

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. ER97-1220-001]

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company; Notice of Filing

July 14, 1997.

Take notice that on May 22, 1997, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced dockets.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 18, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-18926 Filed 7-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP97-617-000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

July 14, 1997.

Take notice that on July 2, 1997, Kern River Gas Transmission Company (Kern

River) 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in the above docket, a request pursuant to 18 CFR Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations, for authorization to construct and operate a new meter station to provide transportation deliveries for Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest) to new markets at the California/Nevada border in Clark County, Nevada, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Kern River proposes to construct own and operate the new Primm Meter Station at Milepost 578.2 on Kern River's pipeline in Section 6, Township 27 South, Range 59 East, Clark County, Nevada. Kern River states that the new meter station will consist of a 4-inch tap, one 2-inch turbine meter and appurtenances with a daily design capacity of approximately 6,000 Mcf per day at 650 psig.

Kern River further states that the cost to construct the Primm Meter Station is estimated to cost approximately \$230,000. Kern River indicates that pursuant to a Facilities Construction Agreement and the facilities reimbursement provisions of Kern River's tariff, Southwest will reimburse Kern River for the actual costs associated with the construction of the meter station.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no request is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity is deemed to be authorized effective on the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a

protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-18925 Filed 7-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP96-610-000]

Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc., Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Granite State LNG Project

July 14, 1997.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) on the construction and operation of the liquefied natural gas (LNG) peak shaving facility proposed by Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. in the above-referenced docket.

The FEIS was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with the mitigating measures we have recommended, including receipt of necessary permits and approvals, would have limited environmental impact and would be an environmentally acceptable action. The FEIS evaluates alternatives to the proposal, including system alternatives and alternative sites for the storage facility and the permanent access road. Solely from an environmental perspective, the use of capacity available in 1999 on proposed pipelines would be an environmentally preferable alternative to providing the proposed peak shaving capacity.