

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Occupational Safety and Health Administration****29 CFR Part 1910****[Docket No. S-012-B]****Review of the Control of Hazardous Energy Sources (Lockout/Tagout) Standard**

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

ACTION: Extension of time for filing public comments.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is conducting a review of the Control of Hazardous Energy Sources (Lockout/Tagout) standard in order to determine, consistent with Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review and section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, whether this standard should be maintained without change, rescinded, or modified in order to make it more effective or less burdensome, consistent with the objectives of the Occupational Safety and Health Act. The review will consider the application of Executive Order 12866 and the directive of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to achieve statutory goals with as little economic impact as possible on small employers.

OSHA published a **Federal Register** notice on May 29, 1997 requesting public comments concerning OSHA's review of the Lockout/Tagout standard (29 CFR 1910.147) and announcing a public meeting on June 30, 1997 (62 FR 29089, May 29, 1997). In the **Federal Register** notice announcing the public meeting, OSHA stated that it would accept written comments through August 1, 1997. In response to requests from persons commenting at the public meeting held on June 30, 1997, OSHA has granted a one week extension of the time period to file written comments.

DATES: Written comments will be accepted through August 8, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Docket Officer, Docket S-012-B, OSHA Docket Office, Room N2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, Telephone (202) 219-7894.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Dorris, Office of Regulatory Analysis, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N3627, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, Telephone (202) 219-4690, extension 134, Fax (202) 219-4383.

Authority: This document was prepared under the direction of Gregory R. Watchman, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of July, 1997.

Gregory R. Watchman,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-20107 Filed 7-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Occupational Safety and Health Administration****29 CFR Part 1910****[Docket No. H-200-C]****Review of the Ethylene Oxide Standard**

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

ACTION: Extension of time for filing public comments.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is conducting a review of the Ethylene Oxide standard in order to determine, consistent with Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review and section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, whether this standard should be maintained without change, rescinded, or modified in order to make it more effective or less burdensome in achieving its objectives, to bring it into better alignment with the objectives of Executive Order 12866, or to make it more consistent with the objectives of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to achieve regulatory goals while imposing as few burdens as possible on small employers.

OSHA published a **Federal Register** notice on May 27, 1997 requesting public comments concerning OSHA's review of the Ethylene Oxide standard (29 CFR 1910.1047) and announcing a public meeting on June 30, 1997 (62 FR 28649, May 27, 1997). In the **Federal Register** notice announcing the public meeting, OSHA stated that it would accept written comments through August 1, 1997. In response to requests from persons commenting at the public meeting held on June 30, 1997, OSHA has granted a one week extension of the time period to file written comments.

DATES: Written comments will be accepted through August 8, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Docket Officer, Docket H-200-C, OSHA Docket Office, Room N2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW.,

Washington, DC 20210, Telephone (202) 219-7894.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Dorris, Office of Regulatory Analysis, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N3627, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, Telephone (202) 219-4690, extension 134, Fax (202) 219-4383.

Authority: This document was prepared under the direction of Gregory R. Watchman, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of July, 1997.

Gregory R. Watchman,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-20108 Filed 7-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52****[LA-33-1-7343; FRL-5866-7]****Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality State Implementation Plans (SIP); Louisiana: Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance (I/M) Program**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed conditional approval, and proposed disapproval.

SUMMARY: The EPA previously published a **Federal Register** (FR) notice proposing conditional approval of the Louisiana I/M SIP. The notice was published on June 9, 1997 (62 FR 31388). The approval was conditioned on the State obtaining reauthorization and continuous operating authority for the I/M program, and program start-up on January 1, 1999. The State failed to obtain the necessary legislation during the 1997 regular Legislative Session. Consequently, EPA believes that conditional approval is no longer appropriate. Therefore, EPA is withdrawing its proposed conditional approval. At the same time, EPA is proposing disapproval of the revision to the I/M SIP submitted by the State of Louisiana on August 18, 1995 and May 30, 1996. This action is taken under section 110 of the Clean Air Act (the Act) as amended in 1990. The EPA is proposing a disapproval because the State has not obtained the legislative authority needed for reauthorization