

or any tests, studies or endorsements of their tanning products. The consent order requires the respondents to possess scientific evidence to substantiate such claims, and to send letters to distributors and retailers summarizing the Commission's action.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued February 11, 1997.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Winston, FTC/S-4002, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-3153.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Thursday, December 5, 1996, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 61 FR 64521, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of California Suncare, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 52)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-9386 Filed 4-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Dkt. C-3710]

General Motors Corporation; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, a Michigan-based automobile manufacturer from misrepresenting the total amount due at lease inception, requires the manufacturer to provide consumers with clear, readable, and understandable cost information in their car lease and financed purchase advertising, requires advertisements that reference an initial payment or state that

no initial payment is due to clearly and conspicuously disclose, as applicable, that the deal is a lease, and to disclose the fact that an extra charge may be imposed at the end of the lease based on the residual value of the car. The consent order also prohibits the respondent from misrepresenting the existence or amount of any balloon payment or the annual percentage rate for advertised loans.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued February 6, 1997.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Medine, FTC/S-4429, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-3224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Thursday, December 5, 1996, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 61 FR 64524, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of General Motors Corporation, for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comment having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional finding and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, Stat. 719, as amended; 82 Stat. 146, 147; 15 U.S.C. 45, 1601, *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. 1667-1667e; 12 CFR 226)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-9367 Filed 4-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Dkt. C-3714]

Mazda Motors of America, Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, a California-based automobile manufacturer from misrepresenting the total amount due at lease inception, requires the manufacturer to provide

consumers with clear, readable, and understandable cost information in their car lease and financed purchase advertising, requires advertisements that reference an initial payment or state that no initial payment is due to clearly and conspicuously disclose, as applicable, that the deal is a lease, and to disclose the fact that an extra charge may be imposed at the end of the lease based on the residual value of the car.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued February 6, 1997.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Medine, FTC/S-4429, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-3224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Thursday, December 5, 1996, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 61 FR 64524, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of General Motors Corporation and Mazda Motors of America, Inc., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No Comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 82 Stat. 146, 147; 15 U.S.C. 45, 1601, *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. 1667-1667e; 12 CFR 226)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-9368 Filed 4-10-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Dkt. C-3713]

Mitsubishi Motor Sales of America, Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, a California-based automobile

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and Commissioner Starek's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

¹ Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

¹ Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.