

**ADDRESSES:** Homewood Suites Hotel, 400 Griffin Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

**John K. Rabiej,**

*Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.*

[FR Doc. 97-18343 Filed 7-11-97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-01-M**

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

**DATES:** October 6-7, 1997.

**TIME:** 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Stein Eriksen Lodge, 7700 Stein Way, Park City, Utah.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

**John K. Rabiej,**

*Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.*

[FR Doc. 97-18344 Filed 7-11-97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-01-M**

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will be held each day from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**DATE:** October 13-14, 1997.

**ADDRESS:** Monterey Plaza Hotel, 400 Cannery Row, Monterey, California.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

**John K. Rabiej,**

*Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.*

[FR Doc. 97-18345 Filed 7-11-97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-01-M**

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Evidence will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will be held each day from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**DATE:** October 20-21, 1997.

**ADDRESS:** Charleston Place Hotel, 130 Market Street, Charleston, South Carolina.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

**John K. Rabiej,**

*Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.*

[FR Doc. 97-18346 Filed 7-11-97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Proposed Modified Final Judgment and Memorandum in Support of Modification

Notice is hereby given that a Motion to Modify, a Memorandum in Support of Modification, a proposed Modified Final Judgment and a Stipulation, and have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. MCI Communications Corporation and BT Forty-Eight Company* ("NewCo"), Civ. No. 94-1317 (TFH).

As set forth in the plaintiff's uncontested Motion and Memorandum

In Support of Modification, a number of factual and legal events have occurred since the entry of the existing Final Judgment, including British Telecommunications plc's ("BT") plan, announced last fall, to purchase the remaining 80% of MCI Communications Corporation ("MCI") for \$21 billion.

The existing final judgment, which stems from a 1994 acquisition by BT of 20% of MCI's stock, contains provisions designed to remedy allegations in the Complaint filed contemporaneously therewith, that BT would successfully act on its incentives to use its market power in the United Kingdom to discriminate in favor of MCI and/or BT's joint-venture with MCI, at the expense of other U.S. telecommunications carriers in the market for international telecommunications services between the U.S. and the U.K. and the global network services market. The proposed Modified Final Judgment retains and, in some cases, strengthens these protections in order to take into account the full integration of BT and MCI, as well as changed market conditions since the existing Final Judgment was entered. Specifically, the proposed Modified Final Judgment increases the amount of information that the merged entity, who is named as a party to the modified decree, is required to report in order to facilitate the detection of specific instances of discrimination and to provide evidence that could be used in support of complaints to the relevant U.S. and U.K. regulatory agencies. The proposed Modified Final Judgment also revises the confidentiality provisions of the existing decree in order to reduce the risk that confidential, competitively sensitive information that BT obtains in the course of its relationships with other U.S. telecommunications providers are not disclosed to MCI through the corporate parent or as a result of any subsequent corporate reorganization. The proposed Modified Final Judgment also extends the time period of the existing decree and enhances the Department's ability to monitor and enforce compliance with the decree by giving the Department access to the merged entity's documents and personnel, wherever located.

Public comment on the proposed Modified Final Judgment should be directed to Donald Russell, Chief, Telecommunications Task Force, Room 8104, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 555-4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Such comments and the Department's responses thereto will be filed with the Court. In its filing, the Department indicated that it would follow its standard 60-day comment period. On