

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5817-7]

40 CFR Parts 64, 70, and 71**Compliance Assurance Monitoring****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice of Document Availability.

SUMMARY: On August 13, 1996, EPA published a notice of availability of a draft regulatory package on the Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) rulemaking. In that notice, EPA stated that it would make regulatory impact analyses available for review and comment (61 FR 41991). On September 3, 1996, EPA published a correction notice stating that no regulatory impact analyses would be made public until the CAM rule is promulgated (61 FR 46418). EPA has reconsidered the release of regulatory impact analyses and decided to make public for comment its assessment of the impact of the CAM rule on small entities. Further, EPA has published the final revisions to parts 51, 52, 60, and 61 entitled the credible evidence rulemaking (62 FR 8314, February 24, 1997). The EPA has decided to accept comment on the relationship between the final credible evidence rule and the draft (August 2, 1996) CAM rule during the same comment period.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by May 27, 1997.**ADDRESSES:** *Comments:* Written comments should be mailed to the docket (address provided above) and to Mr. Peter Westlin, U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, MD-19, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 (e-mail address: westlin.peter@epamail.epa.gov). All comments should be marked to the attention of Docket No. A-91-52.

Docket: Supporting information related to this impact analysis is contained in Docket No. A-91-52. This docket is available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding government holidays, and is located at: EPA Air Docket (LE-131), Room M-1500, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying. Pursuant to section 307(d)(1)(V) of the Clean Air Act, this rulemaking is subject to the docketing and other procedural provisions of section 307(d) of the Act.

Electronic Availability

A copy of the draft impact analysis documents, as well as the draft CAM rule, will also be available via the Emission Measurement Technical Information Center Computer Bulletin Board of the EPA's Technology Transfer Network (TTN) at (919) 541-5742 or via the Internet at "www.epa.gov/oar/ttn_bbs.html", 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (except Monday, 8-12 a.m. EST). A copy of the credible evidence rulemaking is available on the Clean Air Act Bulletin Board of the TTN under "Recently Signed Rules". Contact the system operator at (919) 541-5384 if you have any questions concerning access to the Technology Transfer Network.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Westlin, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, (919) 541-1058.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Comments: During the comment period, EPA will accept comments on the draft impact analysis and relationship to the applicability definitions in the draft CAM rule. EPA will also accept comments on the relationship between the draft CAM rule and the revisions implementing the credible evidence provisions. Comments on the latter issue should be limited to comments stemming from the specific language of the final credible evidence rule revisions or the preamble and should not include a recapitulation of comments already provided to EPA regarding CAM and the credible evidence revisions.

Dated: April 14, 1997.

John S. Seitz,*Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.*

[FR Doc. 97-10737 Filed 4-24-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**47 CFR Parts 52**

[CC Docket No. 95-155; FCC 97-123]

Toll Free Service Access Codes**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On April 11, 1997, the Commission released a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) addressing administration of the database for toll free numbers. The FNPRM is intended to obtain comment on the issue of what entity should administer the toll free database.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before May 22, 1997, and reply comments must be filed on or before June 23, 1997.**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin Duffy, Attorney, Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418-2340.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This summarizes the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the matter of Toll Free Service Access Codes, FCC 97-123, adopted April 4, 1997, and released April 11, 1997. The Commission concurrently released a Second Report and Order in the same docket. The file is available for inspection and copying during the weekday hours of 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. in the Commission's Reference Center, room 239, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington D.C., or copies may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, ITS, Inc. 2100 M St., N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, phone (202) 857-3800.

Analysis of Proceeding

1. The FNPRM asks for comment on what further action the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) requires that the Commission take to ensure that there is an impartial number administrator for toll free administration. The Commission seeks comment on what role, if any, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) should have in determining what entity should assume the responsibility of toll free database administration. The Commission seeks comment on whether the toll free database administrator should be the same entity that ultimately is chosen as the NANP administrator or the number portability administrator, or whether another administrator should be chosen strictly for the toll free database.

2. The Commission seeks comment on what effect the selection of a new administrator for the toll free database would have on the Commission's prior conclusion that, under the Regional Bell Operating Companies' plans for providing SMS access, such SMS access is a Title II common carrier service and must be provided under tariff. Specifically, the Commission seeks comment on whether access to the database should still be provided pursuant to tariff if there is ultimately a new administrator of the database and if so, what party or entity should file the tariff.