

D.C. 20013-7127. Written comments should be submitted by May 15, 1997.

**Carol D. Shull,**

*Keeper of the National Register.*

#### ALASKA

Valdez-Cordova Borough-Census Area  
Kanski's,  
Skookum Rd., mi. 42, approximately  
2 mi. N of the Nabesna Mine, Slana  
vicinity, 97000432

#### AMERICAN SAMOA

Tutuila Island, Western District  
Site AS-31-72, Address Restricted,  
Faleniu vicinity, 97000431

#### FLORIDA

Charlotte County  
Mott Willis Store, 22960 Bayshore  
Rd., Charlotte Harbor, 97000434  
Lake County  
Norton, Gould Hyde, House, 1390 E.  
Lakeview Dr., Eustis, 97000433

#### ILLINOIS

Cook County  
Silversmith Building, 10 S. Wabash  
Ave., Chicago, 97000435

#### KANSAS

Cowley County  
Yount, George W., Barn, 1 mi. E of US  
77, approximately 2.5 mi. N of  
Winfield, Winfield vicinity,  
97000436

#### LOUISIANA

Caddo Parish  
Shreveport Commercial Historic  
District (Boundary Increase),  
Roughly bounded by Commerce,  
Travis, Common, and Lake Sts.,  
Shreveport, 97000437

#### MASSACHUSETTS

Plymouth County  
Grand Army of the Republic Hall, 34  
School St., Rockland, 97000438  
Worcester County  
Fruitlands Museums Historic District,  
102 Prospect Hill Rd., Harvard,  
97000439

#### MINNESOTA

Hennepin County  
Handicraft Guild Building, 89 S. 10th  
St., Minneapolis, 97000440  
Ramsey County  
Dairy Building, North Oaks Farm, Red  
Barn Rd., jct. with Hill Farm Cir.,  
North Oaks, 97000441

#### MISSOURI

Taney County  
Bonniebrook Homestead, US 65,  
Walnut Shade vicinity, 84002720

#### NEW YORK

Jefferson County

Church of Saint Lawrence (Historic  
Churches of the Episcopal Diocese  
of Central New York), Fuller St., jct.  
with Sisson St., Alexandria Bay,  
97000442

#### OKLAHOMA

Oklahoma County  
Douglas DC-3 Airplane, N-34, 6500  
S. MacArthur Blvd., Hangar 10,  
Oklahoma City, 97000443

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

Dorchester County  
Old White Meeting House Ruins and  
Cemetery, SC 642, approximately .5  
mi. SE of jct. with SC 165,  
Summerville vicinity, 97000445

#### TEXAS

Tarrant County  
Grapevine Commercial Historic  
District (Boundary Increase)  
(Grapevine MPS), 300 and 400  
blocks of S. Main St., Grapevine,  
97000444

#### VIRGINIA

Albemarle County  
Clark, George Rogers, Sculpture (Four  
Monumental Figurative Outdoor  
Sculptures in Charlottesville MPS),  
Monument Square, bounded by  
University and Jefferson Park Aves.  
and the railroad tracks,  
Charlottesville, 97000448  
Charlottesville Independent City,  
Jackson, Thomas Jonathan, Sculpture  
(Four Monumental Figurative  
Outdoor Sculptures in  
Charlottesville MPS), Jackson Park,  
bounded by High, Jefferson, and 4th  
Sts., and Albemarle Co. Courthouse,  
Charlottesville, 97000446  
Lee, Robert Edward, Sculpture (Four  
Monumental Figurative Outdoor  
Sculptures in Charlottesville MPS)  
Lee Park, bounded by Market,  
Jefferson, 1st and 2nd Sts., NE.,  
Charlottesville, 97000447  
Lewis, Meriwether and William Clark,  
Sculpture (Four Monumental  
Figurative Outdoor Sculptures in  
Charlottesville MPS), Jct. of Ridge  
and W. Main Sts., and McIntire Rd.,  
Charlottesville, 97000449

#### WASHINGTON

Spokane County  
Desmet Avenue Warehouse Historic  
District, Roughly, N side of Desmet  
Ave., from Pearl St. to US 395-2,  
Spokane, 97000450

[FR Doc. 97-11113 Filed 4-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

#### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-748 (Final)]

**Engineered Process Gas Turbo-Compressor Systems, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, and Whether Complete or Incomplete From Japan; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing In Camera**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing to the public.

**SUMMARY:** Upon request of a respondent in the above-captioned final investigation, the Commission has unanimously determined to conduct a portion of its hearing scheduled for April 24, 1997 in camera. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission unanimously has determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cynthia P. Johnson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3098. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission believes that the respondents have justified the need for a closed session. A full discussion regarding the financial condition and related proprietary data of the industry and the bids for individual projects in this investigation can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held in camera. Because much of this information is not publicly available, any discussion of issues relating to this information will necessitate disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI). Thus, such discussions can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held in camera. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by petitioner and by respondent, with questions from the Commission. In addition, the hearing will include an in camera session for a

presentation that discusses only the financial data submitted and information on bids for individual projects and for questions from the Commission relating to the BPI, followed by an in camera rebuttal presentation by petitioners. Testimony by industry representatives and questioning by the Commissioners and Staff will be permitted during the in camera session. Industry representatives will not be allowed to be present during the testimony or questioning of other industry representatives or when another firm's BPI is being discussed. For any in camera session the room will be cleared of all persons except those who are presently testifying or being questioned or who have been granted access to BPI under a Commission administrative protective order (APO) and are included on the Commission's APO service list in this investigation. See 19 CFR 201.35(b)(1), (2). The time for the parties' presentations and rebuttals in the in camera session will be taken from their respective overall allotments for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the in camera portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.

**Authority:** The General Counsel has certified, pursuant to Commission Rule 201.39 (19 CFR 201.39) that, in her opinion, a portion of the Commission's hearing in *Engineered Process Gas Turbo-Compressor Systems, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, and Whether Complete or Incomplete, from Japan*, Inv. No. 731-TA-748 (Final) may be closed to the public to prevent the disclosure of BPI.

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: April 23, 1997.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-11150 Filed 4-29-97; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Heavy Forged Handtools From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for comments regarding the institution of a section 751(b) review investigation concerning the Commission's affirmative determinations in investigation No. 731-TA-457 (Final), *Heavy Forged Handtools from the People's Republic of China*.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission invites comments from the public on whether

changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of an investigation pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)) (the Act) to review the Commission's affirmative determinations in the above investigation. The purpose of the proposed review investigation is to determine whether partial revocation of the existing antidumping order on imports of heavy forged handtools from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the affected domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. 19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)(1). In particular, the Commission must determine whether, in the absence of an antidumping order covering these products, subject imports of picks and mattocks from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry producing such products.<sup>1</sup> Picks and mattocks are provided for in subheading 8201.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vera Libeau (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov> or <ftp://ftp.usitc.gov>).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background.

On February 11, 1991, the Commission issued an affirmative injury determination with respect to picks and mattocks in the context of its determinations in *Heavy Forged Handtools from the People's Republic of China*, Inv. No. 731-TA-457 (Final) (56 F.R. 7060, Feb. 21, 1991). The Commission made four separate affirmative determinations covering the

<sup>1</sup> In the 1991 investigation of heavy forged handtools from China, the Commission found four separate like products corresponding to the four classes or kinds of articles defined by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be within the scope of investigation. One of the four like products found was "picks and mattocks, with or without handles" (digging tools). Accordingly, the Commission found a separate domestic industry producing these products.

following classes or kinds of heavy forged handtools: (1) Hammers and sledges, with heads weighing two pounds or more, with or without handles (striking tools); (2) all bar tools, track tools, and wedges (bar tools); (3) picks and mattocks, with or without handles (digging tools); and, (4) axes, adzes and hewing tools, other than machetes, with or without handles (hewing tools). Commerce issued an antidumping order covering all four categories of tools.

On April 16, 1997, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination with respect to picks and mattocks in light of changed circumstances (the request), pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request was filed by counsel on behalf of Olympia Industrial, Inc. (Olympia), a major importer and distributor of heavy forged handtools, including picks and mattocks. The alleged changed circumstances include: (1) Cessation of U.S. production of picks and mattocks, at least for commercial markets; (2) lack of competition between imports and U.S.-made picks and mattocks; (3) the argument that any production decline in the United States since imposition of the antidumping order is not the "natural and direct result" of the order, and; (4) the argument that prices of imports of picks and mattocks from nonsubject countries, such as Mexico, Poland, and India, are lower than prices of imports of picks and mattocks from China.

#### Written Comments Requested

Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. 207.45(b)), the Commission requests comments concerning whether the alleged changed circumstances are sufficient to warrant institution of a review investigation.

#### Written Submissions

In accordance with section 201.8 of the Commission's rules (19 C.F.R. 201.8), the signed original and 14 copies of all written submissions must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20436. All comments must be filed no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Commission's determination regarding initiation of a review investigation is due within 30 days of the close of the comment period. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request business confidential treatment under section 201.6 of the Commission's rules