

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Notice of Cases Filed; Week of February 3 Through February 7, 1997

During the Week of February 3 through February 7, 1997, the appeals,

applications, petitions or other requests listed in this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in any of these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of publication of this Notice or the date of

receipt of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585-0107.

Dated: March 3, 1997.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

[Week of February 3 through February 7, 1997]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Feb. 3, 1997	C. Lawrence Cornett, Seattle, WA	VWX-0010	Supplemental Order. If granted: C. Lawrence Cornett would receive compensation pursuant to his Part 708 complaint. Request for modification/rescission in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The January 27, 1997 Decision and Order, Case No. RG272-613, issued to Oivind Lorentzen Shipping AS would be modified regarding the firm's Application for Refund submitted in the Crude Oil refund proceeding.
Do	Oivind Lorentzen Shipping AS, Memphis, TN.	RR272-281	
Do	Peoria County Service Company, Edwardsville, IL.	RR272-282	
Feb. 4, 1997	J. Richard Quirk, Seattle, WA	VFA-0266	Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The January 3, 1997 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Savannah River Operations Office would be rescinded, and J. Richard Quirk would receive access to certain DOE information.
Feb. 6, 1997	Bounds Oil Co., Roanoke Rapids, NC	RR300-289	Request for modification/rescission in the Gulf Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The December 19, 1996 Dismissal, Case No. RF300-16969, issued to Bounds Oil Co. would be modified regarding the firm's Application for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil refund proceeding. Request for modification/rescission in the Texaco Refund Proceeding. If granted: The February 21, 1996 Decision and Order, Case No. RR321-194, issued to Chain Oil Co. would be modified regarding the firm's Application for Refund submitted in the Texaco refund proceeding. Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The December 30, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Nevada Operations Office would be rescinded, and James D. Hunsberger would receive access to certain DOE information.
Do	Chain Oil Co., Washington, DC	RR321-197	
Do	James D. Hunsberger, Berlin, Germany	VFA-0267	

[FR Doc. 97-10855 Filed 4-25-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Western Area Power Administration

[DOE/EIS-0232]

Record of Decision for the Sierra Nevada Customer Service Region 2004 Power Marketing Program

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Record of Decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE), Western Area Power Administration (Western), has decided to develop and implement a marketing

program for marketing Federal electric power resources from the Central Valley Project (CVP) after year 2004 that is within the range of the actions defined in Western's preferred alternative described in the 2004 Power Marketing Program Final Environmental Impact Statement (final 2004 EIS). In making this decision, Western has considered all comments received on its alternatives and the analysis contained in the 2004 Power Marketing Program Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements (2004 EIS) issued for the project (DOE/EIS-0232) in May 1996 and March 1997, respectively. The program for marketing Federal electric power resources from the CVP is being developed through a public process now underway pursuant to the

Administrative Procedure Act (APA). Although the marketing of Federal power from the Washoe Project (Washoe) may change, operations of Stampede Reservoir and generating facilities will not, so no environmental effects are expected from marketing program changes at this facility.

Western's 2004 EIS evaluated alternatives that cover the reasonable range of options for marketing CVP and Washoe power. The analyses of the environmental effects of these alternatives bracket the greatest possible range of potential impacts which could occur. The 2004 Power Marketing Program Draft Environmental Impact Statement (draft 2004 EIS) analyzed four alternatives: (1) no action (continue present approach of marketing CVP