

responses: 67,350. Total Annual burden requested: 307,065.

Dated: May 16, 1997.

**Willette Smith,**

*Acting Chief, Information Support Services Division, Office of Administrative Services, Bureau of Management.*

[FR Doc. 97-13861 Filed 5-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-M

**OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION**

**June 10, 1997; Board of Directors Meeting; Sunshine Act Meeting**

**TIME AND DATE:** Tuesday, June 10, 1997, 1:00 pm (open portion), 1:30 pm (closed portion).

**PLACE:** Offices of the Corporation, Twelfth Floor Board Room, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**STATUS:** Meeting open to the Public from 1:00 pm to 1:30 pm. Closed portion will commence at 1:30 pm (approx.).

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. President's Report.
2. Approval of March 11, 1997 Minutes (open portion).
4. Meeting schedule through March, 1998.

**FURTHER MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** (Closed to the Public 1:30 pm).

1. Finance Project in Guatemala.
2. Insurance Project in Brazil.
3. Pending Major Projects.
4. OPIC's Small Business Initiative.
5. OPIC's Reauthorization.
6. Africa Initiative.
7. Personnel Appointment.
8. Approval of March 11, 1997 Minutes (closed portion).

**CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:**

Information on the meeting may be obtained from Connie M. Downs at (202) 336-8438.

Dated: May 23, 1997.

**Connie M. Downs,**

*OPIC Corporate Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-14066 Filed 5-23-97; 12:32 pm]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act**

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR § 50.7 and 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Shiny Rock Mining Corporation*, Civil Action No. 97-764-

JO, was lodged on May 20, 1997 with the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. The proposed consent decree resolves claims against Shiny Rock Mining Corporation (Shiny Rock) and Persis Corporation (Persis) under Sections 107 and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613 (CERCLA), for response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (Forest Service) to address releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at or from the Shiny Rock Amalgamated Mill Site, Marion County, Oregon (Site). In a complaint filed contemporaneously with the lodging of the proposed consent decree, the United States alleged that defendants Shiny Rock and Persis are liable under CERCLA as owners or operators of the Site at the time hazardous substances were disposed of at the Site.

The proposed consent decree provides that defendants will pay \$112,500 to the United States for the past and future response costs incurred and to be incurred by the Forest Service and will perform the Remedial Action as set forth in the March 19, 1997 Record of Decision (ROD) issued by the Forest Service. The proposed consent decree also provides that the Forest Service will contribute up to \$750,000 in federal funding towards the costs associated with the implementation of the Remedial Action. In addition, the proposed consent decree provides that the United States covenants not to sue defendants under Sections 106, 107, and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613, and that defendants will receive contribution protection under Section 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613.

DOJ will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Shiny Rock Mining Corporation*, DPK Ref/ #90-11-2-1047.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 888 Southwest 5th Avenue, Suite 1000, Portland, Oregon; Willamette National Forest, 211 E. 7th Ave., Eugene, Oregon; Detroit Ranger Station, Highway 22, Mill City, Oregon; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of

the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$26.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), for a copy of the proposed consent decree only or \$51.75, for a copy of the proposed consent decree with appendices, payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Joel M. Gross,**

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section.*

[FR Doc. 97-13859 Filed 5-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Mine Safety and Health Administration**

**Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; 30 CFR 77.1901, Records of Preshift and Onshift Inspections of Slope and Shaft Areas**

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed reinstatement of the information collection related to Records of Preshift and Onshift Inspections of Slope and Shaft Areas. MSHA is particularly interested in comments which:

\* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

\* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;