competitive range but was not selected for award (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(5) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(c)) or had not been previously notified under paragraph (a) of this section. The notice shall include—

(i) The number of offerors solicited;

(ii) The number of proposals received;

(iii) The name and address of each offeror receiving an award;

(iv) The items, quantities, and any stated unit prices of each award; and

(v) In general terms, the reason(s) the offeror’s proposal was not accepted, unless the price information in paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section readily reveals the reason. In no event shall an offeror’s cost breakdown, profit, overhead rates, trade secrets, manufacturing processes and techniques, or other confidential business information be disclosed to any other offeror.

(2) Upon request, the contracting officer shall furnish the information described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section to unsuccessful offerors in solicitations using simplified acquisition procedures in part 13.

(c) If the Optional Form (OF) 307, Contract Award, Standard Form (SF) 26, Award/Contract, or SF 33, Solicitation, Offer and Award, is not used to award the contract, the first page of the award document shall contain the Government’s acceptance statement from Block 15 of that form, exclusive of the Item 3 reference language, and shall contain the contracting officer’s name, signature, and date. In addition, if the award document includes information.
that is different than the signed proposal, as amended by the offeror’s written correspondence, the first page shall include the contractor’s agreement statement from Block 14 of the OF 307 and the signature of the contractor’s authorized representative.

15.505 Preaward debriefing of offerors.

Offerors excluded from the competitive range or otherwise excluded from the competition before award may request a debriefing before award (10 U.S.C. 2305(b)(6)(A) and 41 U.S.C. 253b(f)–(h)).

(a)(1) The offeror may request a preaward debriefing by submitting a written request for debriefing to the contracting officer within 3 days after receipt of the notice of exclusion from the competition.

(2) At the offeror’s request, this debriefing may be delayed until after award. If the debriefing is delayed until after award, it shall include all information normally provided in a postaward debriefing (see 15.506(d)). Debriefings delayed pursuant to this paragraph could affect the timeliness of any protest filed subsequent to the debriefing.

(3) If the offeror does not submit a timely request, the offeror need not be given either a preaward or a postaward debriefing. Offerors are entitled to no more than one debriefing for each proposal.

(b) The contracting officer shall make every effort to debrief the unsuccessful offeror as soon as practicable, but may refuse the request for a debriefing if, for compelling reasons, it is not in the best interests of the Government to conduct a debriefing at that time. The rationale for delaying the debriefing shall be documented in the contract file. If the contracting officer delays the debriefing, it shall be provided no later than the time postaward debriefings are provided under 15.506. In that event, the contracting officer shall include the information at 15.506(d) in the debriefing.

(c) Debriefings may be done orally, in writing, or by any other method acceptable to the contracting officer.

(d) The contracting officer should normally chair any debriefing session held. Individuals who conducted the evaluations shall provide support.

(e) At a minimum, preaward debriefings shall include—

(1) The agency’s evaluation of significant elements in the offeror’s proposal;

(2) A summary of the rationale for eliminating the offeror from the competition; and

(3) Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed in the process of eliminating the offeror from the competition.

(f) Preaward debriefings shall not disclose—

(1) The number of offerors;

(2) The identity of other offerors;

(3) The content of other offerors’ proposals;

(4) The ranking of other offerors;

(5) The evaluation of other offerors; or

(6) Any of the information prohibited in 15.506(e).

(g) An official summary of the debriefing shall be included in the contract file.

15.506 Postaward debriefing of offerors.

(a)(1) An offeror, upon its written request received by the agency within 3 days after the date on which that offeror has received notification of contract award in accordance with 15.503(b), shall be debriefed and furnished the basis for the selection decision and contract award.

(2) To the maximum extent practicable, the debriefing should occur within 5 days after receipt of the written request. Offerors that requested a postaward debriefing in lieu of a preaward debriefing, or whose debriefing was delayed for compelling reasons beyond contract award, also should be debriefed within this time period.

(3) An offeror that was notified of exclusion from the competition (see 15.505(a)), but failed to submit a timely request, is not entitled to a debriefing.

(4)(i) Untimely debriefing requests may be accommodated.

(ii) Government accommodation of a request for delayed debriefing pursuant to 15.505(a)(2), or any untimely debriefing request, does not automatically extend the deadlines for filing protests. Debriefings delayed pursuant to 15.505(a)(2) could affect the timeliness of any protest filed subsequent to the debriefing.

(b) The overall evaluated cost or price (including unit prices), and technical rating, if applicable, of the successful offeror and the debriefed offeror, and past performance information on the debriefed offeror;

(3) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during the source selection;

(4) A summary of the rationale for award;

(5) For acquisitions of commercial items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the successful offeror; and

(6) Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed.

(e) The debriefing shall not include point-by-point comparisons of the debriefed offeror’s proposal with those of other offerors. Moreover, the debriefing shall not reveal any information prohibited from disclosure by 24.202 or exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) including—

(1) Trade secrets;

(2) Privileged or confidential manufacturing processes and techniques;

(3) Commercial and financial information that is privileged or confidential, including cost breakdowns, profit, indirect cost rates, and similar information; and

(4) The names of individuals providing reference information about an offeror’s past performance.

(f) An official summary of the debriefing shall be included in the contract file.

15.507 Protests against award.

(a) Protests against award in negotiated acquisitions shall be handled in accordance with part 33. Use of agency protest procedures that incorporate the alternative dispute resolution provisions of Executive Order 12979 is encouraged for both preaward and postaward protests.

(b) If a protest causes the agency, within 1 year of contract award, to—

(1) Issue a new solicitation on the protested contract award, the contracting officer shall provide the information in paragraph (c) of this section to all prospective offerors for the new solicitation; or

(2) Issue a new request for revised proposals on the protested contract award, the contracting officer shall provide the information in paragraph (c) of this section to offerors that were in the competitive range and are requested to submit revised proposals.
(c) The following information will be provided to appropriate parties:
(1) Information provided to unsuccessful offerors in any debriefings conducted on the original award regarding the successful offeror's proposal; and
(2) Other nonproprietary information that would have been provided to the original offerors.

15.508 Discovery of mistakes.
Mistakes in a contractor's proposal that are disclosed after award shall be processed substantially in accordance with the procedures for mistakes in bids at 14.407-4.

15.509 Forms.
Optional Form 307, Contract Award, Standard Form (SF) 26, Award/Contract, or SF 33, Solicitation, Offer and Award, may be used to award negotiated contracts in which the signature of both parties on a single document is appropriate. If these forms are not used, the award document shall incorporate the agreement and award language from the OF 307.

Subpart 15.6—Unsolicited Proposals

15.600 Scope of subpart.
This subpart sets forth policies and procedures concerning the submission, receipt, evaluation, and acceptance or rejection of unsolicited proposals.

15.601 Definitions.
As used in this subpart—
Advertising material means material designed to acquaint the Government with a prospective contractor's present products, services, or potential capabilities, or designed to stimulate the Government's interest in buying such products or services.
Commercial item offer means an offer of a commercial item that the vendor wishes to see introduced in the Government's supply system as an alternate or a replacement for an existing supply item. This term does not include innovative or unique configurations or uses of commercial items that are being offered for further development and that may be submitted as an unsolicited proposal.
Contribution means a concept, suggestion, or idea presented to the Government for its use with no indication that the source intends to devote any further effort to it on the Government's behalf.
Unsolicited proposal means a written proposal for a new or innovative idea that is submitted to an agency on the initiative of the offeror for the purpose of obtaining a contract with the Government, and that is not in response to a request for proposals, Broad Agency Announcement, Small Business Innovation Research topic, Small Business Technology Transfer Research topic, Program Research and Development Announcement, or any other Government-initiated solicitation or program.

15.602 Policy.
It is the policy of the Government to encourage the submission of new and innovative ideas in response to Broad Agency Announcements, Small Business Innovation Research topics, Small Business Technology Transfer Research topics, Program Research and Development Announcements, or any other Government-initiated solicitation or program. When the new and innovative ideas do not fall under topic areas publicized under those programs or techniques, the ideas may be submitted as unsolicited proposals.

15.603 General.
(a) Unsolicited proposals allow unique and innovative ideas or approaches that have been developed outside the Government to be made available to Government agencies for use in accomplishment of their missions. Unsolicited proposals are offered with the intent that the Government will enter into a contract with the offeror for research and development or other efforts supporting the Government mission, and often represent a substantial investment of time and effort by the offeror.
(b) Advertising material, commercial item offers, or contributions, as defined in 15.601, or routine correspondence on technical issues, are not unsolicited proposals.
(c) A valid unsolicited proposal must—
(1) Be innovative and unique;
(2) Be independently originated and developed by the offeror;
(3) Be prepared without Government supervision, endorsement, direction, or direct Government involvement;
(4) Include sufficient detail to permit a determination that Government support could be worthwhile and the proposed work could benefit the Government's mission, and often represent a substantial investment of time and effort by the offeror;
(5) Not be an advance proposal for a known agency requirement that can be acquired by competitive methods;
(d) Unsolicited proposals in response to a publicized general statement of agency needs are considered to be independently originated.

15.604 Agency points of contact.
(a) Preliminary contact with agency technical or other appropriate personnel before preparing a detailed unsolicited proposal or submitting proprietary information to the Government may save considerable time and effort for both parties (see 15.201). Agencies shall make available to potential offerors of unsolicited proposals at least the following information:
(1) Definition (see 15.601) and content (see 15.605) of an unsolicited proposal acceptable for formal evaluation.
(2) Requirements concerning responsible prospective contractors (see subpart 9.1), and organizational conflicts of interest (see subpart 9.5).
(3) Guidance on preferred methods for submitting ideas/concepts to the Government, such as any agency: upcoming solicitations; Broad Agency Announcements; Small Business Innovation Research programs; Small Business Technology Transfer programs; Program Research and Development Announcements; or grant programs.
(4) Agency points of contact for information regarding advertising, contributions, and other types of transactions similar to unsolicited proposals.
(5) Information sources on agency objectives and areas of potential interest.
(6) Procedures for submission and evaluation of unsolicited proposals.
(7) Instructions for identifying and marking proprietary information so that it is protected and restrictive legends conform to 15.609.
(b) Only the cognizant contracting officer has the authority to bind the Government regarding unsolicited proposals.

15.605 Content of unsolicited proposals.
Unsolicited proposals should contain the following information to permit consideration in an objective and timely manner:
(a) Basic information including—
(1) Offeror's name and address and type of organization; e.g., profit, nonprofit, educational, small business;
(2) Names and telephone numbers of technical and business personnel to be contacted for evaluation or negotiation purposes;
(3) Identification of proprietary data to be used only for evaluation purposes;
(4) Names of other Federal, State, or local agencies or parties receiving the proposal or funding the proposed effort;
(5) Date of submission; and
(6) Signature of a person authorized to represent and contractually obligate the offeror.
(b) Technical information including—
(1) Concise title and abstract (approximately 200 words) of the proposed effort;
(2) A reasonably complete discussion stating the objectives of the effort or activity, the method of approach and extent of effort to be employed, the nature and extent of the anticipated results, and the manner in which the work will help to support accomplishment of the agency's mission;

(3) Names and biographical information on the offeror's key personnel who would be involved, including alternates; and

(4) Type of support needed from the agency, e.g., facilities, equipment, materials, or personnel resources.

c) Supporting information including—

(1) Proposed price or total estimated cost for the effort in sufficient detail for meaningful evaluation;

(2) Period of time for which the proposal is valid (a 6-month minimum is suggested);

(3) Type of contract preferred;

(4) Proposed duration of effort;

(5) Brief description of the organization, previous experience, relevant past performance, and facilities to be used;

(6) Other statements, if applicable, about organizational conflicts of interest, security clearances, and environmental impacts; and

(7) The names and telephone numbers of agency technical or other agency points of contact already contacted regarding the proposal.

15.606 Agency procedures.

(a) Agencies shall establish procedures for controlling the receipt, evaluation, and timely disposition of unsolicited proposals consistent with the requirements of this subpart. The procedures shall include controls on the reproduction and disposition of proposal materials, particularly data identified by the offeror as subject to duplication, use, or disclosure restrictions.

(b) Agencies shall establish agency points of contact (see 15.604) to coordinate the receipt and handling of unsolicited proposals.

15.606-1 Receipt and initial review.

(a) Before initiating a comprehensive evaluation, the agency contact point shall determine if the proposal—

(1) Is a valid unsolicited proposal, meeting the requirements of 15.603(c);

(2) Is suitable for submission in response to an existing agency requirement (see 15.602);

(3) Is related to the agency mission;

(4) Contains sufficient technical and cost information for evaluation;

(5) Has been approved by a responsible official or other representative authorized to obligate the offeror contractually; and

(6) Complies with the marking requirements of 15.609.

(b) If the proposal meets these requirements, the contact point shall promptly acknowledge receipt and process the proposal.

(c) If a proposal is rejected because the proposal does not meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this subsection, the agency contact point shall promptly inform the offeror of the reasons for rejection in writing and of the proposed disposition of the unsolicited proposal.

15.606-2 Evaluation.

(a) Comprehensive evaluations shall be coordinated by the agency contact point, who shall attach or imprint on each unsolicited proposal, circulated for evaluation, the legend required by 15.609(d). When performing a comprehensive evaluation of an unsolicited proposal, evaluators shall consider the following factors, in addition to any others appropriate for the particular proposal:

(1) Unique, innovative and meritorious methods, approaches, or concepts demonstrated by the proposal;

(2) Overall scientific, technical, or socioeconomic merits of the proposal;

(3) Potential contribution of the effort to the agency's specific mission;

(4) The offeror's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques, or unique combinations of these that are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives;

(5) The qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposed principal investigator, team leader, or key personnel critical to achieving the proposal objectives; and

(6) The realism of the proposed cost.

(b) The contracting officer shall notify the agency point of contact of their recommendations when the evaluation is completed.

15.607 Criteria for acceptance and negotiation of an unsolicited proposal.

(a) A favorable comprehensive evaluation of an unsolicited proposal does not, in itself, justify awarding a contract without providing for full and open competition. The agency point of contact shall return an unsolicited proposal to the offeror, citing reasons, when its substance—

(1) Is available to the Government without restriction from another source;

(2) Closely resembles a pending competitive acquisition requirement;

(3) Does not relate to the activity's mission; or

(4) Does not demonstrate an innovative and unique method, approach, or concept, or is otherwise not deemed a meritorious proposal.

(b) The contracting officer may commence negotiations on a sole source basis only when—

(1) An unsolicited proposal has received a favorable comprehensive evaluation;

(2) A justification and approval has been obtained (see 6.302-1(a)(2)(i) for research proposals or other appropriate provisions of subpart 6.3, and 6.303-2(b));

(3) The agency technical office sponsoring the contract furnishes the necessary funds; and

(4) The contracting officer has complied with the synopsis requirements of subpart 5.2.

15.608 Prohibitions.

(a) Government personnel shall not use any data, concept, idea, or other part of an unsolicited proposal as the basis, or part of the basis, for a solicitation or in negotiations with any other firm unless the offeror is notified of and agrees to the intended use. However, this prohibition does not preclude using any data, concept, or idea in the proposal that also is available from another source without restriction.

(b) Government personnel shall not disclose restrictively marked information (see 3.104 and 15.609) included in an unsolicited proposal.

15.609 Limited use of data.

(a) An unsolicited proposal may include data that the offeror does not want disclosed to the public for any purpose or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes. If the offeror wishes to restrict the data, the title page must be marked with the following legend:

Use and Disclosure of Data

This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed— in whole or in part—for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. However, if a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of—or in connection with—the submission of these data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in these data if they are obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in Sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets].
(b) The offeror shall also mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend: Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal.

(c) The agency point of contact shall return to the offeror any unsolicited proposal marked with a legend different from that provided in paragraph (a) of this section. The return letter will state that the proposal cannot be considered because it is impracticable for the Government to comply with the legend and that the agency will consider the proposal if it is resubmitted with the proper legend.

(d) The agency point of contact shall place a cover sheet on the proposal or clearly mark it as follows, unless the offeror clearly states in writing that no restrictions are imposed on the disclosure or use of the data contained in the proposal:

Unsolicited Proposal—Use of Data Limited
All Government personnel must exercise extreme care to ensure that the information in this proposal is not disclosed to an individual who has not been authorized access to such data in accordance with FAR 3.104, and is not duplicated, used, or disclosed in whole or in part for any purpose other than evaluation of the proposal, without the written permission of the offeror. If a contract is awarded on the basis of this proposal, the terms of the contract shall control disclosure and use. This notice does not limit the Government’s right to use information contained in the proposal if it is obtainable from another source without restriction. This is a Government notice, and shall not by itself be construed to impose any liability upon the Government or Government personnel for disclosure or use of data contained in this proposal.

(e) The notice in paragraph (d) of this section is used solely as a manner of handling unsolicited proposals that will be compatible with this subpart. However, the use of this notice shall not be used to justify the withholding of a record, nor to improperly deny the public access to a record, where an obligation is imposed on an agency by the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended. A prospective offeror should identify trade secrets, commercial or financial information, and privileged or confidential information to the Government (see paragraph (a) of this section).

(f) When an agency receives an unsolicited proposal without any restrictive legend from an educational or nonprofit organization or institution, and an evaluation outside the Government is necessary, the agency point of contact shall—

(1) Attach a cover sheet clearly marked with the legend in paragraph (d) of this section;

(2) Change the beginning of this legend to read “All Government and non-Government personnel * * * “; and

(3) Require any non-Government evaluator to agree in writing that data in the proposal will not be disclosed to others outside the Government.

(g) If the proposal is received with the restrictive legend (see paragraph (a) of this section), the modified cover sheet shall also be used and permission shall be obtained from the offeror before release of the proposal for evaluation by non-Government personnel.

(h) When an agency receives an unsolicited proposal with or without a restrictive legend from other than an educational or nonprofit organization or institution, and evaluation by Government personnel outside the agency or by experts outside of the Government is necessary, written permission must be obtained from the offeror before release of the proposal for evaluation. The agency point of contact shall—

(1) Clearly mark the cover sheet with the legend in paragraph (d) or as modified in paragraph (f) of this section; and

(2) Obtain a written agreement from any non-Government evaluator stating that data in the proposal will not be disclosed to persons outside the Government.

PART 16—TYPES OF CONTRACTS
14. Section 16.306 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

16.306 Cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts.

* * *

(c) Limitations. No cost-plus-fixed-fee contract shall be awarded unless the contracting officer complies with all limitations in 16.301–3.

* * *

PART 36—CONSTRUCTION AND ARCHITECT-ENGINEER CONTRACTS
15. Section 36.520 is added to read as follows:

36.520 Contracting by negotiation.

The contracting officer shall insert in solicitations for construction the provision at 52.236–28. Preparation of Offers—Construction, when contracting by negotiation.

PART 42—CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION
16. Section 42.705–1 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(2) to read as follows:

42.705–1 Contracting officer determination procedure.

* * *

(b) * * *

(2) The auditor shall submit to the contracting officer an advisory audit report identifying any relevant advance agreements or restrictive terms of specific contracts.

* * *

42.705–2 [Amended]

17. Section 42.705–2 is amended by removing paragraph (b)(2)(iii) and redesigning paragraphs (b)(2)(iv) and (b)(2)(v) as (b)(2)(iii) and (b)(2)(iv).

18. Section 42.1502 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

42.1502 Policy.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, agencies shall prepare an evaluation of contractor performance for each contract in excess of $1,000,000 (regardless of the date of contract award) and for each contract in excess of $100,000 beginning not later than January 1, 1998 (regardless of the date of contract award), at the time the work under the contract is completed. * * *

* * *

19. Section 42.1503 is amended in paragraph (b) by adding a sentence to the end of the paragraph to read as follows:

42.1503 Procedures.

* * *

(b) * * *

A copy of the annual or final past performance evaluation shall be provided to the contractor as soon as it is finalized.

* * *

20. Subpart 42.17 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 42.17—Forward Pricing Rate Agreements

42.1701 Procedures.

(a) Negotiation of forward pricing rate agreements (FPRA’s) may be requested by the contracting officer or the contractor or initiated by the administrative contracting officer (ACO). In determining whether or not to establish such an agreement, the ACO should consider whether the benefits to be derived from the agreement are commensurate with the effort of establishing and monitoring it. Normally, FPRA’s should be negotiated
only with contractors having a significant volume of Government contract proposals. The cognizant contract administration agency shall determine whether an FPRA will be established.

(b) The ACO shall obtain the contractor’s proposal and require that it include cost or pricing data that are accurate, complete, and current as of the date of submission. The ACO shall invite the cognizant contract auditor and contracting offices having a significant interest in participating in developing a Government objective and in the negotiations. Upon completing negotiations, the ACO shall prepare a price negotiation memorandum (PNM) (see 15.406–3) and forward copies of the PNM and FPRA to the cognizant auditor and to all contracting offices that are known to be affected by the FPRA. A Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data shall not be required at this time (see 15.407–3(c)).

(c) The FPRA shall provide specific terms and conditions covering expiration, application, and data requirements for systematic monitoring to ensure the validity of the rates. The agreement shall provide for cancellation at the option of either party and shall require the contractor to submit to the ACO and to the cognizant contract auditor any significant change in cost or pricing data.

(d) When an FPRA is invalid, the contractor should submit and negotiate a new proposal to reflect the changed conditions. If an FPRA has not been established or has been invalidated, the ACO will issue a forward pricing rate recommendation (FPRA) to buying activities with documentation to assist negotiators. In the absence of an FPRA or FPRR, the ACO shall include support for rates utilized.

(e) The ACO may negotiate continuous updates to the FPRA. The FPRA will provide specific terms and conditions covering notification, application, and data requirements for systematic monitoring to ensure the validity of the rates.

PART 43—CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

21. Section 43.301 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

(a)(1) The Standard Form 30 (SF 30), Amendment—of Solicitation/Modification of Contract, exclusive of actions processed under part 15, shall (except for the options stated in 43.301(a)(2) or actions processed under part 15) be used for—

PART 49—TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS

22. Section 49.208 is amended by revising the third sentence of the introductory paragraph to read as follows:

208 Equitable adjustment after partial termination.

PART 52—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

23. Section 52.215–1 is added to read as follows:

52.215–1 Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition.

As prescribed in 15.209(a), insert the following provision:

Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition (Oct 1997)

(a) Definitions. As used in this provision—

- Discussions are negotiations that occur after establishment of the competitive range that may, at the Contracting Officer’s discretion, result in the offeror being allowed to revise its proposal.
- In writing or written means any worded or numbered expression which can be read, reproduced, and later communicated, and includes electronically transmitted and stored information.

- Proposal modification is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation’s closing date and time, or made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

- Proposal revision is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of or as allowed by a Contracting Officer as the result of negotiations.

- Time, if stated as a number of days, is calculated using calendar days, unless otherwise specified, and will include Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays. However, if the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, then the period shall include the next working day.

(c) Amendments to solicitations. If this solicitation is amended, all terms and conditions that are not amended remain unchanged. Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation by the date and time specified in the amendment.

(d) Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals. (1) Unless other methods (e.g., electronic commerce or facsimile) are permitted in the solicitation, proposals and modifications to proposals shall be submitted in paper media in sealed envelopes or packages (i) addressed to the office specified in the solicitation, and (ii) showing the time and date specified for receipt, the solicitation number, and the name and address of the offeror. Offerors using commercial carriers should ensure that the proposal is marked on the outermost wrapper with the information in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) of this provision.

- The first page of the proposal must show—

  (i) The solicitation number;
  (ii) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the offeror (and electronic address if available);
  (iii) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;
  (iv) Names, titles, and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses if available) of persons authorized to negotiate on the offeror’s behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation; and
  (v) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent’s authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

(3) Late proposals and revisions. (i) Any proposal received at the office designated in the solicitation after the exact time specified for receipt of offers will not be considered unless it is received before award is made and—

(A) It was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth calendar day before the date specified for receipt of offers (e.g., an offer submitted in response to a solicitation requiring receipt of offers by the 20th of the month must have been mailed by the 15th);

(B) It was sent by mail (or telegram or facsimile, if authorized) or hand-carried (including delivery by a commercial carrier) if it is determined by the Government that the late receipt was due primarily to Government mishandling after receipt at the Government installation;

(C) It was sent by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail Next Day Service Post-Office to Aдресsee, not later than 5:00 p.m. at the place of mailing two working days prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals.

The term “working days” excludes weekends and U.S. Federal holidays;

(D) It was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the solicitation and was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals;

(E) There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the activity designated for receipt of offers and was under the Government’s control prior to the time set for receipt of offers, and the Contracting Officer determines that accepting the late offer would not unduly delay the procurement; or

(F) It is the only proposal received.

(ii) Any modification or revision of a proposal or response to request for information, including any final proposal revision, is subject to the same conditions as
in subparagraphs (c)(3)(i)(A) through (c)(3)(i)(E) of this provision.

(iii) The only acceptable evidence to establish the date of mailing of a late proposal or modification or revision sent either by registered or certified mail is the U.S. or Canadian Postal Service postmark both on the envelope or wrapper and on the original receipt from the U.S. or Canadian Postal Service. Both postmarks must show a legible date and the proposal, response to a request for information, or modification or revision shall be processed as if mailed late.

“Postmark” means a printed, stamped, or otherwise placed impression (exclusive of a postage meter machine impression) that is readily identifiable without further action as having been supplied and affixed by employees of the U.S. or Canadian Postal Service on the date of mailing. Therefore, offerors or respondents should request the postal clerk to place a legible hand cancellation bull’s eye postmark on both the receipt and the envelope or wrapper.

(iv) A document to establish the time of receipt at the Government installation includes the time/date stamp of that installation on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.

(v) The only acceptable evidence to establish the date of mailing of a late offer, modification or revision, or withdrawal sent by Express Mail Next Day Service Post Office to Addressee is the date entered by the post office receiving clerk on the “Express Mail Next Date Service Post Office to Addressee” label and the postmark on both the envelope or wrapper and on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service. “Postmark” has the same meaning as defined in paragraph (c)(3)(iii) of this provision, excluding postmarks of the Canadian Postal Service. Therefore, offerors or respondents should request the postal clerk to place a legible hand cancellation bull’s eye postmark on both the receipt and the envelope or wrapper.

(vi) Notwithstanding standing paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this provision, any other modification or revision of an otherwise successful proposal that makes its terms more favorable to the Government will be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.

(vii) Proposals may be withdrawn by written notice to the Government through mailgram received at any time before award. If the solicitation authorizes facsimile proposals, proposals may be withdrawn via facsimile received at any time before award, subject to the conditions specified in the provision entitled “Facsimile Proposals.”

Proposals may be withdrawn in person by an offeror or an authorized representative, if the representative’s identity is made known and the representative signs a receipt for the proposal before award.

(viii) If an emergency or an unexpected event occurs, normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the solicitation, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the solicitation or other notice of an extension of the closing date or the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the solicitation on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume. If no time is specified in the solicitation, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, for the designated Government office.

(4) Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, the offeror may propose to provide any item or combination of items.

(5) Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be in English and in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise permitted by the solicitation.

(6) Offerors may submit modifications to their proposals at any time before the solicitation closing date and time, and may submit modifications in response to an amendment, or to correct a mistake at any time before award.

(7) Offerors may submit revised proposals only if requested or allowed by the Contracting Officer.

(8) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Withdrawals are effective upon receipt of notice by the Contracting Officer.

(d) Offer expiration date. Proposals in response to this solicitation will be valid for the number of days specified on the solicitation cover sheet (unless a different period is proposed by the offeror).

(e) Restriction on disclosure and use of data. Offerors that include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public for any purpose, or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes, shall mark these data as follows:

(1) Mark the title page with the following legend: This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed— in whole or in part—for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of—or in connection with—the submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government’s right to use information contained in this data if it is obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets]; and

(2) Mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend: Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal.

(f) Contract award. (1) The Government intends to award a contract to the responsible offeror(s) whose proposal(s) represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and subfactors in the solicitation.

(2) The Government may reject any or all proposals if such action is in the Government’s interest.

(3) The Government may waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received.

(4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). Therefore, the offeror’s initial proposal should contain the offeror’s best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

(5) The Government reserves the right to make an award on any item for a quantity less than the quantity offered, at the unit cost or prices offered, unless the offeror specifies otherwise in the proposal.

(6) The Government reserves the right to make multiple awards if, after considering the additional administrative costs, it is in the Government’s best interest to do so.

(7) Exchanges with offerors after receipt of a proposal do not constitute a rejection or counteroffer by the Government.

(8) The Government may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or subline items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if the Contracting Officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

(9) If a cost realism analysis is performed, cost realism may be considered by the source selection authority in evaluating performance or schedule risk.

(10) A written offer or acceptance of proposal mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time specified in the proposal shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party.

(11) The Government may disclose the following information in postaward debriefings to other offerors:

(i) The overall evaluated cost or price and technical rating of the successful offeror;

(ii) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during source selection;

(iii) A summary of the rationale for award;

(12) A written offer or acceptance of proposal mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time specified in the proposal shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party.

Alternate I (Oct 1997). As prescribed in 15.209(a)(4), substitute the following paragraph (f)(4) for paragraph (f)(4) of the basic provision:

(f)(4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract after conducting discussions with offerors whose proposals have been determined to be within the competitive range. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of
Facsimile Proposals (Oct 1997)

(a) Definition. Facsimile proposal, as used in this provision, means a proposal, revision or modification of a proposal, or withdrawal of a proposal that is transmitted to and received by the Government via facsimile machine.

(b) Offerors may submit facsimile proposals as responses to this solicitation. Facsimile proposals are subject to the same rules as paper proposals.

(c) The telephone number of receiving facsimile equipment is: [insert telephone number].

(d) If any portion of a facsimile proposal received by the Contracting Officer is unreadable to the degree that conformance to the essential requirements of the solicitation cannot be ascertained from the document —

(1) The Contracting Officer shall notify the offeror to ensure that the proposal is restated in legible form;

(2) The method and time for resubmission shall be prescribed by the Contracting Officer after consultation with the offeror; and

(3) The resubmission shall be considered a response to the solicitation and shall be evaluated in accordance with the proposal to which it is a response, except as follows: (a) No points are awarded for the resubmission; and (b) The resubmission may be evaluated only as a response to the solicitation.

52.215-8 Order of Precedence—Uniform Contract Format.

As prescribed in 15.408(a), insert the following clause:

Order of Precedence—Uniform Contract Format (Oct 1997)

Any inconsistency in this solicitation or contract shall be resolved by giving precedence in the following order:

(a) The Schedule (excluding the specifications);

(b) Representations and other instructions;

(c) Contract clauses;

(d) Other documents, exhibits, and attachments;

(e) The specifications. (End of clause)

52.215-9 Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program.

As prescribed in 15.408(a), insert the following clause:

Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program (Oct 1997)

(a) The Contractor shall perform in accordance with the make-or-buy program incorporated in this contract. If the Contractor proposes to change the program, the Contractor shall, reasonably in advance of the proposed change, (1) notify the Contracting Officer in writing, and (2) submit justification in sufficient detail to permit evaluation. Changes in the place of performance of any “make” items in the program are subject to this requirement.

(b) For items deferred at the time of negotiation of this contract for later addition to the program, the Contractor shall, at the earliest possible time—

(1) Notify the Contracting Officer of each proposed addition; and

(2) Provide justification in sufficient detail to permit evaluation.

(c) Modification of the make-or-buy program to incorporate proposed changes or additions shall be effective upon the Contractor’s receipt of the Contracting Officer’s written approval. (End of clause)

Alternate I (Oct 1997). As prescribed in 15.408(a)(1) add the following paragraph (d) to the basic clause:

(d) If the Contractor desires to reverse the categorization of a “make” or “buy” for any item or items designated in the contract as subject to this paragraph, it shall—

(1) Support its proposal with cost or pricing data when permitted and necessary to support evaluation; and

(2) After approval is granted, promptly negotiate with the Contracting Officer an equitable reduction in the contract price in accordance with paragraph (k) of the Incentive Price Revision—Firm Target clause.
52.215–10 Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data.

As prescribed in 15.408(b), insert the following clause:

Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data (Oct 1997)

(a) If any price, including profit or fee, negotiated in connection with this contract, or any cost reimbursable under this contract, was increased by any significant amount because
(1) The Contractor or a subcontractor furnished cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data;
(2) A subcontractor or prospective subcontractor furnished the Contractor cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in the Contractor's Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, or
(3) Any of these parties furnished data of any description that were not accurate, the price or cost shall be reduced accordingly and the contract shall be modified to reflect the reduction.

(b) Any reduction in the contract price under paragraph (a) of this clause due to defective data from a prospective subcontractor that was not subsequently awarded the subcontract shall be limited to the amount, plus applicable overhead and profit markup, by which—
(1) The actual subcontract; or
(2) The actual cost to the Contractor, if there was no subcontract, was less than the prospective subcontract cost estimate submitted by the Contractor; provided, that the actual subcontract price was not itself affected by defective cost or pricing data.

(c) (1) If the Contracting Officer determines under paragraph (b) of this clause that a subcontractor furnished data of any description that were not accurate, the price or cost shall be reduced accordingly and the contract shall be modified to reflect the reduction.

(ii) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(d) If any reduction in the contract price under this clause reduces the price of items for which payment was made prior to the date of the modification reflecting the price reduction, the Contractor shall be liable to and shall pay the United States at the time such overpayment is repaid—
(1) Simple interest on the amount of such overpayment to be computed from the date(s) of overpayment to the Contractor to the date the Government is repaid by the Contractor at the applicable underpayment rate effective for each quarter prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6621(a)(2); and
(2) A penalty equal to the amount of the overpayment, if the Contractor or subcontractor knowingly submitted cost or pricing data that were incomplete, inaccurate, or noncurrent. (End of clause)

52.215–11 Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.

As prescribed in 15.408(c), insert the following clause:

Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Oct 1997)

(a) This clause shall become operative only for any modification to this contract involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403, except that this clause does not apply to any modification if an exception under FAR 15.403-1 applies.

(b) If any price, including profit or fee, negotiated in connection with any modification under this clause, or any cost reimbursable under this contract, was increased by any significant amount because
(1) The Contractor or a subcontractor furnished cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data;
(2) A subcontractor or prospective subcontractor furnished the Contractor cost or pricing data that were not complete, accurate, and current as certified in the Contractor's Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, or
(3) Any of these parties furnished data of any description that were not accurate, the price or cost shall be reduced accordingly and the contract shall be modified to reflect the reduction. This right to a price reduction is limited to that resulting from defects in data relating to modifications for which this clause becomes operative under paragraph (a) of this clause.

(c) Any reduction in the contract price under paragraph (b) of this clause due to defective data from a prospective subcontractor that was not subsequently awarded the subcontract shall be limited to the amount, plus applicable overhead and profit markup, by which—
(1) The actual subcontract; or
(2) The actual cost to the Contractor, if there was no subcontract, was less than the prospective subcontract cost estimate submitted by the Contractor; provided, that the actual subcontract price was not itself affected by defective cost or pricing data.

(2)(i) Except as prohibited by subdivision (c)(2)(ii) of this clause, an offset in an amount determined appropriate by the Contracting Officer shall be allowed against the amount of a contract price reduction if—

(A) The Contractor certifies to the Contracting Officer that, to the best of the Contractor's knowledge and belief, the Contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and
(B) The Contractor proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(ii) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(A) The understated data were known by the Contractor to be understated before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, or
(B) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(d)(1) If the Contracting Officer determines under paragraph (b) of this clause that a price or cost reduction should be made, the Contractor agrees not to raise the following matters as a defense:

(i) The Contractor or subcontractor was a sole source supplier or otherwise was in a superior bargaining position and thus the price of the contract would not have been modified even if accurate, complete, and current cost or pricing data had been submitted.

(ii) The Contracting Officer should have known that the cost or pricing data in issue were defective even though the Contractor or subcontractor took no affirmative action to bring the character of the data to the attention of the Contracting Officer.

(iii) The contract was based on a contract price

獸 (d) (2)(i) Except as prohibited by subdivision (c)(2)(ii) of this clause, an offset in an amount determined appropriate by the Contracting Officer shall be allowed against the amount of a contract price reduction if—

(A) The Contractor certifies to the Contracting Officer that, to the best of the Contractor's knowledge and belief, the Contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and
(B) The Contractor proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(ii) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(A) The understated data were known by the Contractor to be understated before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, or
(B) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(2)(i) Except as prohibited by subdivision (c)(2)(ii) of this clause, an offset in an amount determined appropriate by the Contracting Officer shall be allowed against the amount of a contract price reduction if—

(A) The Contractor certifies to the Contracting Officer that, to the best of the Contractor's knowledge and belief, the Contractor is entitled to the offset in the amount requested; and
(B) The Contractor proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(ii) An offset shall not be allowed if—

(A) The understated data were known by the Contractor to be understated before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, or
(B) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the "as of" date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.
(A) The understated data were known by the Contractor to be understated before the “as of” date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data; or

(B) The Government proves that the facts demonstrate that the contract price would not have increased in the amount to be offset even if the available data had been submitted before the “as of” date specified on its Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(e) If any reduction in the contract price under this clause reduces the price of items for which payment was made prior to the date of the modification reflecting the price reduction, the Contractor shall be liable to and shall pay the United States at the time such overpayment is repaid—

(1) Simple interest on the amount of such overpayment to be computed from the date(s) of overpayment to the Contractor to the date the Government is repaid by the Contractor at the applicable underpayment rate effective for each quarter prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6621(a)(2); and

(2) A penalty equal to the amount of the overpayment, if the Contractor or subcontractor knowingly submitted cost or pricing data that were incomplete, inaccurate, or noncurrent. (End of clause)

52.215-12 Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data.

As prescribed in 15.408(d), insert the following clause:

Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Oct 1997)

(a) The requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this clause shall—

(1) Become operative only for any modification to this contract involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4 and, in each subcontract that exceeds the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4, on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later; or before pricing any subcontract modification involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4, the Contractor shall require the subcontractor to submit cost or pricing data (actually or by specific identification in writing), unless an exception under FAR 15.403-1 applies.

(b) Before awarding any subcontract expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4, the Contractor shall require the subcontractor to submit cost or pricing data (actually or by specific identification in writing), unless an exception under FAR 15.403-1 applies.

(c) The Contractor shall require the subcontractor to certify in substantially the form prescribed in FAR 15.406-2 that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, the data submitted under paragraph (b) of this clause were accurate, complete, and current as of the date of agreement on the negotiated price of the subcontract or subcontract modification.

(d) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause in each subcontract that exceeds the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4 on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later. (End of clause)

52.215-14 Integrity of Unit Prices.

As prescribed in 15.408(f)(1), insert the following clause:

Integrity of Unit Prices (Oct 1997)

(a) Any proposal submitted for the negotiation of prices for items of supplies shall distribute costs within contracts on a basis that ensures that unit prices are in proportion to the items’ base cost (e.g., manufacturing or acquisition costs). Any method of distributing costs to line items that distorts unit prices shall not be used. For example, distributing costs equally among line items is not acceptable except when there is little or no variation in base cost. Nothing in this paragraph requires submission of cost or pricing data not otherwise required by law or regulation.

(b) When requested by the Contracting Officer, the Offeror/Contractor shall also identify those supplies that it will not manufacture or to which it will not contribute significant value.

(c) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause, less paragraph (b), in all subcontracts for other than: acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold at FAR Part 2; construction or architect-engineer services under FAR Part 36; utility services under FAR Part 41; services where supplies are not required; commercial items; and petroleum products. (End of clause)

52.215-13 Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.

As prescribed in 15.408(e), insert the following clause:

Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Oct 1997)

(a) The requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this clause shall—

(1) Become operative only for any modification to this contract involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4 and, in each subcontract that exceeds the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4, on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later; or before pricing any subcontract modification involving a pricing adjustment expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4, the Contractor shall require the subcontractor to submit cost or pricing data (actually or by specific identification in writing), unless an exception under FAR 15.403-1 applies.

(b) Before awarding any subcontract expected to exceed the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4, the Contractor shall require the subcontractor to submit cost or pricing data (actually or by specific identification in writing), unless an exception under FAR 15.403-1 applies.

(c) The Contractor shall require the subcontractor to certify in substantially the form prescribed in FAR 15.406-2 that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, the data submitted under paragraph (b) of this clause were accurate, complete, and current as of the date of agreement on the negotiated price of the subcontract or subcontract modification.

(d) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (d), in each subcontract that exceeds the threshold for submission of cost or pricing data at FAR 15.403-4 on the date of agreement on price or the date of award, whichever is later. (End of clause)

52.215-15 Termination of Defined Benefit Pension Plans.

As prescribed in 15.408(g), insert the following clause:

Termination of Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Oct 1997)

The Contractor shall promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing when it determines that it will terminate a defined benefit pension plan or otherwise recapture such pension fund assets. If pension fund assets revert to the Contractor or are constructively received by it under a termination or otherwise, the Contractor shall make a refund or give a credit to the Government for its equitable share as required by FAR 31.205-6(j)(4). The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause in all subcontracts under this contract that meet the applicability requirement of FAR 15.408(g). (End of clause)

52.215-16 [Removed]

25. Section 52.215-16 is removed.

52.215-30 [Redesignated as 52.215-19 and Amended]

26. Section 52.215-30 is redesignated as 52.215-19, amended in the introductory text by removing the reference “15.904(a)” and inserting “15.908(h),” and revising the clause date to read “(OCT 1997)”,

52.215-18 Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions.

As prescribed in 15.408(j), insert the following clause:

Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions (Oct 1997)

The Contractor shall promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing when it determines that it will terminate or reduce a PRB plan. If PRB fund assets revert, or inure, to the Contractor or are constructively received by it under a plan termination or otherwise, the Contractor shall make a refund or give a credit to the Government for its equitable share as required by FAR 31.205-6(j)(6). The Contractor shall include the
substance of this clause in all subcontracts under this contract that meet the applicability requirements of FAR 15.408(j).

52.215–19 Notification of Ownership Changes.
As prescribed in 15.408(k), insert the following clause:

Notification of Ownership Changes (Oct 1997)
(a) The Contractor shall make the following notifications in writing:
(1) When the Contractor becomes aware that a change in its ownership has occurred, or is certain to occur, that could result in changes in the valuation of its capitalized assets in the accounting records, the Contractor shall notify the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) within 30 days.
(2) The Contractor shall also notify the ACO within 30 days whenever changes to asset valuations or any other cost changes have occurred or are certain to occur as a result of a change in ownership.
(b) The Contractor shall—
(1) Maintain current, accurate, and complete inventory records of assets and their costs;
(2) Provide the ACO or designated representative ready access to the records upon request;
(3) Ensure that all individual and grouped assets, their capitalized values, accumulated depreciation or amortization, and remaining useful lives are identified accurately before and after each of the Contractor’s ownership changes; and
(4) Retain and continue to maintain depreciation and amortization schedules based on the asset records maintained before each Contractor ownership change.
(c) The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause in all subcontracts under this contract that meet the applicability requirement of FAR 15.408(k).

52.215–20 Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data.
As prescribed in 15.408(l), insert the following provision:

Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data (Oct 1997)
(a) Exceptions from cost or pricing data. (1) In lieu of submitting cost or pricing data, offerors may submit a written request for exception by submitting the information described in the following subparagraphs.
(i) Identification of the law or regulation, access does not extend to cost or pricing data and supporting information under this provision.
(ii) Identification of the law or regulation, access does not extend to cost or pricing data and supporting information under this provision.
(b) The offeror grants the Contracting Officer the right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this provision and to determine the reasonableness of the price. For items priced using catalog or market prices, or law or regulation, access does not extend to cost or pricing data and supporting information.

52.215–21 Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications.
As prescribed in 15.408(m), insert the following clause:

Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data—Modifications (Oct 1997)
(a) Exceptions from cost or pricing data. (1) In lieu of submitting cost or pricing data for modifications under this contract, for price adjustments expected to exceed the threshold set forth at FAR 15.403–4 on the date of the agreement on price or the date of the award, whichever is later, the Contractor shall submit a written request for exception by submitting the information described in the following subparagraphs. The Contracting Officer may require additional supporting information, and only to the extent necessary to determine whether an exception should be granted, and whether the price is fair and reasonable.
(i) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered.
(ii) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered.
(b) Information on modifications of contracts or subcontracts for commercial items. (A) If—
(1) The original contract or subcontract was granted an exception from cost or pricing data requirements because the price agreed upon was based on adequate price competition or was a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of a commercial item; and
(2) The modification (to the contract or subcontract) is not exempted based on one of these exceptions, then the Contractor may provide information to establish that the modification would not change the contract or subcontract from a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of a commercial item to a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of an item other than a commercial item.
(B) For a commercial item exception, the Contractor shall provide, at a minimum, information on prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold in the commercial marketplace that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for this acquisition. Such information may include—
(i) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered.
(ii) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered.
(c) Submit the cost portion of the proposal via the electronic media format, e.g., electronic spreadsheet format, electronic mail, etc.
being submitted. Provide a copy or describe current discount policies and price lists
(published or unpublished), e.g., wholesale, original equipment manufacturer, or reseller.
Also explain the basis of each offered price and its relationship to the established catalog
price, including how the proposed price relates to the price of recent sales in
quantities similar to the proposed quantities.
(2) For market-priced items, the source and date or period of the market quotation or
other basis for market price, the base amount, and applicable discounts. In addition,
describe the nature of the market.
(3) For items included on an active Federal Supply Service Multiple Award Schedule
contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item.
(2) The Contractor grants the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the
right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly
pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this clause, and the
reasonable cost of doing so. For items priced using catalog or market prices, or law or
regulation, access does not extend to cost or profit information or other data relevant
solely to the Contractor’s determination of the prices to be offered in the catalog or
marketplace.
(b) Requirements for cost or pricing data.
If the Contractor is not granted an exception from the requirement to submit cost or
pricing data, the following applies:
(1) The Contractor shall submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments
in accordance with Table 15-2 of FAR 15.408.
(2) As soon as practicable after agreement on price, but before award (except for
unpriced actions), the Contractor shall submit the following:
(1) A detailed statement of all costs
incurred plus an estimate of costs to
deliberate costs and cost trends for—
(2) As soon as practicable after agreement
on price, but before award (except for
unpriced actions), the Contractor shall submit the following:
(1) Lump sum price;
(2) Alternate prices;
(3) Units of construction;
(4) Any combination of paragraphs (b)(1)
through (b)(3) of this provision.
(c) Submit the cost portion of the proposal via the following electronic media:
[Insert media format]
(1) Within [Contracting Officer insert number of days] days after the end of the month in which the Contractor has delivered the last unit of supplies and completed the services specified by item number in paragraph (a) of this section, the Contractor shall submit in the format of Table 15-2, FAR 15.408 (or in any other form on which the parties agree), with sufficient supporting data to disclose unit costs and cost trends for—
(2) Alternate proposals will not be
required for proposals on all items, failure to do so may result in the proposal being rejected without further consideration. If a proposal on all items is not required, offerors should insert the words “no proposal” in the space provided for any item on which no price is submitted.
(End of provision)
PART 53—FORMS

36. Section 53.213 is amended by revising the section heading and paragraph (a) to read as follows:

53.213 Simplified acquisition procedures

(SF's 18, 30, 44, 1165, and 1449, and OF's 336, 347, and 348).

53.214 Sealed bidding.

(a) SF 18 (Rev. 6/95), Request for Quotations, or SF 1449 (10/95 Ed.), solicitation/Contract/Order for Commercial Items. SF 18 is prescribed for use in obtaining price, cost, delivery, and related information from suppliers as specified in 13.107. SF 1449, as prescribed in 53.212, or other agency forms/automated formats, may also be used to obtain price, cost, delivery, and related information from suppliers as specified in 13.107.

37. Section 53.214 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a), paragraph (c); and the first sentence revising the first sentence of paragraph (d) to read as follows:

53.215–1 Solicitation and receipt of proposals.

The following forms are prescribed, as stated in the following paragraphs, for use in contracting by negotiation (except for construction, architect-engineer services, or acquisitions made using simplified acquisition procedures):

(a) SF 26 (Rev. 4/85), Award/Contract. SF 26, prescribed in 53.214(a), may be used in entering into negotiated contracts in which the signature of both parties on a single document is appropriate, as specified in 15.509(b).

(b) SF 30 (Rev. 10/83), Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract. SF 30, prescribed in 53.243, may be used for amending requests for proposals and for amending requests for information, as specified in 15.210(b).

(c) SF 33 (Rev. 4/85), Solicitation, Offer and Award. SF 33, prescribed in 53.214(c), may be used in connection with the solicitation and award of negotiated contracts. Award of such contracts may be made by either OF 307, SF 33, or SF 26, as specified in 15.509. Pending issuance of a new edition of the form, the reference in “block 1” should be amended to read “15 CFR 700” and in the Caution statement of “block 9” revise 52.215–10 to read 52.215–1.

(d) OF 17 (Rev. 12/93), Offer Label. OF 17 may be furnished with each request for proposals to facilitate identification and handling of proposals, as specified in 15.210(c).

(e) OF 307 (9/97), Contract Award. OF 307 may be used to award negotiated contracts as specified in 15.509(a).

(f) OF 308 (9/97), Solicitation and Offer-Negotiated Acquisition. OF 308 may be used to support solicitation of negotiated contracts as specified in 15.210(a). A Award of such contracts may be made by OF 307, as specified in 15.509(a).

(g) OF 309 (9/97), Amendment of Solicitation. OF 309 may be used to amend solicitations of negotiated contracts, as specified in 15.210(b).

53.215–2 [Removed]

39. Section 53.215–2 is removed.

40. Section 53.243 is amended by revising the introductory paragraph to read as follows:

53.243 Contract modifications (SF 30).

SF 30 (Rev. 10/83), Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract. SF 30 is prescribed for use in amending invitation for bids, as specified in 14.208; modifying purchase and delivery orders, as specified in 13.503(b); and modifying contracts, as specified in 42.1203(f), 43.301, 49.602–5, and elsewhere in this chapter. The form may also be used to amend solicitations for negotiated contracts, as specified in 15.210(b). Pending the publication of a new edition of the form, Instruction (b), Item 3 (effective date), is revised in paragraphs (3) and (5) as follows:

41. Section 53.249 is amended by adding a sentence to the end of paragraphs (a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(4) to read as follows:

53.249 Termination of contracts.

(a) [ ]

(2) [ ] Pending issuance of a new edition of the form, in the “Note” of the Certification on page 4, revise the references 15.804–2, 15.804–2(a), and 15.804–6 to read 15.403, 15.406–2, and 15.408, Table 15–2, respectively.

(3) [ ] Pending issuance of a new edition of the form, in the “Note” of the Certification on page 4, revise the references “15.804–2, 15.804–2(a), and 15.804–6” to read “15.403, 15.406–2, and 15.408, Table 15–2,” respectively.

(4) [ ] Pending issuance of a new edition of the form, in the “Note” of the Certification, revise the references “15.804–2, 15.804–2(a), and 15.804–6” to read “15.403, 15.406–2, and 15.408, Table 15–2,” respectively.

53.301–1411 [Removed]

42. Section 53.301–1411 is removed.

53.301–1448 [Removed]

43. Section 53.301–1448 is removed.

44. Sections 53.302–307 through 53.302–309 are added to read as follows:

53.302–307 Optional Form 307, Contract Award.
# CONTRACT AWARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. CONTRACT NUMBER</th>
<th>2. EFFECTIVE DATE</th>
<th>3. SOLICITATION NUMBER</th>
<th>4. REQUISITION/PROJECT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. ISSUED BY CODE</th>
<th>6. ADMINISTERED BY [If other than Item 5] CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR CODE</th>
<th>8. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9A. DUNS NUMBER</th>
<th>9B. TAXPAYER'S IDENTIFICATION NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. SUBMIT INVOICES (4 copies unless otherwise specified) TO ITEM 5 ITEM 6 ITEM 8 OTHER (Specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART I - THE SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(X) SEC.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C DESCRIPTION/SPEC./WORK STATEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D PACKAGING AND MARKING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART II - CONTRACT CLAUSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(X) SEC.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I CONTRACT CLAUSES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J LIST OF ATTACHMENTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART IV - REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(X) SEC.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L INSTR., CONDS., AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 12. BRIEF DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13. TOTAL AMOUNT OF CONTRACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## 14. CONTRACTOR'S AGREEMENT

Contractor agrees to furnish and deliver the items or perform services to the extent stated in this document for the consideration stated. The rights and obligations of the parties to this contract shall be subject to and governed by this document and any documents attached or incorporated by reference.

**A. CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT AND RETURN FOUR COPIES TO THE ISSUING OFFICE. (Check if applicable)**

**B. SIGNATURE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN**

**A. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)**

**C. NAME OF SIGNER**

**D. TITLE OF SIGNER**

**B. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER**

**E. DATE**

**C. DATE**

---

**OPTIONAL FORM 307 (8-97)**

Prescribed by GSA - FAR (48 CFR) 53.215-1(e)
### SOLICITATION AND OFFER - NEGOTIATED ACQUISITION

#### I. SOLICITATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. SOLICITATION NUMBER</th>
<th>2. DATE ISSUED</th>
<th>3. OFFERS DUE BY</th>
<th>4. OFFERS VALID FOR 60 DAYS UNLESS A DIFFERENT PERIOD IS ENTERED HERE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. ISSUED BY</th>
<th>6. ADDRESS OFFER TO (if other than Item 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### II. OFFER

The undersigned agrees to furnish and deliver the items or perform services to the extent stated in this document for the consideration stated. The rights and obligations of the parties to the resultant contract shall be subject to and governed by this document and any documents attached or incorporated by reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10A. PERSONS AUTHORIZED TO NEGOTIATE</th>
<th>10B. TITLE</th>
<th>10C. TELEPHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR</th>
<th>12A. SIGNATURE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12B. NAME OF SIGNER</th>
<th>12C. TITLE OF SIGNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12D. DATE</th>
<th>12E. TELEPHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 53.302–309 Optional Form 309, Amendment of Solicitation.

#### AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION
(Negotiated Procurements)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAGE</th>
<th>OF</th>
<th>PAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**NOTICE:** Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment in writing, by the date and time specified for proposal submissions or the date and time specified in Block 5, whichever is later. **IF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS NOT RECEIVED AT THE DESIGNATED LOCATION BY THE SPECIFIED DATE AND TIME, YOUR OFFER MAY BE REJECTED.** If, by virtue of this amendment, you wish to change your offer, such change must make reference to the solicitation and this amendment and be received prior to the date and time specified in Block 5.

**I. AMENDMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. SOLICITATION NUMBER</th>
<th>2. SOLICITATION DATE</th>
<th>3. AMENDMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>4. AMENDMENT DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**6. DUE DATE**

**THIS AMENDMENT DOES NOT CHANGE THE DATE BY WHICH OFFERS ARE DUE UNLESS A DATE AND TIME IS INSERTED BELOW.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. DATE</th>
<th>B. TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**7. FOR INFORMATION CALL (No collect calls)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. NAME</th>
<th>B. TELEPHONE</th>
<th>C. E-MAIL ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA CODE</td>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT**

<br>

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

**II. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENT**

In lieu of other written methods of acknowledgment, the offeror may complete Blocks 9 and 10 and return this amendment to the address in Block 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR</th>
<th>10A. OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

10B. NAME OF SIGNER

10C. TITLE OF SIGNER

10D. DATE

**OPTIONAL FORM 309 (9-97)**

Prescribed by GSA - FAR (48 CFR 53.215-1(a))

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-C
45. Amend the internal references throughout Chapter 1 as indicated in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Remove</th>
<th>Insert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.106</td>
<td>15.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.106</td>
<td>15.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.407-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.804-8</td>
<td>15.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.812-1(b)</td>
<td>15.404-1(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.813-1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.813-2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.813-3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>15.813-6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-6</td>
<td>52.215-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-11</td>
<td>52.215-1(c)(2)(iv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-19</td>
<td>52.215-1(d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-20</td>
<td>52.215-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-21</td>
<td>52.215-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-24</td>
<td>52.215-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-25</td>
<td>52.215-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-26</td>
<td>52.215-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-40</td>
<td>52.215-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-41</td>
<td>52.215-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>52.215-42</td>
<td>52.215-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.101 (definition of “offer”)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.104-3 (paragraph (5) of definition “Contractor bid or proposal information”).</td>
<td>52.215-12</td>
<td>52.215-1(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.104-5(b)</td>
<td>15.411 and 15.413</td>
<td>15.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.104-5(d)(3) introductory text</td>
<td>52.215-12</td>
<td>15.408, Table 15-2, paragraph A., column (2) under “Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.501-2(c) 15.804-6(f)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Remove</th>
<th>Insert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.405(e)</td>
<td>15.1003</td>
<td>15.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.901(b)</td>
<td>15.106(b)</td>
<td>15.209(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.204–1(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.204–2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.407(a)(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2 or 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.407(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2 or 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.101–4(b)</td>
<td>15.610(a)</td>
<td>15.306(a)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.000</td>
<td>15.805–3</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.103(a)</td>
<td>15.805–3</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.105(b)</td>
<td>15.805–3</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.106–1 introductory paragraph</td>
<td>15.805–3</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.106(g)</td>
<td>15.808, Price negotiation memorandum</td>
<td>15.406–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.207–26 clause date</td>
<td>15.805–3</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.207–26 clause date</td>
<td>15.805–3</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.205–6(e)/(4)</td>
<td>certified (see 15.804)</td>
<td>(see 15.403–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.205–18(c)(1)(ii)(A)</td>
<td>15.804</td>
<td>15.403–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.205–18(c)(1)(ii)(B)</td>
<td>15.804</td>
<td>15.403–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.205–33(c)(1)</td>
<td>52.215–12</td>
<td>52.215–1(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.205–26(e)</td>
<td>15.804–1</td>
<td>15.403–1(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.205–42(c) introductory paragraph</td>
<td>(See 15.804–6(f))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.204</td>
<td>15.606</td>
<td>15.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.103(f)(3)</td>
<td>15.1006</td>
<td>15.505 or 15.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.104(c)(1)</td>
<td>15.1006</td>
<td>15.505 or 15.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.207(d)</td>
<td>15.804–2(a)(1)(iii)</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)(iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.005–2(a)(2)</td>
<td>15.404</td>
<td>15.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.007(d)</td>
<td>15.406–5(b)</td>
<td>15.204–5(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.007(e) introductory paragraph</td>
<td>15.605(e)</td>
<td>15.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.007(g)</td>
<td>15.409</td>
<td>15.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.007(i)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.008(d)</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.008(e)</td>
<td>15.805</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.214(b)(1)</td>
<td>15.804–1 and 15.804–2</td>
<td>15.403–1 and 15.403–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.215</td>
<td>15.903(d)(1)(iii)</td>
<td>15.404–4(c)(4)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.303–2(a)</td>
<td>15.605</td>
<td>15.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.606(a)</td>
<td>15.903(d)(1)(ii) through (f), 15.1007(c), 15.1006(d)(2) through (f)(5)</td>
<td>15.503, 15.506(b) through (f), 15.507(c), 15.506(d)(2) through (d)(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.202(a)(4)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.202(a)(5)</td>
<td>15.809</td>
<td>15.407–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.204(b)(4)</td>
<td>15.805</td>
<td>15.404–1(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.202–2(a)(1)</td>
<td>Subpart 15.7</td>
<td>15.407–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.305–3(a)(1)</td>
<td>15.804</td>
<td>15.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.103(b)(1)</td>
<td>15.804–1</td>
<td>15.403–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.106(b)(2)(l)</td>
<td>15.804–1</td>
<td>15.403–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.302–2(c)</td>
<td>Subpart 15.9</td>
<td>15.404–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.102(e)</td>
<td>15.903(d)</td>
<td>15.404–4(c)(4)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.105(c)(15)</td>
<td>15.804–4(h) and 15.804–4(f)</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)(1) and 15.403–4(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.110(a)</td>
<td>15.808(a) and 15.808(b)</td>
<td>15.406–3 twice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.203(c)</td>
<td>15.103</td>
<td>14.404–2(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–2 provision date</td>
<td>(Oct 1995)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–2(a)</td>
<td>15.605</td>
<td>15.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–26 clause date</td>
<td>(Oct 1995)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–26(e)</td>
<td>15.804–2(a)(1)</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–27 clause date</td>
<td>(Oct 1995)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–27(a)</td>
<td>15.804–2(a)(1) and 15.804–1</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1) and 15.403–1(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–28 clause date</td>
<td>(Oct 1995)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–28(a)(1)</td>
<td>15.804–2(a)(1)</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–28(b)</td>
<td>15.804–2(a)(1) both times it appears</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–28(c)</td>
<td>15.804–1</td>
<td>15.403–1(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–28(d)</td>
<td>15.804–4(b)(2)</td>
<td>15.406–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–34 introductory text</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)</td>
<td>15.403–4(a)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.212–35 introductory text</td>
<td>15.407(m)</td>
<td>15.407(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.215–2 introductory text</td>
<td>15.106(b)</td>
<td>15.209(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate I</td>
<td>15.106(c)</td>
<td>15.209(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate II</td>
<td>15.106(c)</td>
<td>15.209(b)(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate III</td>
<td>15.106(c)</td>
<td>15.209(b)(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.216–25 clause date</td>
<td>(Apr 1984)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.216–25(c)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.217–2 clause date</td>
<td>(Jul 1996)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.217–2(f)(1)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.219–10 clause date</td>
<td>(Oct 1995)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.219–10(c)</td>
<td>Subpart 15.9</td>
<td>15.404–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.244–1 clause date</td>
<td>(Feb 1995)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.244–1(g)</td>
<td>15.903(d)</td>
<td>15.404–4(c)(4)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Remove</td>
<td>Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.244</td>
<td>(Feb 1997)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.903(d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.404-4(c)(4)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.244-3 clause date</td>
<td>(Apr 1985)</td>
<td>(Oct 1997).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.903(d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.404-4(c)(4)(i)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aeronautics and Space Administration as the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Council. This Small Entity Compliance Guide has been prepared in accordance with Section 212 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121). It consists of a summary of the rule appearing in Federal Acquisition Circular (FAC) 97-02 which amends the FAR. Further information regarding this rule may be obtained by referring to FAC 97-02 which precedes this notice. This document may be obtained from the Internet at http://www.arnet.gov/far.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Beverly Fayson, FAR Secretariat, (202) 501-4755.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Part 15 Rewrite; Contracting by Negotiation and Competitive Range Determination [FAC 97-02, FAR Case 95-029]

The final rule revises Part 15, Contracting by Negotiation. The final rule infuses innovative techniques into the source selection process, simplifies the acquisition process, incorporates changes in pricing and unsolicited proposal policy, and facilitates the acquisition of best value products and services. The final rule emphasizes the use of effective and efficient acquisition methods and eliminates unnecessary burdens imposed on industry and Government. In addition, the rule revises the sequence in which Part 15 information is presented to facilitate use of the regulation.

Edward C. Loeb,
Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division.