

protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Tennessee to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-16829 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP91-203-064]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Refund Report

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 16, 1997, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing its Refund Report in the referenced proceeding related to the period from 1974 to 1984.

Tennessee states that it dispersed refunds, with interest, to its customers entitled to a refund on May 16, 1997 with detailed calculations supporting the refunded amount in accordance with the Stipulation in the above-referenced proceeding.

Tennessee states that a copy of this filing including Schedules 1 and 2 has been mailed to each affected state regulatory commission and to Tennessee's customers.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before June 30, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-16838 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-275-003]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 18, 1997, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets in compliance with the Commission's June 3, 1997 Order on Compliance and Rehearing in the above-referenced dockets (June 3 Order):

Third Revised Sheet No. 405A

Third Revised Sheet No. 405B

Third Revised Sheet No. 405C

Tennessee states that these tariff sheets set forth the revisions required by the June 3 Order to Tennessee's tariff provisions concerning the net present value (NPV) system for awarding generally available capacity on its system.

Tennessee further states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all intervening parties in the above-referenced dockets.

Any person desiring to protect this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of

the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-16839 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-573-000]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 12, 1997, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in Docket No. CP97-573-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to modify an existing receipt point by converting it to a delivery point and to construct and operate an additional delivery point, both located on Texas Eastern's 20-inch Line No. 2 in Lincoln Parish, Louisiana, under Texas Eastern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-535-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to utilize the facilities for deliveries of natural gas to PanEnergy Louisiana Intrastate Company (PELICO), a wholly-owned subsidiary of PanEnergy Corp. and an affiliate of Texas Eastern. It is stated that the existing receipt point is an 8-inch tap and that the proposed delivery point would be a 4-inch tap. The cost of the proposal is estimated at \$114,811, and it is stated that Texas Eastern will be fully reimbursed for the cost of converting and installing the facilities by PELICO. It is asserted that Texas Eastern will use the facilities to deliver up to 125 NMcf of gas per day to PELICO. It is asserted that the proposal is not prohibited by Texas Eastern's existing tariff and can be accomplished without detriment or disadvantage to Texas Eastern's other customers. It is further asserted that the service