

proposal, National Environmental Policy Act compliance is required. Also, because Reclamation lands are involved, it was agreed that Reclamation would be the lead agency for NEPA compliance. In 1991, Nevada residents approved by ballot a statewide wildlife and park bond earmarking \$13.3 million for the wetlands park project in Las Vegas Wash.

A critical need for the Las Vegas Wash is to control erosion. Flows in the upper reaches of the Wash and its tributaries are intermittent and occur primarily during storms. Flows in the lower reaches are primarily from treated wastewater effluent. The water from these two areas ultimately is discharged Lake Mead. As urban development continues throughout the Las Vegas Valley, the amount of impervious surface area and subsequent stormwater runoff increase. The increase in wastewater flows and stormwater runoff have accelerated erosion and channelization. In the last 25 years, wetlands have been reduced to approximately 50 acres. This erosion has resulted in 4 to 5 million cubic yards of sediment being deposited in Lake Mead.

Four alternatives are considered in the DEIS: Conservation, Recreation, Full Development, Integrated Alternative. The Conservation Alternative primarily purpose is to protect and enhance wildlife habitat. The Recreation Alternative primary purpose is to create a full range of recreation activities and wildlife viewing opportunities for people of all abilities. The Full Development alternative purpose would be to develop the area as a major environmental and recreational resource that emphasizes the enhancement of natural resources, recreational development, and major facilities for education and large numbers of visitors. The Integrated Alternative (preferred alternative) would be an environmental and recreational resource emphasizing habitat enhancement, and recreational/educational facilities for visitors.

A variety of impacts were addressed; among these were the following: geology, air quality, hydrology, water quality, biological resources, land use, transportation, noise, cultural resources, health & safety, and visual resources.

There are two major areas of controversy, and these are sediment quality and water use.

Dated: June 25, 1997

**Laura Herbranson,**

*Director, Resource Management and Technical Services.*

[FR Doc. 97-17271 Filed 7-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-360]

### International Harmonization of Customs Rules of Origin

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for public comments on draft proposals for chapters 85 and 90.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 26, 1997.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Eugene A. Rosengarden, Director, Office of Tariff Affairs and Trade Agreements (O/TA&TA) (202-205-2595), or Craig Houser, Nomenclature Analyst (202-205-2597).

Parties having an interest in particular products or HTS chapters and desiring to be included on a mailing list to receive available documents pertaining thereto should advise Diane Whitfield by telephone (202-205-2610) or by mail at the Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 404, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. The media should contact Margaret O'Laughlin in the Office of External Relations (202-205-1819).

#### Background

Following receipt of a letter from the United States Trade Representative (USTR) on January 25, 1995, the Commission instituted Investigation No. 332-360, International Harmonization of Customs Rules of Origin, under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (60 FR 19605, April 19, 1995).

The investigation is intended to provide the basis for Commission participation in work pertaining to the Uruguay Round Agreement on Rules of Origin (ARO), which has adopted along with the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO).

The ARO is designed to harmonize and clarify nonpreferential rules of origin for goods in trade on the basis of the substantial transformation test; achieve discipline in the rules' administration; and provide a framework for notification, review, consultation, and dispute settlement. These harmonized rules are intended to make country-of-origin determinations impartial, predictable, transparent, consistent, and neutral, and to avoid restrictive or distortive effects on international trade. The ARO provides that technical work to those ends will be undertaken by the Customs Cooperation Council (CCC) (now informally known as the World Customs Organization or

WCO), which must report on specified matters relating to such rules for further action by parties to the ARO.

Eventually, the WTO Ministerial Conference is to "establish the results of the harmonization work program in an annex as an integral part" of the ARO.

In order to carry out this work, the ARO called for the establishment of a Committee on Rules of Origin of the WTO, and a Technical Committee on Rules of Origin (TCRO) of the WCO. These Committees bear the primary responsibility for developing rules that achieve the objectives of the ARO.

A major component of the work program is the harmonization of origin rules for the purpose of providing more certainty in the conduct of world trade. To this end, the agreement contemplates a 3-year WCO program, which was formally initiated in July, 1995. Under the ARO, the TCRO is to undertake (1) to develop harmonized definitions of goods considered wholly obtained in one country, and of minimal processes or operations deemed not to confer origin, (2) to consider the use of change in Harmonized System classification as a means of reflecting substantial transformation, and (3) for those products or sectors where a change of tariff classification does not allow for the reflection of substantial transformation, to develop supplementary or exclusive origin criteria based on value, manufacturing or processing operations or other standards.

The draft U.S. proposed rules for the goods of:

Chapter 85—Electrical machinery and equipment and parts thereof; sound recorders and reproducers, television image and sound recorders and reproducers, and parts and accessories of such articles

Chapter 90—Optical, photographic, cinematographic, measuring, checking, precision, medical or surgical instruments and apparatus; parts and accessories thereof

of the Harmonized System that are being made available for public comment cover goods that are not considered to be wholly made in a single country. The rules rely largely on the change of heading as a basis for ascribing origin. Copies of the proposed revised rules will be available from the Office of the Secretary at the Commission, from the Commission's Internet home page (<http://www.usitc.gov>), or by submitting a request on the Office of Tariff Affairs and Trade Agreements voice messaging system (202-205-2592).

These proposals are intended to serve as the basis for the U.S. proposal to the

TCRO of WCO. The proposals may undergo change as proposals from other government administrations and the private sector are received and considered. Under the circumstances, the proposals should not be cited as authority for the application of current domestic law. If eventually adopted by the TCRO for submission to the Committee on Rules of Origin of the World Trade Organization, these proposals would comprise an important element of the ARO work program to develop harmonized, non-preferential country of origin rules, as discussed in the Commission's earlier notice. Thus, in view of the importance of these rules, the Commission seeks to ascertain the views of interested parties concerning the extent to which the proposed rules reflect the standard of substantial transformation provided in the Agreement.

In addition, the proposed draft rules released at this time do not contain any special provisions concerning the origin of goods classified either as unfinished articles or parts of articles and which undergo significant processing or assembly operations sufficient to result in a substantial transformation but which do not result in a change of classification. Comments are requested with respect to the extent that processing and/or assembly operations performed in those circumstances should be recognized as origin—conferring for purposes of these rules, particularly for chapters 84 through 90. Forthcoming Commission notices will advise the public on the progress of the TCRO's work and will contain any harmonized definitions or rules that have been provisionally or finally adopted.

#### Written Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written statements concerning this phase of the Commission's investigation. Written statements should be submitted as quickly as possible, and follow-up statements are permitted; but all statements must be received at the Commission within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in order to be considered. Again, the Commission notes that it is particularly interested in receiving input from the private sector on the effects of the various proposed rules and definitions on U.S. exports as well as imports. Commercial or financial information which a submitter desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each marked "Confidential Business Information" at

the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of § 201.6 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be available for inspection by interested persons. All submissions should be addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington DC 20436.

Issued: June 26, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-17368 Filed 7-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### President's Advisory Board on Race

**AGENCY:** United States Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General.

**ACTION:** President's Advisory Board on Race; Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The President's Advisory Board on Race will meet on July 14, 1997, at the White House Conference Center, 726 Jackson Place, Washington, DC. The meeting will start at 9:30 a.m. and end at approximately 3:00 p.m. Agenda items to be covered include: organizational matters for the Board and planning the work of the Board over the next several months. Expedited scheduling considerations for this initial meeting precluded the full notice period; however, timely advance notice is being provided to allow for appropriate public review and comment.

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first-seated basis. Interested persons are encouraged to attend. Members of the public may submit to the contact person, any time before or after the meeting, written statements to the Board. Written comments may be submitted by mail, telegram, or facsimile, and should contain the writer's name, address and commercial, government, or organizational affiliation, if any.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Comments or questions regarding this meeting may be directed to DeDe Greene, (202) 514-4224, or via facsimile, (202) 514-1783.

Dated: June 30, 1997.

**David W. Ogden,**

Associate Deputy Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 97-17509 Filed 7-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AR-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant To The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 17, 1997, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Erie Coatings & Chemicals, Inc. et al.*, Civil No. 95-75842, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. This Consent Decree resolves claims against twenty-two (22) parties ("Settling Parties") under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.* ("CERCLA") relating to the Erie Coatings & Chemicals, Inc. Superfund Site ("Site") in Erie, Michigan.

The Consent Decree requires the twenty-two (22) Settling Parties to reimburse the Superfund in the amount of \$950,000 for the United States' past costs incurred in conducting a removal action at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer in *United States v. Erie Coatings & Chemicals, Inc. et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-1070.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Michigan, 817 Federal Building, 231 West Lafayette, Detroit, Michigan 48226, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$26.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Bruce Gelber,**

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 97-17246 Filed 7-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M