

[ER-FRL-5478-8]

**Environmental Impact Statements;
Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 24, 1997 Through March 28, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970108, DRAFT EIS, NRCS, HI, Waimea-Paauilo Watershed Project, Alleviation of Agricultural Water Storage Problems for Crop Irrigation and Livestock Drinking Water, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit Issuance and Implementation, Hawaii County, HI, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Kenneth M. Kaneshiro (808) 541-2601.

EIS No. 970109, FINAL EIS, DOE, ID, NV, WA, MT, OR, WY, Wildlife Mitigation Program Standards and Guidelines, Implementation, Columbia River Basin, WA, OR, ID, MT, WY and NV, Due: May 05, 1997, Contact: Thomas C. McKinney (503) 230-4749.

EIS No. 970110, DRAFT EIS, COE, WA, Cedar River Section 205 Flood Damage Reduction Plan, Implementation, Renton, King County, WA, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Merri Martz (206) 764-3624.

EIS No. 970111, DRAFT EIS, AFS, OR, Summit Fire Recovery Forest Restoration Project, Implementation, Malheur National Forest, Long Creek Ranger District, Grant County, OR, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Robert Hammond (541) 575-3000.

EIS No. 970112, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, FHWA, NB, US 275 Highway Reconstruction on New Alignment west of the existing US 275/N-36 Intersection to west of the existing US 275/N-64 (West Maple Road) Interchange near Waterloo, Funding, Douglas County, NB, Due: May 05, 1997, Contact: Edward W Kosola (402) 437-5521.

EIS No. 970113, DRAFT EIS, USA, IN, Camp Atterbury Training Areas and Facilities Upgrading, Implementation, Bartholomew, Brown, Johnson, Marion and Shelby Counties, IN, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Jack Fowler (812) 526-1169.

EIS No. 970114, FINAL EIS, COE, MN, IA, WI, 9-Foot Navigation Channel Project, Channel Maintenance Management Plan, Upper Mississippi River Head of Navigation to Guttenberg, IA, Implementation, MN, WI and IA, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Robert Whiting (612) 290-5264.

EIS No. 970115, DRAFT EIS, FTA, LA, Canal Streetcar Line Reintroduction, Canal Street from the Mississippi River to the Cemeteries, with a Spur Line to City Park, Funding, City of New Orleans, Orleans Parish, LA, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Peggy Crist (817) 860-9663.

EIS No. 970116, FINAL EIS, USA, MO, US Army Chemical School and US Army Military Police School Relocation to Fort Leonard Wood (FWL) from Fort McClellan, Alabama, Implementation, Cities of St. Robert, Waynesville, Richland, Dixon, Crocker, Rolla, Houston and Lebanon; Pulaski, Texas, Phelps and Laclede Counties, MO, Due: May 05, 1997, Contact: Alan Gehrt (816) 426-2142.

EIS No. 970117, FINAL EIS, TVA, VA, ADOPTION—United States Penitentiary, Lee, Pennington Gap, Funding, Lee County, VA, Due: May 05, 1997, Contact: Linda B. Oxendine (423) 632-3440. The US Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) has adopted the US Department of Justice's, Bureau of Prisons FEIS #960500, filed with the US Environmental Protection Agency on 10-17-96. TVA was not a Cooperating Agency on this project. Recirculation of the document is necessary under Section 1506.3(b) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations.

EIS No. 970118, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, AFS, CO, Vail Ski Area Category III Development Plan, Additional Information Concerning an Analysis of the Significance of Adopting Forest Plan Amendments, Implementation, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, White River National Forest, Holly Cross Ranger District, Rocky Mountain Region, Eagle County, CO, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Loren Kroenke (970) 827-5715.

Dated: April 1, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97-8703 Filed 4-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-5478-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 17, 1997 through March 21, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for

copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

**Summary of Rating Definitions
Environmental Impact of the Action***LO—Lack of Objections*

The EPA review has not identified any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal. The review may have disclosed opportunities for application of mitigation measures that could be accomplished with no more than minor changes to the proposal.

EC—Environmental Concerns

The EPA review has identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require changes to the preferred alternative or application of mitigation measures that can reduce the environmental impact. EPA would like to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EO—Environmental Objections

The EPA review has identified significant environmental impacts that must be avoided in order to provide adequate protection for the environment. Corrective measures may require substantial changes to the preferred alternative or consideration of some other project alternative (including the no action alternative or a new alternative). EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EU—Environmentally Unsatisfactory

The EPA review has identified adverse environmental impacts that are of sufficient magnitude that they are unsatisfactory from the standpoint of public health or welfare or environmental quality. EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts. If the potentially unsatisfactory impacts are not corrected at the final EIS stage, this proposal will be recommended for referral to the CEQ.

*Adequacy of the Impact Statement**Category 1—Adequate*

EPA believes the draft EIS adequately sets forth the environmental impact(s) of the preferred alternative and those of the alternatives reasonably available to the project or action. No further analysis or data collection is necessary, but the reviewer may suggest the addition of clarifying language or information.

Category 2—Insufficient Information

The draft EIS does not contain sufficient information for EPA to fully