

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

36 CFR Parts 1190 and 1191

Accessibility Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas; Meeting of Regulatory Negotiation Committee

AGENCY: Architectural and
Transportation Barriers Compliance
Board.

ACTION: Regulatory negotiation
committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Architectural and
Transportation Barriers Compliance
Board (Access Board) has established a
regulatory negotiation committee to
develop a proposed rule on accessibility
guidelines for newly constructed and
altered outdoor developed areas covered
by the Americans with Disabilities Act
and the Architectural Barriers Act. This
document announces the dates, times,
and location of the next meeting of the
committee, which is open to the public.

DATES: The committee will meet on:
Wednesday, September 24, 1997, 2:00
p.m. to 6:00 p.m.; Thursday, September
25, 1997, 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; Friday,
September 26, 1997, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00
p.m.

ADDRESSES: The committee will meet at
the Holiday Inn, 611 Ocean Street, Santa
Cruz, California. On September 25,
1997, the committee will meet at
Beneficial Designs Inc., 5858 Empire
Grade, Santa Cruz, California from 4:00
p.m. to 5:30 p.m. for a presentation on
research projects related to outdoor
developed areas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Peggy Greenwell, Office of Technical
and Information Services, Architectural
and Transportation Barriers Compliance
Board, 1331 F Street, NW., suite 1000,
Washington, DC, 20004-1111.
Telephone number (202) 272-5434
extension 34 (Voice); (202) 272-5449
(TTY). This document is available in
alternate formats (cassette tape, braille,
large print, or computer disc) upon
request. This document is also available
on the Board's web site ([http://
www.access-board.gov/rules/
outdoor.htm](http://www.access-board.gov/rules/outdoor.htm)).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In June
1997, the Access Board established a
regulatory negotiation committee to
develop a proposed rule on accessibility
guidelines for newly constructed and
altered outdoor developed areas covered
by the Americans with Disabilities Act
and the Architectural Barriers Act. (62
FR 30546, June 4, 1997). The committee
will hold its next meeting on the dates
and at the location announced above.

The meeting is open to the public. The
meeting site is accessible to individuals
with disabilities. Individuals with
hearing impairments who require sign
language interpreters should contact
Peggy Greenwell by September 2, 1997,
by calling (202) 272-5434 extension 34
(voice) or (202) 272-5449 (TTY).

Lawrence W. Roffee,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 97-21281 Filed 8-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8150-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL137-1b; FRL-5868-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve
the State Implementation Plan (SIP)
revision request submitted by the State
of Illinois on May 14, 1996, for the
purpose of making a change to the
regulatory control period used in
Illinois' current 7.2 pounds per square
inch Reid Vapor Pressure rules for the
Metro-East St. Louis ozone
nonattainment area which includes
Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair
Counties. In addition, EPA is proposing
to approve a correction to the
identification number for the Clark Oil
Company listed in Illinois' Marine
Vessel Loading rule. In the final rules
section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA
is approving this action as a direct final
rule without prior proposal because
EPA views this as a noncontroversial
action and anticipates no adverse
comments. A detailed rationale for the
approval is set forth in the direct final
rule. If no adverse comments are
received in response to that direct final
rule, no further activity is contemplated
in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA
receives written adverse comments, the
direct final rule will be withdrawn and
all written public comments received
will be addressed in a subsequent final
rule based on the proposed rule. EPA
will not institute a second comment
period on this action. Any parties
interested in commenting on this notice
should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments on this
proposed rule must be received on or
before September 11, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should
be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief,

Regulation Development Section, Air
Programs Branch (AR18-J), U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard,
Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and
EPA's analysis of it are available for
inspection at: Regulation Development
Section, Air Programs Branch (AR18-J),
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard,
Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Francisco Acevedo, Regulation
Development Section, Air Programs
Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West
Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois
60604, (312) 886-6061.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For
additional information see the direct
final rule published in the rules section
of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 1, 1997.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-21141 Filed 8-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA 042-4067b; FRL-5874-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of Definitions for the Pennsylvania VOC and NO_x RACT and New Source Review Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the
State Implementation Plan (SIP)
revision submitted by the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. This
revision establishes definitions for
twenty-seven terms used in the new
source review and reasonably available
control technology (RACT) regulations.
The intended effect of this action is to
approve the definitions in Pennsylvania
regulation, Chapter 121.1. In the Final
Rules section of this **Federal Register**,
EPA is approving the State's SIP
revision as a direct final rule without
prior proposal because the Agency
views this as a noncontroversial SIP
revision and anticipates no adverse
comments. A detailed rationale for the
approval is set forth in the direct final
rule. If no adverse comments are
received in response to this proposed