

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The EA considered, evaluated and assessed three alternatives: (1) the "No Action" alternative (continue activities under AR 420-40); (2) rescind AR 420-40 (no policy for cultural resources management); and (3) the proposed action alternative which is adoption of AR 200-4.

ALTERNATIVE CHOSEN: Consideration of the alternatives analyzed in the EA leads the Army to choose adoption of AR 200-4. The "No Action" alternative and the "Rescind AR 420-40" alternative do not meet the purpose and need as expressed in both this document and the EA. The "No Action" alternative would allow a continued ad hoc approach to management of cultural resources without a comprehensive consideration of all cultural resources. The "Rescind AR 420-40" alternative would leave the Army with no policy for management of cultural resources. AR 200-4, on the other hand, provides clear guidance and direction for management of cultural resources on a comprehensive basis. Management in this manner will facilitate overall Army compliance with applicable legal requirements, and will otherwise provide the agency with the ability to act as a more responsible steward of the cultural resources entrusted to its care.

ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: As noted in the EA, the nature and scope of the analysis was programmatic. This analysis is directly related to the nature of the decision being made. The Department of the Army is choosing to adopt AR 200-4, an internal agency policy for management of cultural resources. This decision alone is not likely to result in any quantifiable, concrete, on-the-ground impacts. Rather, its effect will be felt as resource managers develop site-specific cultural resource management plans and implement management activities consistent with the direction and guidance contained in AR 200-4. That second level of planning and decision making will involve additional environmental review which considers on-the-ground impacts. In addition, while AR 200-4 formalizes a comprehensive and uniform policy for managing cultural resources and eliminates the present ad hoc approach, many of the management practices presently applied in the field will continue to be applied. The effect of adoption and implementation of AR 200-4, therefore, should be beneficial for Army cultural resources.

CONCLUSIONS: Based on a review of the EA, and for the reasons stated immediately above, it is not anticipated

that adoption of AR 200-4 will either independently or cumulatively present significant environmental impacts to the quality of the human environment. Further, based on the analysis in the EA, the Army expects that adoption of AR 200-4 will result in beneficial impacts on cultural resources.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Requests for copies of the EA and questions regarding the Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) may be directed by mail to the Commander, U.S. Army Environmental Center, ATTN: SFIM-AEC-PA (Mr. Tom Hankus), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401, or by phone at (410) 671-1267. The Army also solicits written comments on the EA and FNSI.

COMMENTS: Such comments must be submitted by mail to the above address on or before September 18, 1997.

Dated: August 12, 1997.

Raymond J. Fatz,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army,
(Environment, Safety and Occupational
Health), OASA (IL&E).*

[FR Doc. 97-21844 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District's Ordinance No. 15 Establishing General Tariff No. 1 for the Humboldt Harbor and Bay Deepening, California Project

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: In previous **Federal Register** notice (Vol. 62, No. 124, pages 34697-34702) Friday, June 27, 1997, make the following correction: On Page 34702 in column one, Section VI. (Designation of Official and Setting Deadline for Receipt of Comments Concerning Proposed Harbor Usage Fee), ninth line, change the date from August 20, 1997 to August 28, 1997. Per 33 U.S.C. 2236(a)(5)(A)(iii), at least a sixty day public comment period is required from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. Accordingly, the public comment period on the proposed tariff is extended to 4 p.m., PDT, August 28, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding the General Tariff may be directed to Mr. David Hull, Chief Executive Officer, Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District (707) 443-0801.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-21967 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-19-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Community Redevelopment Authority and Available Surplus Buildings and Land at Military Installations Designed for Closure: Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California

SUMMARY: This notice provides information regarding the local redevelopment authority that has been established to plan the reuse of the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California and the surplus property that is located at the base closure site.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1995, the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, was designated for closure pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended. Pursuant to this designation, on September 28, 1995, land and facilities at this installation were declared excess to the Department of the Navy and available for use by other Department of Defense components and other federal agencies. It is not anticipated that any land or facilities will be made available to such components or other federal agencies.

Notice of Surplus Property

Pursuant to paragraph (7)(B) of section 2905(b) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended, the following information regarding the redevelopment authority and the surplus property at the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California is published in the **Federal Register**:

Redevelopment Authority

The redevelopment authority for the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, for purposes of implementing the provisions of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended, is the City of Long Beach. The City has established a local community advisory committee to provide recommendations to the City concerning the redevelopment of the shipyard. This committee is known as the Shipyard Reuse Advisory Committee. Day-to-day operations of the local redevelopment authority are handled by Mr. Gerald Miller, 200 Pine Avenue, Suite 400, Long Beach, CA 90802, telephone (310) 570-3853, facsimile (310) 570-3897.