

currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7315.11.00 through 7619.90.00. HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Clarification of Cash Deposit Instructions

Since final results for a more current review period, April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995, were also published on December 4, 1996, the cash deposit instructions contained in that notice (61 FR 64322) supersede the cash deposit instructions contained in the December 4, 1996, final results for the reviews covering April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993, and April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994 (61 FR 64328) and will apply to all shipments of subject merchandise to the United States entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 4, 1996. The dumping margins resulting from the April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993 POR and the April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994 POR will have no effect on the cash deposit rate for any firm. The results of the 1993-1994 review will be used for liquidation of shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994 POR only. The results of the 1992-1993 review will be used for liquidation of shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the April 1, 1992 through March 31, 1993 POR only.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28.

Dated: May 13, 1997.

**Jeffrey P. Bialos,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 97-13811 Filed 5-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-083]

#### Notice of Court Decision: Certain Iron Metal Castings From India

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration/Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of court decision.

**SUMMARY:** On May 7, 1997, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the International Trade

Administration's remand determination regarding the application of Item (d) of the Illustrative List of Export Subsidies (Annex I of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures) to the Indian Government's International Price Reimbursement Scheme.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 27, 1997.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rick Herring or Robert Copyak, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2786 or (202) 482-2209.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

In the 1985 administrative review of *Certain Iron-Metal Castings From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 55 FR 50747 (December 10, 1990), the Department had interpreted Item (d) of the Illustrative List of Exports Subsidies as requiring that under the Indian Government's International Price Reimbursement Scheme (IPRS), ocean freight be included in the determination of the international price of pig iron. Under the IPRS program, the Indian Government rebates to castings exporters the difference between the price of domestically-sourced pig iron and the international price. However, in *Creswell Trading Co. v. United States*, Slip Op. 96-137 (CIT Aug. 15, 1996), the court again remanded the final results of the 1985 review and, among other things, directed the Department to exclude ocean freight in determining the international price of pig iron. The Department's subsequent remand determination reflected the Court's instructions and was affirmed in *Creswell Trading Co. v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-54 (CIT May 7, 1997).

In its decision in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(e) the Department must publish a notice of a court decision which is not "in harmony" with a Department determination, and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's opinion in *Creswell Trading Co. v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-54 (CIT May 7, 1997), constitutes a decision not in harmony with the Department's final results of countervailing duty administrative review. Publication of this notice fulfills the *Timken* requirement.

Accordingly, the Department will continue to suspend liquidation pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or, if appealed, upon a "conclusive" court decision.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

**Jeffrey P. Bialos,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 97-13809 Filed 5-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-559-001]

#### Certain Refrigeration Compressors From the Republic of Singapore; Extension of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration/Import Administration/Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for its preliminary results in the administrative review of the agreement suspending the countervailing duty investigation on certain refrigeration compressors from the Republic of Singapore. The review covers the period April 1, 1995, through March 31, 1996.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 27, 1997.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Bolling or Jean Kemp, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3793.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time limit, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results to no later than December 2, 1997, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). (See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa on file in the public file of the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department of Commerce).

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the URAA (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).