

maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by every one of the intervenors. An intervenor can file for rehearing of any Commission order and can petition for court review of any such order. However, an intervenor must submit copies of comments or any other filing it makes with the Commission to every other intervenor in the proceeding, as well as 14 copies with the Commission.

A person does not have to intervene, however, in order to have comments considered. A person, instead, may submit two copies of comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of environmental documents and will be able to participate in meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process.

Commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission and will not have the right to seek rehearing or appeal the Commission's final order to a federal court.

The Commission will consider all comments and concerns equally, whether filed by commenters or those requesting intervenor status.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Texas Eastern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-23138 Filed 8-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP95-197-029]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Refund Report

August 26, 1997.

Take notice that on June 27, 1997, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing a refund report (\$79,016,114.33 in principal and interest) showing that on May 30, 1997, Transco submitted refunds (or surcharges) to all affected shippers in the proceedings in Docket Nos. RP95-197, IN89-1-002, and RP92-137-044. In determining the total amount to be refunded (or surcharged) to each shipper, Transco states that it has aggregated the individual shipper amounts attributable to each proceeding.

Transco states that an uncontested Stipulation and Agreement (Partial Settlement) approved by Commission letter order issued November 1, 1996 in Docket No. RP95-197 *et al.*, resolves a number of rate and tariff issues in Phase II of Transco's general section 4 rate proceeding in Docket No. RP95-197 *et al.* The issues resolved in the settlement include Transco's cost of service, throughput level and mix, cost of classification, rate design, and certain issues related to the terms and conditions of Transco's services, as well as all issues in Docket Nos. RP96-44 and CP95-737.

Transco further states that based on the Settlement it has calculated storage and transportation refunds for the period September 1, 1995 (the beginning of the Docket No. RP95-197 rate period) through July 31, 1996 based on the difference between the amounts billed and amounts calculated utilizing settlement rates.

In addition, pursuant to a Stipulation and Consent Agreement (Docket No. IN89-1-002) approved by the Commission on April 4, 1997, Transco is to refund to its rate payers \$51,788.51. The refund is to be distributed to Transco's shippers proportional to their pro rata share of the rate refunds under the aforementioned Settlement in Docket No. RP95-197.

Finally, Transco states that the January 23, 1997, order in Docket No. RP92-137-044 required it to redistribute excess IT amount of \$17,815,888.52 to its interruptible transportation shippers that were previously refunded only to firm shippers. The Commission on May 14, 1997, approved Transco's proposal to

refund this amount pursuant to the Settlement in Docket No. RP95-197.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before September 3, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-23143 Filed 8-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-516-001]

Transwestern Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

August 26, 1997.

Take notice that on August 22, 1997, Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124, filed in Docket No. CP97-516-001, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, seeking to amend its application filed in Docket No. CP97-516-000 on May 19, 1997. In that application Transwestern sought authorization to: (1) construct and operate a new compressor station near Standing Rock, New Mexico (Standing Rock Compressor Station) consisting of a 15,000 ISO rated horsepower gas turbine compressor and appurtenant facilities, located on Transwestern's San Juan Lateral in McKinley County, New Mexico; and (2) construct and operate an additional 2,000 ISO rated horsepower electric compressor unit and appurtenant facilities at Transwestern's existing La Plata "A" Compressor Station in La Plata County, Colorado. In its amendment, Transwestern seeks authorization to construct and operate a 7,000 ISO rated horsepower turbine drive centrifugal compressor at the La Plata "A" Compressor Station, in lieu of the 2,000 horsepower unit proposed in the original application, all as more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file

Finally, Transco states that the January 23, 1997, order in Docket No. RP92-137-044 required it to redistribute excess IT amount of \$17,815,888.52 to its interruptible transportation shippers that were previously refunded only to firm shippers. The Commission on May 14, 1997, approved Transco's proposal to