

absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

Entergy Operations Inc., Docket No. 50-382, Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3, St. Charles Parish, Louisiana

Date of amendment request: July 10, 1997

Brief description of amendment: The amendment changes the Appendix A Technical Specifications by deleting the requirements of Surveillance Requirements (SR) 4.8.1.1.2.h.2 for the diesel fuel oil system. This change will result in testing of the diesel fuel oil system in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements.

Date of issuance: July 11, 1997

Effective date: July 11, 1997, with full implementation within 30 days.

Amendment No: 132

Facility Operating License No. NPF-38: Amendment revises the Technical Specifications. Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration: No. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment, finding of emergency circumstances, and final determination of no significant hazards consideration are contained in a Safety Evaluation dated July 11, 1997.

Attorney for licensee: N.S. Reynolds, Esquire, Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-3502

Local Public Document Room

location: University of New Orleans Library, Louisiana Collection, Lakefront, New Orleans, LA 70122

NRC Acting Project Director: James Clifford, Acting

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of July 1997.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Jack W. Roe,

Director, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

[Doc. 97-19910 Filed 7-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-F

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. A97-25, Order No. 1187]

In the Matter of: Webster Crossing, New York 14584, (Eleanor Wong, et al., Petitioners); Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule UNDER 39 U.S.C. § 404(b)(5)

Issued July 24, 1997.

Docket Number: A97-25.

Name of Affected Post Office: Webster Crossing, New York 14584.

Name(s) of Petitioner(s): Eleanor Wong, et al.

Type of Determination: Closing.

Date of Filing of Appeal Papers: July 18, 1997.

Categories of Issues Apparently Raised:

1. Effect on the community [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(A)].

2. Effect on postal services [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(C)].

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above. Or, the Commission may find that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues.

The Postal Reorganization Act requires that the Commission issue its decision within 120 days from the date this appeal was filed (39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)). In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service to submit memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. If requested, such memoranda will be due 20 days from the issuance of the request and the Postal Service shall serve a copy of its memoranda on the petitioners. The Postal Service may incorporate by reference in its briefs or motions, any arguments presented in memoranda it previously filed in this docket. If necessary, the Commission also may ask petitioners or the Postal Service for more information. The Commission orders:

(a) The Postal Service shall file the record in this appeal by August 1, 1997.

(b) The Secretary of the Postal Rate Commission shall publish this Notice and Order and Procedural Schedule in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Cyril J. Pittack,

Acting Secretary.

Appendix

July 18, 1997—Filing of Appeal letter.

July 24, 1997—Commission Notice and Order of Filing of Appeal.

August 12, 1997—Last day of filing of petitions to intervene [see 39 CFR 3001.111(b)].

August 22, 1997—Petitioners' Participant Statement or Initial Brief [see 39 CFR 3001.115 (a) and (b)].

September 11, 1997—Postal Service's Answering Brief [see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)].

September 26, 1997—Petitioners' Reply Brief should Petitioner choose to file one [see 39 CFR 3001.115(d)].

October 3, 1997—Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument. The Commission will schedule oral argument

only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings [see 39 CFR 3001.116]. November 15, 1997—Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule [see 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)].

[FR Doc. 97-20014 Filed 7-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC-22762; File No. 812-10676]

Oppenheimer & Co., L.P., et al.

July 24, 1997.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

ACTION: Notice of application for exemption under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act").

Applicants: Oppenheimer & Co., L.P. ("Opco"), Oppenheimer Group, Inc. ("Opgroup"), Oppenheimer Financial Corp. ("Opfin") (collectively, the "Oppenheimer Applicants"), The Emerging Markets Income Fund Inc. ("Emerging Market"), The Emerging Markets Income Fund II Inc. ("Emerging Market II"), The Emerging Markets Floating Rate Fund Inc. ("Emerging floating Rate"), Global Partners Income Fund Inc. ("Global Partners"), Municipal Partners Fund Inc. ("Municipal Partners"), Municipal Partners Fund II Inc. ("Municipal Partners II"), The Enterprise Group of Funds, Inc. ("Enterprise Fund"), Enterprise Accumulation Trust ("Enterprise Trust"), WNL Series Trust ("WNL"), Endeavor Series Trust ("Endeavor"), Penn Series Funds, Inc. ("Penn Fund"), The Preferred Group of Mutual Funds ("Preferred"), Select Advisors Portfolios ("Select Portfolios"), Select Advisors Variable Insurance Trust ("Select Trust"), Select Advisors Trust A ("Select A"), and Select Advisors Trust C ("Select C") (collectively, the "Companies").

Relevant Act Sections: Order requested under section 6(c) for an exemption from section 15(f)(1)(A).

Summary of Application: Applicants request an exemption from section 15(f)(1)(A) in connection with the proposed change in control of Oppenheimer Capital ("Opcapital"), Opcap Advisors ("Opcap"), and Advantage Advisers, Inc. ("Advantage," collectively with Opcapital and Opcap, the "Advisers"), each of which acts as investment adviser or subadviser to one or more of the Companies. Without the requested exemption, the Companies would have to reconstitute their boards of directors ("Boards") to meet the 75