

University Teachers in European History, submitted to the Division of Research and Education for projects at the May 1, 1997 deadline.

13. *Date:* July 28, 1997

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 430

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Fellowships for College Teachers and Independent Scholars in European History, submitted to the Division of Research and Education for projects at the May 1, 1997 deadline.

14. *Date:* July 29, 1997

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 430

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Fellowships for University Teachers in Music, Dance, Theater and Media, submitted to the Division of Research and Education for projects at the May 1, 1997 deadline.

15. *Date:* July 30, 1997

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 315

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Fellowships for University Teachers in Modern European Languages, Literatures and Criticism, submitted to the Division of Research and Education for projects at the May 1, 1997 deadline.

16. *Date:* July 31, 1997

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 415

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Fellowships for College Teachers and Independent Scholars in American History II, submitted to the Division of Research and Education for projects at the May 1, 1997 deadline.

17. *Date:* July 31, 1997

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 315

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Fellowships for University Teachers in American History and Studies II, submitted to the Division of Research and Education for projects at the May 1, 1997 deadline.

Nancy E. Weiss,

Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-17640 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Comment Request; Submission for OMB Review

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Comment request; submission for OMB review.

*Title of Collection:* NSF Surveys to Measure Customer Service Satisfaction.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This is the second notice for public comment, the first was published in the **Federal Register** on April 22, 1997 at Vol. 62, No. 77, page 19622. No comments were received from the public in response to the first notice.

**COMMENT DUE DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before August 4, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, N.W. Room 10235, Washington, D.C. 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gail A. McHenry, the NSF Reports Clearance Officer on (703) 306-1125 x2010 or send email to gmchenry@nsf.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### 1. Abstract

Executive Order 12862 requires that Federal agencies "survey customers to determine the kind and quality of services they want and their level of satisfaction with existing services." The National Science Foundation has an ongoing need to collect information from its customer community (primarily individuals and organizations engaged in science and engineering research and education) to get perceptions of the quality and kind of our grant-making and other services.

##### 2. Use of the Information

The purpose of these ongoing collections of customer satisfaction information is to assist NSF in continuously evaluating its operations in order to improve customer service.

##### 3. Expected Respondents

Respondents will primarily be individuals and organizations engaged in science and engineering research and education.

##### 4. Burden on the Public

The burden on the public will change according to the needs of each individual customer satisfaction survey, however, each survey is estimated to take approximately 30 minutes per response.

Dated: June 24, 1997.

Gail A. McHenry,

NSF Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-17535 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Comment Request; Submission for OMB Review

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Comment request; submission for OMB review.

*Title of Collection:* Baseline Data Collection for FastLane Project.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This is the second notice for public comment, the first was published in the **Federal Register** on April 21, 1997 at Vol. 62, No. 76, page 19358. No comments were received in response to the first notice. NSF is submitting this proposed collection to OMB for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice.

**COMMENT DUE DATE:** Written comments must be received on or before August 4, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, N.W., Room 10235, Washington, DC. 20503.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gail A. McHenry, the NSF Reports Clearance Officer on (703) 306-1125 x2010 or send email to gmchenry@nsf.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### 1. Abstract

The NSF FastLane project is a 3-year experimental program using advanced information technology to re-design and streamline the way NSF does business with the research community. The long-term goals of FastLane are to reduce administrative burden, lower costs, and increase access to information for the research and education communities. The National Science Foundation (NSF) designed this new collection effort to assemble baseline information from

research institutions about the administrative burden (time and cost measurements) and workflow processes associated with the preparation, submission, and post-award administration of proposals to NSF and other federal research funding agencies.

## 2. Use of the Information

The purpose of this study is to collect baseline data about administrative processes that NSF expects to improve with FastLane. NSF will then be able to gauge the impact of FastLane on specific administrative processes at research institutions by comparing out-year measurements to these baselines. The results of these comparisons will help to guide future FastLane development efforts.

## 3. Expected Respondents

The collection effort will involve approximately 55 institutions and will be conducted as a telephone survey. In the course of the survey, both administrative and research staff will be contacted at each institution.

## 4. Burden on the Public

The Foundation estimates that a total annual reporting and recordkeeping burden of 363 hours will result from the collection of information. The calculation is: 484 respondents (11 individuals per institution × 55 institutions × 80% estimated response rate) × 1 response (one-time survey) × 45 minutes/respondent = 363 hours.

Dated: June 24, 1997.

**Gail A. McHenry,**

*NSF Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 97-17536 Filed 7-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA 97-050]

### In the Matter of Mr. Lonnie Randall Wilson; Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)

#### I

Mr. Lonnie Randall Wilson, a contract insulator, was employed at American Electric Power Company's (Licensee) D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant facility. The Licensee is the holder of License Numbers DPR-58 and DPR-74, issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on October 25, 1974, and December 23, 1977, respectively. These licenses authorize the operation of D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2 in accordance with the conditions

specified therein. The facility is located on the Licensee's site in Bridgman, Michigan.

#### II

In accordance with 10 CFR 73.56, nuclear power plant licensees must conduct access authorization programs for individuals seeking unescorted access to protected and vital areas of the plant with the objective of providing high assurance that individuals granted unescorted access are trustworthy and reliable and do not constitute an unreasonable risk to the health and safety of the public. The unescorted access authorization program must include a background investigation which, at a minimum, verifies a person's true identity, verifies an individual's character and reputation, and develops information concerning an individual's employment and criminal histories. The decision to grant unescorted access authorization must be based on the licensee's review and evaluation of all pertinent information.

In order to be certified for unescorted access at the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant as a contractor employee, Mr. Wilson completed a security background screening questionnaire on December 11, 1993 and answered "no" to questions regarding whether he had ever tested positive for drugs or ever been removed or denied access to a nuclear power plant. Contrary to this response, on July 23, 1991, Mr. Wilson had been denied access to the Turkey Point Nuclear Station for testing positive for illegal drugs. In addition, Mr. Wilson gained unescorted access to the James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant by falsifying his New York Power Authority (NYPA) Personal History Questionnaire for Unescorted Access, dated January 7, 1992.

By deliberately falsifying information on his background questionnaire to gain unescorted access to the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Mr. Wilson was granted unescorted access during the periods February 11, 1994, through April 22, 1994, and September 19, 1994, through November 11, 1994. On November 16, 1994, Mr. Wilson returned to the Fitzpatrick Plant and again applied for access. Mr. Wilson deliberately falsified information on his NYPA Personal History Questionnaire for Unescorted Access dated November 16, 1994 in order to again be granted unescorted access at this plant. During the review process, Fitzpatrick security discovered that Mr. Wilson had tested positive for drug use at Turkey Point, and that Florida Power and Light Company had denied him unescorted access at that plant. When Mr. Wilson was

interviewed on November 18, 1994 by the Access Control Coordinator at Fitzpatrick, in reference to his background investigation, Mr. Wilson commented to the Access Control Coordinator that "it took three plants to finally catch him, he's made 30-40,000 dollars by lying and would do it again, I'm not the only one doing this." Although Mr. Wilson later denied making the statement that he would falsify access forms in the future to gain unescorted access to nuclear power plants, the Access Control Coordinator at Fitzpatrick documented, by an undated memorandum, that Mr. Wilson informed him that Mr. Wilson would lie again to gain unescorted access to nuclear power plants.

Mr. Wilson was prosecuted in the Western Judicial District of Michigan for making false statements on his access application at the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant. On March 10, 1997, Mr. Wilson was sentenced by Judge Robert H. Bell, U.S. District Court in Grand Rapids, Michigan to a 2-year probation, a \$2,000 fine, and other penalties for making false statements on his access application at D.C. Cook.

#### III

Based on the above, the NRC has concluded that Mr. Wilson engaged in deliberate misconduct by falsifying information contained in a background questionnaire by not stating that he failed a fitness-for-duty drug test and that he had been denied access to the Turkey Point Nuclear Power Station in July 1991. This falsified information was relied upon, in part, in granting Mr. Wilson unescorted access to the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant facility on two separate occasions in 1994, and at the Fitzpatrick Plant prior to 1994. Mr. Wilson's actions constitute a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2), which prohibits an individual from deliberately providing information to a licensee or contractor that the individual knows is inaccurate or incomplete in some respect material to the NRC. The information that Mr. Wilson provided regarding his background information was material because, as indicated above, licensees are required to consider such information in making unescorted access determinations in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 73.56.

The NRC must be able to rely on the Licensee, its contractors, and the Licensee and contractor employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information that is complete and accurate in all material respects. Mr. Wilson's actions in deliberately