

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Acquisition on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At that time the Board will examine the substance, interrelationships, and the U.S. national security implications of one critical area identified and tasked to the Board by the Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense, and Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology. The subject area is: DoD Responses to Transnational Threats. The period of study is anticipated to culminate in the formulation of specific recommendations to be submitted to the Secretary of Defense, via the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, for his consideration in determining resource policies, short- and long-range plans, and in shaping appropriate implementing actions as they may affect the U.S. national defense posture.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that this DSB meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13104 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Advanced Modeling and Simulation for Analyzing Combat Concepts in the 21st Century

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Advanced Modeling and Simulation for Analyzing Combat Concepts in the 21st Century will meet in closed session on May 21-22, 1997 at Kirtland AFB, New Mexico. In order for the Task Force to obtain time sensitive classified briefings, critical to the understanding of the issues, this meeting is scheduled on short notice.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology

on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will address modeling and simulation capabilities required for analyzing concepts for 21st century military combat operations. These capabilities should encompass the breadth of warfare from strategic to individuals fighting afoot for all phases of military operations (Air, Land, Sea, Information, Communications).

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c) (1) (1994), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13105 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Stealth Technology and Future S&T Investments

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Stealth Technology and Future S&T Investments will meet in closed session on May 16, June 3-4, and July 8-9, 1997 at Science Applications International Corporation, 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia. In order for the Task Force to obtain time-sensitive classified briefings, critical to the understanding of the issues, these meetings are scheduled on short notice.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will explore the relationships between low observable and electronic warfare technologies in providing future weapon system survivability.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that these DSB Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5

U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1994), and that accordingly these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13106 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces Proposed Rule Changes

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Changes to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the following proposed changes to Rules 15(f), 8(f), 19 (d) and (e), 25, and 27 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces for public notice and comment:

Proposed Revision to Rule 15

Rule 15. Disciplinary Action

Revise Subsection (f) as Follows

(f)(1) (as text presently is in current Rule 15(f)).

(f)(2) [new] When it has been shown to the Court that a member of the Bar of the Court has been convicted by court-martial or by other court of competent jurisdiction of conduct which evidences a failure to comply with the Model Rules of Professional Conduct and such conviction has become final, the Court may, in lieu of the complaint and investigative procedures set forth in subsections (b) through (e), initiate a disciplinary action under this rule by issuance of an order to such person to show cause why the person should not be disbarred. Upon the filing of the member's answer to an order to show cause, or upon expiration of 30 days if no answer is filed, the Court will set the matter for hearing, giving the member due notice thereof, or enter such other order as may be deemed appropriate; but no order of disbarment or suspension will be entered except with the concurrence of a majority of the judge participating.

Proposed Revisions to Rules 8(f), 19 (d) and (e), 25 and 27

Rule 8. Parties

Amend Rule 8(f) to read as follows:

(f) The party or parties filing a petition for extraordinary relief with the