

injection well. Class II wells dispose (via injection) of fluids that are brought to the surface in connection with natural gas storage operations or primary oil or natural gas development and production. These fluids may be commingled with waste waters from gas plants which are an integral part of production operations, unless these fluids are classified as a hazardous waste at the time of production. Class II wells also may inject fluids for enhanced recovery of oil or natural gas or for storage of hydrocarbons which are liquid at standard temperature and pressure. (See 40 CFR Section 144.6.)

If the application by the Fort Peck Tribes is approved, the Tribes would be responsible for regulating injection into Class II wells. The program described in the Tribes' application would require that any injection into Class II wells be done in compliance with Tribally-issued permits, which will include technical requirements for the protection of USDWs. These requirements include criteria for construction, testing, operation, monitoring, and abandonment of Class II injection wells. At present, there are approximately 28 Class II injection wells on the Fort Peck Indian Reservation.

The EPA has held primary enforcement authority for the UIC program on the Fort Peck Indian Reservation in Montana since the program was implemented in 1984. If the Tribes' application is approved, the Tribes would assume primary enforcement authority (except for the authority to take criminal actions against non-Indians, which the EPA would retain) for the regulation of all Class II injection wells on all lands within the exterior boundaries of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation. The EPA would retain regulatory and enforcement authority for all other classes of injection wells. However, the Tribes would not be prevented from implementing any more stringent enforcement program of their own for any type of injection wells.

The Tribes' submission includes a proposed Tribal Code, a program description, copies of all applicable rules and forms, statements from Tribal counsel, and a proposed memorandum of agreement between the EPA and the Tribes.

Dated: September 4, 1997.

**Kerrigan G. Clough,**

*Assistant Regional Administrator, Office of Pollution Prevention, State and Tribal Assistance, Region VIII, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.*

[FR Doc. 97-24146 Filed 9-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5484-3]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or (202) 564-7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed September 2, 1997 through September 5, 1997 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970350, Draft EIS, COE, CA, Upper Guadalupe River Feasibility Study, Flood Control Protection, Construction, National Economic Development Plan (NED), Santa Clara Valley Water District, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, CA, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: William DeJager (415) 977-8670.

EIS No. 970351, Draft EIS, BLM, NV, Olinghouse Mine Project, Construction of Two Open Pits, Waste Dump, Haul Road and Cyanide Heap Leach Pads, Plan-of-Operation, Carson City, Washoe County, NV, Due: November 14, 1997, Contact: Terri Knutson (702) 885-6156.

EIS No. 970352, Draft EIS, FHW, WA, NE 8TH/I-405 Interchange Project, Construction, Funding, Right-of-Way Use Permit and NPDES Stormwater Permit, City of Bellevue, King County, WA, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: Gene Fong (425) 452-6827.

EIS No. 970353, Draft Supplement, COE, CA, Sacramento River Bank Protection Project, Implementation of Streambank Protection for the Lower American River between RM-0 and 13.7, Updated Information, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, CA, Due: October 27, 1997, Contact: Matt Davis (916) 557-1534.

EIS No. 970354, Final EIS, AFS, WA, Long Draw Salvage Sale, Implementation, Okanogan National Forest, Tonasket Ranger District, Okanogan County, WA, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: John Townsley (509) 826-3568.

EIS No. 970355, Final EIS, AFS, AZ, Eastern Roosevelt Lake Watershed Analysis Area Grazing Strategy and Associated Range Improvements Management Plan, Development and

Implementation, Tonto National Forest, Tonto Basin Ranger District, Gila County, AZ, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: Lanny Warren (520) 467-3200.

EIS No. 970356, Final EIS, FHW, VA, DC, MD, Woodrow Wilson Bridge Improvement, I-95 from the Telegraph Road/Capital Beltway Interchange in Alexandria, VA to the MD-210/Capital Beltway Interchange in Oxon Hill, MD, Funding, Section 10 and 404 Permits and CGD Bridge Permit, Fairfax County, VA; Prince George's County, MD, and DC, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: David C. Lawton (410) 962-0077.

### Amended Notices

EIS No. 970290, Final EIS, FHW, CO, CO-82 Highway Transportation Project, Improvements to "Entrance to Aspen", Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Aspen, Pitkin County, CO, Due: October 6, 1997, Contact: Ron Sperl (303) 969-6737. Published FR-09-12-97—Review Period Reestablished.

Dated: September 9, 1997.

**William D. Dickerson,**

*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 97-24240 Filed 9-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5892-2]

### National Drinking Water Advisory Council Operator Certification Working Group; Notice of Open Meeting

Under section 10(a)(2) of Public Law 92-423, "The Federal Advisory Committee Act," notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Operator Certification Working Group of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council, established under the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. S300f *et seq.*), will be held on September 22, 1997, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., and on September 23, 1997, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. in Meeting Room 4, at the Renaissance Washington D.C. Hotel, 999 9th Street, NW, Washington, DC. The meeting is open to the public to observe, but due to past experience, seating will be limited.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the key standards for a State operator certification program. The working group members are meeting to discuss and comment on proposed issue papers for deliberation by the advisory council. Statements from the public will