

contaminated sediment dredged from the Chicago River, Chicago Harbor, and Calumet River and Harbor. The CDF was discussed in a Final Environmental Impact Statement released in May 1982.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Keith Ryder, 312/353-6400 ext. 2020; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District; 111 North Canal Street; Chicago, Illinois 60606-7206.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. The Supplement Environmental Impact Statement will document deviations (in construction and operation) from the project as it was discussed in the 1982 impact statement; proposed improvements to the project's operating plan (regarding water quality monitoring, vegetation control, sediment management, and endangered species); and interagency coordination during 1984-1996.

2. The SEIS is expected to be available to the public in June 1997.

Dated: March 26, 1997.

Roger A. Gerber,

Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 97-9652 Filed 4-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-HN-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent to Prepare a Draft Revised Final Supplement to the Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) for the St. Johns Bayou and New Madrid Floodway Project, East Prairie Phase

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this reevaluation is to develop a plan that provides flood control in the St. Johns Bayou and New Madrid Floodway Basins, Missouri. This project was authorized for construction by the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662), Section 401(a). The authorized project is based on the Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated January 4, 1983, which is part of the Phase I General Design Memorandum (GDM) documents prepared in response to Section 101(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-587). The Phase II GDM is based on the Phase I GDM project recommendations, and it was prepared under the Chief's authority for continuing planning and engineering studies on a viable project while awaiting project authorization.

Revisions were made in the Phase II GDM to indicate the non-Federal cost sharing requirements reflected in the authorizing Act PL 99-662. The original EIS was filed with the Council of Environmental Quality in 1976, and the supplement was filed in 1981. The purpose of this DSEIS is to revise and supplement previous environmental documentation. The recent designation of East Prairie, Missouri, as an Enterprise Community by the President has provided the momentum to move the East Prairie Phase of the overall project toward implementation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Eddie Belk, telephone (901) 544-3798, CELMM-DD-PM, 167 North Main Street B-202, Memphis, TN 38103-1894. Questions regarding the DSEIS may be directed to Mr. John Rumancik, telephone (901) 544-3975, CELMM-PD-R.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Proposed Action

The St. Johns Bayou Basin and New Madrid Floodway are located in southeast Missouri and include all or portions of New Madrid, Scott and Mississippi Counties. The basin is adjacent to the Mississippi River, extending from the vicinity of Commerce, Missouri, to New Madrid, Missouri. The recommended plan of improvement for the East Prairie Phase work, which this DSEIS will address, includes about 28 miles of channel modification, a 1,000 cfs pumping station for the St. Johns Bayou area, a 1,500 cfs pumping station for the New Madrid Floodway area, and a 1,500 foot closure levee at the southern end of the New Madrid Floodway.

2. Alternatives

Alternatives were evaluated in the previous EIS. The purpose of this DSEIS is to evaluate and provide updated documentation and coordination for the selected plan for flood control and compare it to the No Action alternative.

3. Scoping Process

An intensive public involvement program has been set up to (1) Solicit input from individuals and interested parties so that problems, needs, and opportunities within the project area can be properly identified and addressed and (2) provide status updates to concerned organizations and the public. Meetings with the local sponsor, public coordination meetings, interagency environmental meetings, and public project briefings/presentations have been conducted. A public scoping meeting will be scheduled for May 1997, and

interagency environmental meetings will continue to be held as needed. Significant issues being analyzed include potential project impacts (negative and positive) to fisheries, water quality, wetlands, waterfowl, endangered species, and cultural resources. It is anticipated that the DSEIS will be available for public review early 1998. A public meeting will be held during the review period to receive comments and address questions concerning the DSEIS.

Dated: April 4, 1997.

Gregory G. Bean,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 97-9653 Filed 4-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KS-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

AGENCY: Department of Education

ACTION: Notice of Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on November 20, 1996, an arbitration panel rendered a decision in the matter of *Chester Smalley v. New York State Commission for the Blind and Visually Handicapped (Docket No. R-S/95-7)*. This panel was convened by the U. S. Department of Education pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 107d-1(a), upon receipt of a complaint filed by petitioner, Chester Smalley.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision may be obtained from George F. Arsnow, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3230, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington D.C. 20202-2738. Telephone: (202) 205-9317. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the TDD number at (202) 205-8298.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Randolph-Sheppard Act (20 U.S.C. 107d-2(c)), the Secretary publishes in the **Federal Register** a synopsis of each arbitration panel decision affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal and other property.

Background

Mr. Chester Smalley, complainant, has operated a vending facility at the Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York, from January 1981 to the present. Until September 1993, complainant's vending facility operation