

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION****Sunshine Act Meeting**

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 62 FR 16563. PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 10:00 a.m., Monday, April 28, 1997.

**CHANGES IN THE MEETING:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission has changed the time of the closed meeting to discuss Enforcement matters to 10:30 a.m.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jean A. Webb, 418-5100.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 97-9869 Filed 4-11-97; 2:35 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION****Sunshine Act Meeting**

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 62 F.R. 16563. PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 10:30 a.m., Monday, April 28, 1997.

**CHANGES IN THE MEETING:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission has changed the time of the closed meeting to discuss Enforcement Quarterly Objectives to 11:00 a.m.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jean A. Webb, 418-5100.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 97-9870 Filed 4-11-97; 2:35 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION****Proposed Collection; Comment Request—All-Terrain Vehicle Exposure Survey**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed survey to determine consumer exposure to the hazards associated with the use of All-Terrain Vehicles. All-Terrain Vehicles ("ATVs") are three- and four-wheeled motorized vehicles, generally characterized by large, low-pressure tires, a seat designed

to be straddled by the operator, and handlebars for steering, which are intended for off-road use by an individual rider on various types of non-paved terrain. (Three-wheeled ATVs were last made in the late 1980s.) If conducted, the survey would seek information such as the characteristics of ATV users, the types of ATVs in use, the amount of time ATVs are used and the various types of ATV usage. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than June 16, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be captioned "All-Terrain Vehicle Exposure Survey" and mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207, or delivered to that office, room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland. Written comments may also be sent to the Office of the Secretary by facsimile at (301) 504-0127 or by e-mail at [cpssc-os@cpssc.gov](mailto:cpssc-os@cpssc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about the proposed collection of information, or to obtain a copy of the questionnaire to be used for this collection of information, call or write Gregory B. Rodgers, Ph.D., Directorate for Economic Analysis, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207; (301) 504-0962, Ext. 1330.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****A. BACKGROUND**

In the mid-1980s, the Commission learned of a rapidly growing number of deaths and injuries—particularly to children under 16 years old—involving ATVs. ATV sales had increased dramatically during that time, including more than a tripling of sales between 1980 and 1985. Most of the ATVs produced during that period were three-wheeled vehicles.

After studying ATVs, the Commission issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("ANPR") in May 1985 (50 FR 23139). In December 1987, the Department of Justice, at the Commission's request, filed a lawsuit in federal district court under section 12 of the Consumer Product Safety Act against five major distributors of ATVs. (*United States v. American Honda Motor Co., et al.*, Civ. No. 87-3525 (D.D.C., filed Dec. 30, 1987).) The lawsuit, filed simultaneously with a Preliminary Consent Decree, sought a

declaration by the court that ATVs constituted an "imminent hazard" and requested certain remedial relief. The matter was settled with the court's approval of a Final Consent Decree on April 28, 1988, and the Commission subsequently withdrew the ANPR (56 FR 47166).

The Consent Decree has been successful in a number of areas, including stopping the sale of three-wheel ATVs and requiring dealer compliance with rider age requirements at the point of sale. However, the overall success of this and other Commission actions is ultimately determined by their impact on consumer safety. While injuries and deaths associated with ATVs declined in the late 1980s, the annual figures have plateaued since then.

The Commission's most recent data show that, after gradually declining from an estimated 347 deaths in 1986, the number of deaths associated with ATVs has stabilized at an average of roughly 240 annually from 1990 to 1994. The risk of death per 10,000 four-wheeled ATVs in use has remained relatively constant at roughly .8 since 1991, after gradually dropping to that level from a previous high of 1.5 in 1985.

The estimated number of injuries has shown a similar trend. After gradually declining from an estimated 108,000 injuries in 1986, the number of injuries has stabilized at an average of about 62,000 from 1990 to 1995. Approximately 40% of all deaths and injuries occur to children under 16.

The Consent Decree expires in April 1998. Therefore, the Commission must decide what, if any, action should be taken to address the deaths and injuries associated with ATVs after that date.

An ATV exposure survey would provide information on the characteristics and use patterns of the general population of ATV riders, and the ATVs they use. This information would be compared to earlier ATV exposure surveys conducted in 1986 and 1989 to evaluate changes over the last decade. Additionally, in combination with a planned injury survey, the exposure survey would provide information to quantify ATV risk patterns.

**B. Estimated Burden**

The exposure survey would be conducted by a contractor by either a mail panel methodology or a probability sample using random-digit-dialing ("RDD") methods. A mail panel would permit the Commission to obtain a sample size of approximately 1,000 completed interviews with ATV users. If

RDD methods were used, the sample size objective would be 500 completed interviews instead of 1,000 because of the small percentage of households that have ATVs (only two to three percent of households). This smaller sample for the RDD method would be done to keep the cost of the survey to a reasonable level and still provide reliable statistical results.

Thus, the Commission staff estimates that the number of interviews would range from about 500 (RDD) to 1,000 (mail panel). The length of each interview would be approximately 20 minutes. Therefore, the total burden hours for respondents would be about 165 hours (500 x .33 hrs.) for the RDD survey or about 330 hours (1000 x .33 hrs.) for the mail panel.

The Commission staff estimates the costs of the time to respond to this collection of information at \$12 an hour. This is the average hourly wage for all private industry workers reported by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in the 1996 edition of the Statistical Abstract of the United States. At this valuation, the estimated cost of this survey to the public would be about \$1,980 (165 hours X \$12/hour) to \$3,960 (330 hours X \$12/hour).

The Commission staff estimates that this collection of information would require approximately 18 weeks of professional staff time. That estimate includes five weeks to negotiate contracts, and to prepare questionnaires, interviewer guidelines, and other instruments and instructions used to collect the information. After the information collection, an additional 13 weeks would be required to edit and analyze the data and write the reports. Based on the average professional level, the 18 weeks of staff time would be valued at approximately \$30,000.

### C. Requests for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed survey. The Commission specifically solicits information about the hourly burden and monetary costs imposed by this collection of information. The Commission also seeks information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the exposure survey described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions;
- Whether the information would have practical utility for the Commission;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other

technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: April 10, 1997.

**Sadye E. Dunn,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 97-9696 Filed 4-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Deputy Chief of Staff, Personnel; Human Resources Development Division (HQ USAF/DPCH).

**ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Human Resources Development Division announces the proposed revision to AF Form 2800, Family Support Center Individual/Family Data Card; Family Support Center Interview and Follow Up Summary, AF Form 2801; Family Support Center Volunteer Data and Service Record, AF Form 2805. Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by June 16, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comment and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to HQ USAF/DPCH, 1040 Air Force Pentagon—5C238, Washington, DC 20330-1040, ATTN: Lt Col David Wolpert.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on this revised data collection instrument, please write to the above address, or call (703) 697-4720.

**Title and Associated Form:** Family Support Center Individual/Family Data Card, AF Form 2800; Family Support Center Interview and Follow Up Summary, AF Form 2801; Family Support Center Volunteer Data and

Service Record, AF Form 2805 (OMB No. 0701-0070).

**Needs and Uses:** The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain demographic data about individuals and family members who utilize the services offered by the Family Support Center. It also is a mechanism for tracking the services provided so we can keep a history of services provided as well as gathering data about the services provided. It also maintains the demographic data on volunteers and tracks their volunteer efforts.

**Affected Public:** All those eligible for services provided by Family Support Centers (all Department of Defense personnel and their families) and those who volunteer in the Family Support Center.

**Annual Burden Hours:** 1000.

**Number of Respondents:** 10,000.

**Responses Per Respondent:** 3.

**Average Burden Per Response:** 5 Minutes.

**Frequency:** Once.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Summary of Information Collection

Respondents could be all those eligible for services, i.e., all Department of Defense personnel and their families. The completed form is used to gather demographic data on those who use Family Support Centers, track what programs or services they use and how often. The data elements in this form are the basis for quarterly data gathering that is forwarded through Major Commands to the Air Staff. This form is essential for record keeping and data gathering.

**Carolyn A. Lunsford,**

*Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 97-9597 Filed 4-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Corps of Engineers

#### Intent to Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) in Conjunction with Proposed Changes in Operation of Chicago Area Confined Disposal Facility at Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Project involves changes in the operation of a confined disposal facility (CDF) built in 1984 to hold