

submitted as such to the Postal Service by a Product/Service Provider.

Section 502.30 *Provider Infrastructure* (published in the **Federal Register** of March 28, 1997, on page 14842, incorrectly references the Information Based Indicia Program Product/Service Provider Infrastructure Specifications. The first sentence of § 502.30 is hereby corrected as follows: "The Provider must establish and maintain an interface to USPS systems."

These proposed regulation changes are not intended to change any of the current published requirements for the approval and distribution of postage meters (closed systems).

The rest of the document was correct as published.

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 97-12268 Filed 5-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 60, 63, 260, 261, 264, 265, 266, 270, and 271

[FRL-5824-3]

### Revised Technical Standards for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities; Correction

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency published a notice of data availability and invitation for comment in the **Federal Register** of May 2, 1997, on the following information pertaining to the proposed revised standards for hazardous waste combustors (61 FR 17358 (April 19, 1996)): Report on the status of setting national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPS) based on the revised emissions database; report on the selection of pollutants and source categories, including area and major sources; report on the status of various implementation issues, including compliance dates, compliance requirements, performance testing, and notification and reporting requirements; and report on the status of permit requirements, including waste minimization incentives. The notice inadvertently omitted four paragraphs and contained six incorrect numbers.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry Denyer, Office of Solid Waste (5302W), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, 703-308-8770, e-mail address: denyer.larry@epamail.epa.gov.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 2, 1997, in FR Vol. 62, No. 85, insert the following four paragraphs of text between the last paragraph on page 24240 and the first paragraph on page 24241:

Option 1: Eliminate DRE Testing Except for Potentially Problematic Sources. Under this option, DRE testing would be waived for all sources, except those that are considered to be potentially problematic—sources that inject hazardous waste into the combustor at locations other than the flame zone. Thus, for example, the source discussed above that injected waste into an unfired afterburner (and failed to achieve 99.99% DRE at low CO and HC levels) would be required to perform DRE testing under this option.

Option 2: Single DRE Test for All Sources or Only for Potentially Problematic Sources. Under this option, either all or only potentially problematic sources (as defined above) would be required to perform a single DRE test, unless the facility undergoes a major modification of pollution control equipment, process change, or waste feed composition that could significantly affect combustion performance. We request comment on how to determine when such a change is about to occur and thus trigger the need for a DRE test. One approach is to rely on the requirements for applying for a revised Title V or RCRA permit modification (Class 2 or 3) to identify changes warranted a DRE re-test.

Option 3: Periodic DRE Testing for All Sources or Only for Potentially Problematic Sources. Under this option, all or specific sources must perform a periodic demonstration of DRE. Potential frequencies under consideration are a five, ten or twenty year frequency. The purpose of these tests would be to confirm that the unit is still achieving a high level of combustion performance over the life of the unit. As for option 2, a DRE test would have to be performed at any time that a major change to the facility occurred that could significantly affect combustion performance.

The Agency specifically invites comment on these options for waiving DRE testing. In addition, note that these options are not mutually exclusive.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 2, 1997, in FR Vol. 62, No. 85, on page 24232, in the last paragraph, in two different sentences, correct the number 15 to read: 20.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 2, 1997, in FR Vol. 62, No. 85, on page 24232, in the last paragraph, correct the number 33 to read: 47.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 2, 1997, in FR Vol. 62, No. 85, on page 24233, in the first partial paragraph, correct the number 15 to read: 20.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 2, 1997, in FR Vol. 62, No. 85, on page 24233, in the third full paragraph, correct the number 15 to read: 20.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of May 2, 1997, in FR Vol. 62, No. 85, on page 24233, in the third full paragraph, correct the number 33 to read: 47.

Dated: May 5, 1997.

Elizabeth Cotsworth,

Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. 97-12377 Filed 5-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-5824-5]

### National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Source Category List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPR).

**SUMMARY:** The Clean Air Act (Act) requires the EPA to list (for regulation under section 112 of the Act) all categories of major sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP's), and categories of area sources if they present a threat of adverse effects to human health or the environment. The EPA has listed many sources categories, but has yet to list or regulate research and development (R&D) facilities. Today's notice provides advance notice that the EPA intends to list R&D, and solicits comments and information on the best way to list and regulate such sources.

**DATES:** *Comments.* Comments must be received on or before June 11, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** *Comments.* Comments should be submitted (in duplicate) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention: Docket No. A-97-11, U.S.