

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket Nos. RP97-275-007 and TM97-2-59-005]

**Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

September 10, 1997.

Take notice that on September 5, 1997, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), tendered for filing to become part of Northern's FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets proposed to become effective on June 1, 1997:

2nd Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 61  
2nd Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 62  
2nd Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 63  
2nd Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 64

Northern states that the reason for this filing is to correct the unaccounted-for gas percent stated in the footnote of Substitute Fifth Revised Sheets Nos. 61-64, as filed on July 16, 1997, from 0.99 percent (0.0099) to 0.93 percent (0.0093).

Northern states that copies of the filing were served upon Northern's customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,***Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-24453 Filed 9-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP97-722-000]

**Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

September 10, 1997.

Take notice that on September 2, 1997, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP97-722-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205, and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for approval to abandon certain delivery facilities in Montrose County, Colorado and a related transportation service, under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that it plans to abandon its Uravan Lateral Line and Umetco Meter Station and to abandon the related transportation service. The Uravan Lateral Line consists of approximately 12 miles of 4-inch pipeline extending from Northwest's Nucla Lateral to a point of termination at the Umetco Meter Station. The Umetco Meter Station consists of two 4-inch meters, two 1-inch regulators and appurtenances.

Service to the Umetco Meter Station has been according to a Direct Sales Contract (Contract) dated April 1, 1978, as amended, between Northwest and Umetco Minerals Corporation (Umetco). The primary term of the Contract extended until March 31, 1980 and month to month thereafter subject to termination upon one month written notice by either party. On August 25, 1992, Northwest notified Umetco that it was terminating the Contract effective October 31, 1992. No deliveries have been provided at the Umetco Meter Station since May, 1992. Since Umetco is the only customer served by the Uravan Lateral Line and Umetco Meter Station and the facilities are no longer needed, Northwest proposes to abandon the Uravan Lateral Line in place and to remove the Umetco Meter Station. On December 16, 1992, Umetco confirmed that it had no objection to Northwest's proposed abandonment of the delivery facilities. The cost of abandoning the Uravan Lateral Line and the Umetco Meter Station is estimated at \$15,000.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,***Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-24450 Filed 9-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP97-683-000]

**Ozark Gas Transmission; Notice of Application**

September 10, 1997.

Take notice that on August 6, 1997, Ozark Gas Transmission System (Ozark), 1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP97-683-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to construct and operate a receipt point in Franklin County, Arkansas, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Ozark proposes to install and operate a receipt point in Franklin County in order to receive natural gas production into its system. Ozark states that the proposed receipt point would allow Ozark to receive an additional 1,500 Dekaterm equivalent of natural gas per day into its system. Ozark would install approximately 4,500 feet of 4.5-inch diameter pipe and the necessary metering and tie-in facilities on its pipeline system. Ozark also states that it would finance the estimated \$71,600 construction cost of the proposed receipt point with funds on hand.

Ozark states that the natural gas volumes it would receive via the proposed receipt point are within certificated volumes on Ozark's system, and the addition of receipt point facilities would be consistent with