

## NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

### Sunshine Act Meeting; Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m., Thursday, September 25, 1997.

**PLACE:** Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, 1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 800, Board Room, Washington, D.C. 20005.

**STATUS:** Open.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jeffrey T. Bryson, General Counsel/Secretary 202/376-2441.

#### AGENDA:

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of Minutes:
  - April 16, 1997 Annual Meeting
- III. Resolution of Appreciation
- IV. Budget Committee Report
  - July 28, 1997 Meeting:
    - a. FY 1997 Budget Reallocation Request
    - b. FY 1998 Budget Request
    - c. FY 1999 OMB Budget Submission
- V. Audit Committee Report
- VI. Treasurer's Report
- VII. Appointment of Acting Treasurer
- VIII. Executive Director's Quarterly Management Report
- IX. Adjourn

**Jeffrey T. Bryson,**

*General Counsel/Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-24720 Filed 9-12-97; 2:30 pm]

BILLING CODE 7570-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 71, "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material."

3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

4. *How often the collection is required:* Applications for package certification may be made at any time. Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur.

5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* All NRC specific licensees who place byproduct, source, or special nuclear material into transportation, and all persons who wish to apply for NRC approval of package designs for use in such transportation.

6. *An estimate of the number of responses:* 755 responses annually.

7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 350 licensees.

8. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 56,712 hours for reporting requirements and 6,825 for recordkeeping requirements, or a total of 63,537 hours (approximately 182 hours per respondent).

9. *An indication of whether section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies:* Not applicable.

10. *Abstract:* NRC regulations in 10 CFR part 71 establish requirements for packing, preparation for shipment, and transportation of licensed material, and prescribe procedures, standards, and requirements for approval by NRC of packaging and shipping procedures for fissile material and for quantities of licensed material in excess of Type A quantities.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advance Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by October 16, 1997: Norma Gonzales, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0008), NEOB-10202,

Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of September 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Arnold E. Levin,**

*Acting Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 97-24560 Filed 9-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-440]

### Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-58 issued to Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEICO), Centerior Service Company, Duquesne Light Company, Ohio Edison Company, OES Nuclear, Inc., Pennsylvania Power Company, and Toledo Edison Company (the licensees) for operation of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant (PNPP), Unit No. 1, located in Lake County, Ohio.

The proposed amendment would change the PNPP design basis as described in the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). The change will add a description of the methodology utilized for determining the systems and components that are considered to require protection from tornado missiles.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not: (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from