

requirements of section 155 of P.L. 104-164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ms. J. Hurd, DSAA/COMPT/FPD, (703) 604-6575.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 97-15, with attached transmittal, policy justification, and sensitivity of technology pages.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

Defense Security Assistance Agency

Honorable Newt Gingrich
Speaker of the House of Representatives,
Washington, DC 20515-6501

Dear Mr. Speaker: Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 97-15, concerning the Department of the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance (LOA) to Australia for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$27 million. A notification for Section 36(c)(1), of the Arms Export Control Act, will be forwarded separately, by State Department, regarding the direct commercial sale for the upgrade of these helicopters to the SH-2G configuration. Soon after this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to notify the news media.

Sincerely,

Thomas G. Rhame,

Lieutenant General, USA, Director.

Attachments—Same ltr to:

House Committee on International Relations

Senate Committee on Appropriations
Senate Committee on Foreign Relations
House Committee on National Security
Senate Committee on Armed Services
House Committee on Appropriations

Transmittal No. 97-15

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

- (i) *Prospective Purchaser:* Australia.
- (ii) *Total Estimated Value:*

	Million
Major Defense Equipment*	\$24
Other	3
Total	27

*As defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

(iii) *Description of Articles or Services Offered:* Twenty-nine excess SH-2F/G LAMPS MK 1 helicopters, spare and repair parts, support equipment, personnel training and training equipment, publications and technical data, and technical support and other related elements of logistics support.

- (iv) *Military Department:* Navy (SCE)
- (v) *Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid:* None.

(vi) *Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold:* See Annex attached.

(vii) *Date Report Delivered to Congress:* May 9, 1997.

Policy Justification

Australia—SH-2F/G LAMPS MK 1 Helicopters

The Government of Australia has requested the purchase of 29 excess SH-2F/G LAMPS MK 1 helicopters, space and repair parts, support equipment, personnel training equipment, publications and technical data, and technical support and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is \$27 million.

This sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been and continues to be an important force for political stability and economic progress in the Pacific region.

The Royal Australian Navy will use these helicopters in a maritime patrol for surface surveillance and defense. Australia will have no difficulty absorbing these helicopters into its armed forces.

The sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractor will be Kaman Aerospace Corporation, Bloomfield, Connecticut. There are no offset agreements proposed to be entered into in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this sale will not require the assignment of any additional U.S. Government personnel or contractor representatives to Australia.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this sale.

Transmittal No. 97-15—Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(B)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex—Item No. vi

(vi) *Sensitivity of Technology:*
1. The SH-2F/G LAMPS MK 1 ASW helicopter configuration proposed for this sale will not contain any classified equipment or components.

2. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware in this sale, the information could be used to develop countermeasures which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

3. A determination has been made that the recipient country can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the sensitive technology being released as the U.S. Government. This sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification.

[FR Doc. 97-13111 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Board of Visitors Meeting

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition University.

ACTION: Board of visitors meeting.

SUMMARY: The next meeting of the Defense Acquisition University (DAU) Board of Visitors (BoV) will be held at the Defense Systems Management College (DSMC), 9000 Belvoir Road, Building 184, Fort Belvoir, Virginia on Friday, June 20, 1997 from 0830 until 1600. The purpose of this meeting is to report back to the BoV on continuing items of interest; discuss the DAU technology-based education initiatives; and present the DAU Vision. The agenda will include continuing discussions concerning acquisition research, development of faculty productivity measures, and the development of the DAU vision and strategic program plan.

The meeting is open to the public; however, because of space limitations, allocation of seating will be made on a first-come, first served basis. Persons desiring to attend the meeting should call Mrs. Joyce Reniere at (703) 805-5134.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13109 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board will meet in closed session on August 4-15, 1997 at the Beckman Center, Irvine, California.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Acquisition on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At that time the Board will examine the substance, interrelationships, and the U.S. national security implications of one critical area identified and tasked to the Board by the Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense, and Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology. The subject area is: DoD Responses to Transnational Threats. The period of study is anticipated to culminate in the formulation of specific recommendations to be submitted to the Secretary of Defense, via the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, for his consideration in determining resource policies, short- and long-range plans, and in shaping appropriate implementing actions as they may affect the U.S. national defense posture.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that this DSB meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13104 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Advanced Modeling and Simulation for Analyzing Combat Concepts in the 21st Century

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Advanced Modeling and Simulation for Analyzing Combat Concepts in the 21st Century will meet in closed session on May 21-22, 1997 at Kirtland AFB, New Mexico. In order for the Task Force to obtain time sensitive classified briefings, critical to the understanding of the issues, this meeting is scheduled on short notice.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology

on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will address modeling and simulation capabilities required for analyzing concepts for 21st century military combat operations. These capabilities should encompass the breadth of warfare from strategic to individuals fighting afoot for all phases of military operations (Air, Land, Sea, Information, Communications).

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c) (1) (1994), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13105 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Stealth Technology and Future S&T Investments

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Stealth Technology and Future S&T Investments will meet in closed session on May 16, June 3-4, and July 8-9, 1997 at Science Applications International Corporation, 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia. In order for the Task Force to obtain time-sensitive classified briefings, critical to the understanding of the issues, these meetings are scheduled on short notice.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will explore the relationships between low observable and electronic warfare technologies in providing future weapon system survivability.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that these DSB Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5

U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1994), and that accordingly these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: May 14, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97-13106 Filed 5-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces Proposed Rule Changes

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Changes to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the following proposed changes to Rules 15(f), 8(f), 19 (d) and (e), 25, and 27 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces for public notice and comment:

Proposed Revision to Rule 15

Rule 15. Disciplinary Action

Revise Subsection (f) as Follows

(f)(1) (as text presently is in current Rule 15(f)).

(f)(2) [new] When it has been shown to the Court that a member of the Bar of the Court has been convicted by court-martial or by other court of competent jurisdiction of conduct which evidences a failure to comply with the Model Rules of Professional Conduct and such conviction has become final, the Court may, in lieu of the complaint and investigative procedures set forth in subsections (b) through (e), initiate a disciplinary action under this rule by issuance of an order to such person to show cause why the person should not be disbarred. Upon the filing of the member's answer to an order to show cause, or upon expiration of 30 days if no answer is filed, the Court will set the matter for hearing, giving the member due notice thereof, or enter such other order as may be deemed appropriate; but no order of disbarment or suspension will be entered except with the concurrence of a majority of the judge participating.

Proposed Revisions to Rules 8(f), 19 (d) and (e), 25 and 27

Rule 8. Parties

Amend Rule 8(f) to read as follows:

(f) The party or parties filing a petition for extraordinary relief with the