

1997 with Constellation Power Source, Inc., under DLC's Open Access Transmission Tariff (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds Constellation Power Source, Inc. as a customer under the Tariff. DLC requests an effective date of August 22, 1997 for the Service Agreement.

Comment date: September 30, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

19. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-4370-000]

Take notice that on August 27, 1997, Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCSI), acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company and Savannah Electric and Power Company (collectively referred to as Southern Companies) filed one (1) service agreement under Southern Companies' Market-Based Rate Power Sales Tariff (FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 4) with the following entity: Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia. SCSI states that the service agreement will enable Southern Companies to engage in short-term market-based rate transactions with this entity.

Comment date: September 30, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-25063 Filed 9-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 3131-032]

S.R. Hydropower of Brockway Mills; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

September 16, 1997.

An environmental assessment (EA) is available for public review. The EA is for an application for surrender of license. The EA reviews alternative for surrender and decommissioning the project. The EA finds approval of the application, with staff recommendations, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Project is located on the Williams River, Windham County, Vermont.

The EA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the EA can be viewed in the Reference and Information Center, Room 2A, of the Commission's Offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Please submit any comment within 45 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon studies, reports, or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix Project No. 3131-032 to all comments. For further information, please contact the project manager, Mr. Robert Grieve, at (202) 219-2655.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-25034 Filed 9-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders; Week of August 11 through August 15, 1997

During the week of August 11 through August 15, 1997, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals, applications, petitions, or other requests filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The

following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20585-0107, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in *Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines*, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system. Some decisions and orders are available on the Office of Hearings and Appeals World Wide Web site at <http://www.oha.doe.gov>.

Dated: September 12, 1997.

George B. Breznay,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision List No. 46; Week of August 11 through August 15, 1997

Appeals

David R. Berg, 8/14/97 VFA-0306

David R. Berg filed an Appeal from a determination issued to him on May 28, 1997, by the Human Resources Office (HR) of the Department of Energy (DOE), in response to a request for information filed under both the Privacy Act and the FOIA. In his Appeal, Mr. Berg contended that HR did not adequately explain the basis upon which the responsive documents were withheld under the Privacy Act and that HR improperly relied upon FOIA Exemptions 5, 6 and 7. The DOE found HR's determination insufficiently informative and short of what is legally required. The DOE remanded Mr. Berg's Appeal to HR to either release to Mr. Berg all of the documents responsive to his request or issue a new determination adequately supporting the withholding of the documents. Consequently, the Appeal filed by Mr. Berg was granted in part and denied in part.

W.L. McCullough 8/12/97 VFA-0314

W.L. McCullough (Appellant) filed an Appeal of a Determination issued to him by the Department of Energy (DOE) in response to a request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). In the request, the Appellant asked for documents concerning a grant awarded by DOE. In its Determination, the Oak Ridge Operations Office (DOE/ORO) released one document but stated that no other documents could be located in the possession of DOE. The Appellant challenged the adequacy of DOE/ORO's search. The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) found that DOE/ORO had conducted an adequate search of