

study it uses to determine the portion of Account 2124 investment that it attributes to the billing and collection category. The special study would then be subject to the same independent audit requirements as other regulated and nonregulated cost allocations. In addition, to obtain an independent certification of the validity of the procedures adopted by the price cap LEC, we would instruct the independent auditors to examine the design and execution of the study during the first independent audit following the addition of the billing and collection section to the CAM and to report their conclusions on the validity of the study. We also note, that price cap LECs may already be required to study the use of computer investment in Account 2124 as part of the process of allocating that investment between regulated and nonregulated activities pursuant to the Part 64 joint cost rules. (13 respondents $\times$ 700 hours per response = 9100 total annual hours). b. Tariff Filings: The FNPRM contains a proposal that may require the filing of tariffs with the Commission. The Commission proposes to permit price cap LECs to assess a PICC on special access lines to recover revenues for the common line basket. The special access PICC would be no higher than the PICC that an incumbent LEC could charge of a multi-line business line. Under our proposal, the special access PICC would not recover TIC or marketing expense. Consistent with our approach to reform the interstate access charge regime, however, we tentatively conclude that the scope of this proceeding should be limited to incumbent price cap LECs. (13 respondents $\times$ 20 hours per response=260 hours). Our authority to collect this information is provided under 47 U.S.C. §§ 201–205 and 303(r). The information collected under this FNPRM would be used by the FCC by incumbent LECs for use in determining the proper allocation of general purpose computer costs to the billing and collection category. Your response would be mandatory. Public reporting burden for the collection of information is as noted above. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collections of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–22850 Filed 8–27–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

[FEMA–1186–DR]

Colorado; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency
Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Colorado (FEMA–1186–DR), dated August 1, 1997, and related determinations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 12, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Madge Dale, Response and Recovery
Directorate, Federal Emergency
Management Agency, Washington, DC
20472, (202) 646–3260.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the incident period for this disaster is closed effective August 12, 1997.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance.)

Lacy E. Suiter,

*Executive Associate Director, Response and
Recovery Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 97–22944 Filed 8–27–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–P

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

[FEMA–1177–DR]

Idaho; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency
Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Idaho, (FEMA–1177–DR), dated June 13, 1997, and related determinations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Magda Ruiz, Response and Recovery
Directorate, Federal Emergency
Management Agency, Washington, DC
20472, (202) 646–3260.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of a major disaster for the State of Idaho, is hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of June 13, 1997: The county of Bonneville for Individual Assistance (already designated for Public Assistance).

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance.)

Dennis H. Kwiatkowski,

*Deputy Associate Director, Response and
Recovery Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 97–22945 Filed 8–27–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–P

FEDERAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS EXAMINATION COUNCIL

[Docket No. AS97–1]

Appraisal Subcommittee; Rules of Operation; Amendment

AGENCY: Appraisal Subcommittee,
Federal Financial Institutions
Examination Council.

ACTION: Notice of amended expedited
vote procedures.

SUMMARY: The Appraisal Subcommittee (“ASC”) of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council is amending Section 3.13 of its Rules of Operation, which governs the transaction of business by circulation of written items, i.e., by notation vote. As amended, the Section will allow each ASC member to vote in one of three ways: to approve, to disapprove or to veto. A vote to veto will require the issue to be placed on the agenda for the next scheduled ASC meeting. If a veto is not exercised, a majority will decide the matter, provided a quorum of ASC members participates in the voting process. In general, the Section previously required unanimous approval by all ASC members. A single member’s “no” vote or failure to vote within a reasonable time operated as a veto.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Immediately.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ben Henson, Executive Director, or
Marc L. Weinberg, General Counsel, at
(202) 634–6520, via Internet e-mail at
benh1@asc.gov and marcw1@asc.gov,
respectively, or by U.S. Mail at
Appraisal Subcommittee, 2100
Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 200,
Washington, D.C. 20037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The ASC, on May 29, 1991, adopted Rules of Operation, which were published at 56 FR 28561 (June 21, 1991). The Rules of Operation describe, among other things, the organization of ASC meetings, notice requirements for meetings, quorum requirements and certain practices regarding the disclosure of information. The ASC, at its August 13, 1997 meeting, approved a total, substantive revision of Section 3.13 of the Rules of Operation, which deals with notation voting.