average of 317 hours. The total annual average burden for all respondents is 1,968 hours. The total annual average cost for all respondents is $107,650. The bottom line burden hours for EPA to review a preauthorization application and a claim is 240 hours. The total annual average burden for EPA is 3,520 hours. The total annual average cost for EPA is $90,182.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: July 29, 1992.

Steven D. Luftig,

Director, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

[FR Doc. 97–20473 Filed 8–1–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–5868–1]

Risk Assessment and Risk Management Commission

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given that the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Commission, established as an Advisory Committee under section 303 of the clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, will cease to exist on August 29, 1997.

The Commission was formed to make a full investigation of the policy implications and appropriate uses of risk assessment and risk management in regulatory programs under various Federal laws to prevent cancer and other chronic human effects which may result from exposure to hazardous substances.

The Commission has issued a two-volume report. The first volume focuses on out Environmental Health Risk Management Framework and its implementation. This publication has been prepared for regulatory authorities and others who may participate in the risk management process as risk managers or stakeholders. Volume 2 addresses many other issues related to health and environmental risk-based decisions, including recommendations for specific federal regulatory programs and agencies.

Copies of the report can be obtained at the Riskworld website: http://www.riskworld.com. A printed copy of the report can be obtained from the Government Printing Office. The order desk phone number is 202–512–1800. Volume One: Framework for Environmental Health Risk Management, Stock Number 055–000–00567–2, price $6.00. Volume Two: Risk Assessment and Risk Management and Risk Management in Regulatory Decision-Making, Stock Number 055–000–00568–1, price $19.00. There is an additional 25% charge for foreign orders.

Dated: July 23, 1997

Gail Charnley,

Executive Director, Commission on Risk Assessment and Risk Management.

[FR Doc. 97–20474 Filed 8–1–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

[Public Notice 29]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Export-Import Bank of the United States.

ACTION: Submission for OMB Review; Comment request.

SUMMARY: In accordance with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a revision of a currently approved collection described below. A request for public comments was published in 62 FR, No. 88, 24926, May 7, 1997. No comments were received.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the paper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

DATES: Comments due on or before September 3, 1997.

OMB Number: 3048–0003.

Title and Form Number: U.S. Small Business Administration, Export-Import Bank of the United States, Joint Application for Working Capital Guarantee, EIB–SBA Form 84–1.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Need and Use: The information requested enables the applicant to provide Ex-Im Bank with information necessary to determine eligibility for the Working Capital Guarantee Program.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit—Not-for-profit institutions—Farms.

Respondents: Entities involved in the export of U.S. goods and services, including exporters, banks, and other non-financial lending institutions that act as facilitators.

Estimated Annual Respondents: 600.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 2 hours.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1,200 hours.

Frequency of Response: When applying for a guarantee.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these submissions may be obtained from Debbie Ambrose, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC., (202) 565–3313.

Comments and recommendations concerning the submissions should be sent to OMB Desk Officer, Victoria Wassmer, Office of Management and Budget, Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC. 20503, (202) 395–5871.

Dated: July 30, 1997.

Tamzen C. Reitan,

Agency Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–20456 Filed 8–1–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*)

(BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than August 29, 1997.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Lois Berthaume, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2713:

1. Northside Banking Corporation, Tampa, Florida; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Northside Bank of Tampa, Tampa, Florida.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 30, 1997.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 97–20465 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 962–3210]

Global World Media Corporation; Sean Shayan; Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joel Winston, Federal Trade Commission, S–4002, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326–3153.

Michelle Rusk, Federal Trade Commission, S–466, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326–3148.

Nancy Warder, Federal Trade Commission, S–4002, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326–3048.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46, and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the accompanying complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the Commission Actions section of the FTC Home Page (for July 29, 1997), on the World Wide Web, at ''http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions/htm.'' A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H–130, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–3627. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement to a proposed consent order from Global World Media Corporation (''GWMC''), the marketer of Herbal Ecstacy or Ecstacy (''Ecstacy''), and its owner, Sean Shayan [hereinafter sometimes referred to as respondents].

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for reception of public comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

The Commission's complaint in this matter concerns safety claims respondents made in advertising for Ecstacy, a dietary supplement that respondents promoted as a natural ''high'' and expressly likened to the illegal street drug MDMA. More specifically, the complaint alleges that respondents represented that Ecstacy, when taken in the recommended doses or other reasonably foreseeable amounts, is absolutely safe and has no side effects. The complaint explains that Ecstacy contains a botanical source of ephedrine alkaloids, which can have dangerous effects on the nervous system and heart. Thus, according to the complaint, the claim that Ecstacy is safe and side effect free is both false and unsubstantiated.

In addition, the complaint charges that respondents represented in their advertising for Ecstacy, including in ads that ran on cable programming stations with substantial youth audiences, such as *Nickelodeon* and *MTV*, that Ecstacy is a safe alternative to illegal drugs to produce euphoric, psychotropic (mind-altering), or sexual enhancement effects, but failed to disclose the health and safety risks of using the product. According to the complaint the undisclosed facts would be material to consumers and, therefore, respondents' omission of the facts about the health and safety risks of Ecstacy in their advertising is alleged to be a deceptive practice.

Finally, the complaint challenges an endorsement of Ecstacy's safety and lack of side effects contained in respondents' advertising and attributed to a Dr. Steven Jonson of Tel Aviv, Israel. According to the complaint, the endorsement is false because Dr. Jonson is a fictitious person.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to remedy the violations charged and to prevent respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I of the order prohibits (1) claims that Ecstacy or any other food, drug, or dietary supplement is safe or will cause no side effects; or (2) any other safety or