

"interLATA services originating in any of its in-region States" prior to FCC approval, also uses a form of the term "originate."

4. What is the legal significance, if any, of the fact that section 272(e)(4) applies to *intra*LATA services and facilities as well as interLATA services and facilities? Before the court, for example, AT&T argued that the use of the term "intraLATA" demonstrates that section 272(e)(4) is not a grant of authority because, among other things, "a BOC needs no grant of federal statutory authority to provide *intra*LATA services."

5. Are the principal concerns that underlie the separate affiliate requirement of section 272—discrimination and cost misallocation by a BOC—less serious in the context of the wholesale provisioning of in-region interLATA services to affiliates than in the context of the direct retail provisioning of such services, at least where, as here, any such provisioning is required to take place in a non-discriminatory manner? If they are less serious, are they nonetheless serious enough to justify, as a policy matter, prohibiting such wholesale provisioning? Of what relevance, if any, is the fact that there was no exception to the interLATA services restriction contained in the Modified Final Judgment for wholesale interLATA services provided on a non-discriminatory basis, or that there presently is no wholesale interLATA services exception to section 271's prohibition on the provision of in-region interLATA services prior to FCC approval? At the same time, of what relevance, if any, is the fact that once a BOC has received section 271 approval and its interLATA affiliate is permitted to provide in-region interLATA services, the 1996 Act also allows the BOC to provide its interLATA affiliate various wholesale services and facilities, such as wholesale access services and wholesale access to unbundled network elements, so long as the BOC does so in a non-discriminatory way and in arm's length transactions? What is the policy justification for not permitting the BOC to provide, in addition, wholesale interLATA services to its affiliate?

6. Does the extent of concern for discrimination and cost misallocation depend, at least in part, on the particular kind of in-region wholesale interLATA service a BOC seeks to offer? For example, does the extent of concern differ depending on whether the wholesale service being offered is a bundled end-to-end interLATA service or a interLATA service that merely transmits traffic from a point of

presence in one LATA to a point of presence in another LATA? How would the non-discrimination requirement in section 272(e)(4) apply to these different kinds of wholesale interLATA services? Are there some kinds of services that, in practice, could not be provided in a non-discriminatory manner? In their comments, BOCs should clarify precisely what kind of wholesale interLATA service they would seek to provide, if any, using the excess capacity on their official services networks.

7. Interested parties should file an original and two copies of their comments by April 17, 1997, and reply comments by April 24, 1997, with the Secretary, FCC, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554. A copy should also be sent to Janice Myles, Common Carrier Bureau, FCC, Room 544, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554, and to the Commission's contractor for public service records duplication, ITS, Inc., 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D. C. 20037. Parties filing comments and reply comments should include the Commission docket number, CC Docket No. 96-149, on their pleadings. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20554.

8. We will continue to treat this proceeding as non-restricted for purposes of the Commission's *ex parte* rules. See generally 47 CFR 1.1200-1.1216.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-9047 Filed 4-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2185]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

April 2, 1997.

Petitions for reconsideration and clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). A full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to

these petitions must be filed April 23, 1997. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: The Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements. (CC Docket No. 92-105).

Number of Petitions Filed: 5.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-8864 Filed 4-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC. 20573.

CERES Freight Systems, Inc., 26 East Bryan Street, Savannah, GA 31401, Officers:
Robert H. Demere, Jr., President, Eugene R. Tompkins, Vice President

World Trade Forwarding Group Corp., 9600 N.W. 25th Street, Suite 2-B, Miami, FL 33172, Officers: Vivian Manrigue-Collantes, President, Salvador C. Collantes, Vice President

Cabell Export, 922 White Marlin Drive, Charleston, SC 29412, Lesley Schoepf Cabell, Sole Proprietor

Robert W. Cisco Custom House Broker, 416 Common Street, Suite 101, New Orleans, LA 70130, Robert William Cisco, Sole Proprietor

Ex-Works Miami Corp., 1360 N.W. 78 Avenue, Miami, FL 33126, Officer: Miriam R. Perez, President

Sea Expo Freight Services, Inc., 32 Somerville Road, Hewitt, NJ 07421, Officer: William T. Murphy

Blanca Company Inc., 913 South Jackson Street, Suite B, Seattle, WA 98104, Officers: Vannara Zou, President, Suke Zou, Chairman

Dated: April 2, 1997.

Joseph C. Polking,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-8870 Filed 4-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M