the company believes that the workers should be certified eligible to apply for TAA.

The Department must examine the impact of imports of products like and directly competitive with articles produced at the subject firm. In this case, the workers at the Cookeville plant produced components. Small kitchen appliances cannot be considered like or directly competitive with armatures and fields for electric motors.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of June 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–16928 Filed 6–26–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–33,107]

Systems & Electronics, Incorporated West Plains, MO; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated March 26, 1997, the IAMAW Local #2782 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice applicable to workers of the subject firm located in West Plains, Missouri was signed on March 14, 1997 and published in the **Federal Register** on March 31, 1997 (62 FR 15199).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Findings of the initial investigation showed that workers of Systems & Electronics, Incorporated, located in West Plains, Missouri produced electronic sub-assemblies (Integrated Mail Handling System, Dual Pass Rough Cull, M1000 Tank Transporter, Patriot Canisters and M860A1 Semitrailers) primarily for the U.S. Army and the U.S. Postal Service. The Department's denial of TAA for workers of the subject firm was based on the fact ''that the contributed importantly'' test of the Group Eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met.

On reconsideration the Department of Labor surveyed the major declining customers of the subject firm regarding their purchases of Integrated Mail Handling System, Dual Pass Rough Cull, M1000 Tank Transporter, Patriot Canisters and M860A1 Semitrailers. The respondents reported no imports in the relevant period.

The investigation also revealed that the separation of the workers was because the company does not have a continuous product line but performs work on contracts as received and workers are separated as contracts are done.

In order to determine worker eligibility, the Department must examine imports of products like or directly competitive with those articles produced at the West Plains, Missouri location.

The request for reconsideration claims that the Department did not consider the contracts awarded to Mexico and Israeli Countries. Under reconsideration we learned that the contracts that was awarded to Mexico and Israel were done as a contract basis and those product were not imported back to the United States either by the company or by their customers.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of June, 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–16926 Filed 6–26–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the collection of the ETA 9048, Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Activity, and the ETA 9049, Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Outcomes. A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before August 26, 1997.

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology,

e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

The Department proposes to discontinue the count of one item, Appeals Filed on Refusal of Referral Issue. The counts reported was extremely small so it is felt that this breakout from all appeals is not needed.

ADDRESSES: Diane Wood, Unemployment Insurance Service, 200 Constitution Ave. N.W., Room S–4321, Washington, DC 20210; telephone 202–219–5340 x181; fax 202–219–8506 (these are not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services (WPRS) program allows for the targeting of reemployment services to those most in need. The ETA 9048 and ETA 9049 are the only means of tracking the activities in the WPRS program. The ETA 9048 reports on the flows of claimants through the various stages of the WPRS system from initial profiling through to completion of various types of services allowing for evaluation and monitoring of the program. The ETA 9049 gives a limited, but inexpensive, look at the reemployment experience of profiled claimants who were referred to services by examining the State's existing wage record files to see in what quarter the referred individuals show up in employment, what wages they are earning and if they have changed industries.

II. Current Actions

As the only continuous source of information on the WPRS program, the data is required to monitor and evaluate that program. No revisions are being requested. Because of a late start in the collection for the ETA 9049 report, there is no data to evaluate at this time. When several years of data are available for that report, it will be evaluated as to its continuing use and worth and decisions will be made as to whether to continue and/or change the data collected.

Type of Review: Extension with one minor change eliminating the requirement of one data element.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Activity, and Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Outcomes.

OMB Number: 1205–0353.

Agency Number: ETA 9048 and ETA 9049.

Affected Public: State Governments.

Total Respondents: 53.

Frequency: Quarterly.

Total Responses: 424.

Average Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 106 hours.

Report	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response	Burden
ETA 9048	53	Quarterly	212	.25 (hour)	53 (hours).
ETA 9049	53	Quarterly	212	.25 (hour)	53 (hours).
Totals	106		424		106 hrs.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining): At approximately $20 per hour average State salary, the State burden is estimated at $2,120 per year.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 23, 1997.

David Henson,

Deputy Director, Unemployment Insurance Service, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–16923 Filed 6–26–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA–01055]

Sunbeam Sunbeam Household Products—Cookeville Cookeville, TN; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening

On April 14, 1997, the Department, on its own motion reviewed the negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance applicable to workers of the Sunbeam Corporation located in Cookeville, Tennessee, signed on July 3, 1996 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 2, 1996 (61 FR 40454).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination because Sunbeam did not import small electric motors from Mexico or Canada, nor was there a shift of production to Mexico or Canada. Furthermore, the company made the decision to shift small electric motor production to another domestic facility.

New information provided by the company on reopening the investigation shows that the majority of small electric motor production at the Cookeville plant was shifted to Mexico.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that there was a shift in production from the workers' firm to Mexico of articles that are like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Sunbeam, Sunbeam Household Products-Cookeville, Cookeville, Tennessee who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 28, 1995 are eligible to apply for NAFTA–TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 12th day of June 1997.

Russell T. Kile,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97–16927 Filed 6–26–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and